In Search of Answers on Zika

A stealth virus, most often borne on the wings of a ubiquitous predator, is spreading across the Americas. Zika virus is the latest of several that are carried by mosquitoes. But Zika isn’t a new foe. Discovered in Uganda in 1947 in a rhesus monkey (during an infectious-disease study), the virus was found in humans a decade later in Nigeria. Zika has existed in Africa and Asia since the 1950s without raising the kind of alarm seen today, perhaps because of a built-up immunity there. But in the Americas, Zika appears to have found a more vulnerable population. Two rare conditions—a birth defect (microcephaly) and Guillain-Barré syndrome—are undeniably on the rise. Whether Zika is to blame isn’t yet a sure thing. But concern is rising. “The more we learn, the worse it gets,” Anthony Fauci, director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, said at a March 10 news briefing.

To combat further spread, scientists will need to delve deep into the biology of two opportunists: the virus itself and the mosquito. In the meantime, efforts to limit exposure to mosquitoes are under way. And preemptive attempts to protect future victims include travel advisories, especially for pregnant women, and warnings about unprotected sex (a transmission path in some cases). Human safety trials for a vaccine to jump-start immunity could begin later this year; larger efficacy trials may be a year and a half away. — Macon Morehouse

Global traveler First found in Uganda in 1947, the Zika virus has traveled via mosquito through Africa and Asia, picking up steam in recent years to blow across the Pacific and the Americas.
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MICROCEPHALY: Building a case against Zika

While the world waits, molecular evidence is starting to come in. Zika virus readily infects (and kills) one kind of brain cell found in developing embryos, researchers reported online March 4 in Cell Stem Cell. “It’s the first step to show that Zika is actually doing something in the brain,” says study coauthor Guo-Li Ming, a neuroscientist at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine. Previous studies have found traces of Zika in some damaged fetal brains, but that’s just a correlation.

Virus can damage key cells in developing brains By Meghan Rosen

The prime suspect in Brazil’s recent surge in birth defects may be convicted this summer, in the sweltering cities of Colombia.

That’s when the first big wave of pregnant women infected with Zika virus last fall will begin to give birth. Whether or not these babies are born with shrunken brains, a condition known as microcephaly, may offer the best evidence yet of Zika’s guilt—or innocence.
Still, correlations like these are why the mosquito-borne virus has sparked so much panic. In the last year, Zika has torn through Brazil and invaded more than 40 other countries and territories. Now, Zika infection during pregnancy is the leading theory for why so many babies have been born with microcephaly. Suspected cases of the rare birth defect are showing up in Brazil at more than 30 times the rate of previous years. Seeing microcephaly numbers skyrocket in other countries could make or break the case. So far, only Brazil has reported an uptick in microcephaly (though French Polynesia did in an earlier outbreak). Still, evidence that Zika is to blame remains largely circumstantial.

“We don’t have absolute proof,” says Christopher Dye, strategy director of the World Health Organization. But, he says, there’s enough evidence to say the virus is “guilty until proven innocent.”

University of Pittsburgh public health researcher Ernesto Marques agrees. “We have a victim, and we have a suspected criminal with a gun.” Now, he says, “we have to prove who pulled the trigger.”

Mounting evidence

Zika virus has topped the suspect list from the start. “There’s a very real possibility that this virus could be responsible for some of the horrific consequences” seen in children, Bruce Aylward, head of WHO’s outbreaks investigations, said in a news conference February 19.

In late 2014, the first cases of Zika infection emerged in Brazil’s northeast corner. By fall 2015, women started giving birth to babies with small heads. That’s a clue that infection during early pregnancy may be a problem, Dye says.

Biological evidence is building too. “Virus RNA has been found in placenta, amniotic fluid and brain tissues of still-born babies with microcephaly.” Marques says. And new case reports keep rolling in. On February 26, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reported Zika infection in nine pregnant U.S. travelers. Two women miscarried, one gave birth to a baby with microcephaly, two had abortions (fetal imaging revealed severe brain abnormalities in one case; the CDC hasn’t released details about the other) and two had apparently healthy babies. Two more await delivery.

On March 4, scientists published results from the largest study yet of pregnant women exposed to Zika. In nearly 30 percent of the Brazilian women (12 out of 42), ultrasound images revealed fetal abnormalities, researchers reported in the New England Journal of Medicine (SN Online: 3/4/16).

Recent studies have begun to hint at how the virus might harm the brain. For the study reported in Cell Stem Cell, Ming and colleagues grew different types of human cells in the lab and then gave them a dose of Zika. The virus went wild in neural progenitor cells (very early cells that give rise to the bulk of the brain), infecting up to 90 percent of cells in a dish.

Explore an interactive map of Zika cases at bit.ly/SN_Zikamap
But Zika floundered in more developed nerve cells, infecting fewer than 20 percent. If these findings hold in people, “it means that fetal brains are much more susceptible to Zika than adult brains,” says stem cell biologist Kristen Brennand of Mount Sinai Hospital in New York City.

The virus killed some cells outright and messed with others’ growth cycles, Ming and colleagues found. That’s “pretty consistent with what you’d expect if Zika causes microcephaly,” Brennand says. “If you have more cells dying, you’re going to have a smaller head.”

Ming cautions that her study doesn’t prove Zika’s guilt. Scientists still need to identify whether infected brain cells lead to abnormal brain structures. “That would give you more direct evidence,” she says.

Brazilian researchers reported similar results in March. In minibrain cells grown in the lab (tiny balls of cells somewhat similar to growing human brains), Zika infection killed cells and slowed growth, they described in PeerJ Preprints.

Marques recently wrapped up a study on the earliest cases of microcephaly reported in Brazil. He and colleagues analyzed samples collected from the first group of babies born with the birth defect last October. “This was before Zika became famous,” he says.

Hospitals in the state of Pernambuco sent his team cerebrospinal fluid, the liquid that cushions the brain and spinal cord. In 30 out of 31 samples, the team found antibodies indicating Zika virus infection. But the case against Zika isn’t airtight. In fact, “there are many weak spots,” Dye says, including how hard it can be to measure both microcephaly and Zika virus infection.

**New theories, new studies**

In recent weeks, alternative theories for Brazil’s birth defects have bubbled up and caught the public’s eye. One blamed microcephaly on a pesticide called pyriproxyfen that Brazil had been adding to the water supply to combat mosquitoes.

Some of these theories “may seem very strange, but they all have to be evaluated,” Dye says.

WHO has released an online rebuttal to some rumors. The pesticide, for instance, is bad news for mosquitoes (it sabotages larval development), but there’s no evidence that it’s harmful to humans — or any other animal with a spine.

“Pyriproxyfen has gone through an enormous number of safety tests over the years,” says public health entomologist Steve Lindsay of Durham University in England. In mice, rats and dogs, there’s no evidence that the pesticide is neurotoxic. For a pesticide, he says, “it’s as safe as you can get.”

WHO also swatted down a theory about genetically modified...
mosquitoes. Releasing males that can’t reproduce could help Brazil tamp down mosquito populations. But “it’s virtually impossible” that GM mosquitoes are transmitting Zika or causing microcephaly, says entomologist William Walton of the University of California, Riverside. “Male mosquitoes don’t bite,” he says. So they don’t pass what they’re carrying on to humans like females do.

Beyond a reasonable doubt
Establishing that Zika really is behind the current microcephaly outbreak will require more work — and time. CDC scientists are planning to examine 300 to 500 babies born with or without microcephaly in the Brazilian city of João Pessoa. One goal is to tally the babies with microcephaly and evidence of Zika infection. Marques and collaborators have begun a similar study on babies born in Pernambuco (SN: 2/20/16, p. 16).

The CDC is also working with Colombian health officials to gauge the effects of Zika infection during pregnancy. Since October, Zika virus case numbers have soared: 47,771 total so far, and 8,890 infections are in pregnant women.

In the current outbreak, Colombia is just the second country to have such a large group of women face Zika during pregnancy. Roughly four months have ticked by since many of these women were exposed. If, as suspected, Zika strikes developing brains in the first trimester, the most incriminating evidence could come in about five months, when these babies are born.

“People are going to be looking for increasing numbers of microcephaly,” says vaccine researcher Anna Durbin, of the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health. Such a finding could sew up the case against Zika.

“If there’s going to be smoking gun,” she says, “it’s going to come out of Colombia.” ■

Explore more

Scientists track Zika’s link to neurological disorder
For some adults, infection with Zika virus produces a rashy, flu-like nuisance. But in a handful of people, the virus may trigger a severe neurological disease.

About one in 4,000 people infected by Zika in French Polynesia in 2013 and 2014 got a rare autoimmune disease called Guillain-Barré syndrome, researchers estimate in a study published online February 29 in the Lancet. Of 42 people diagnosed with Guillain-Barré in that outbreak, all had antibodies that signaled a Zika infection. In a control group of hospital patients without Guillain-Barré, just 54 out of 98 patients tested showed signs of virus exposure.

That “tells us what I think a lot of people already thought: that Zika can cause Guillain-Barré syndrome,” says public health researcher Ernesto Marques of the University of Pittsburgh. But he stresses that “it’s important that people don’t think that if you get Zika, you are going to get Guillain-Barré.” The chance is much less than 1 percent, he says.

More work needs to be done to definitively prove the link. And it’s too early to say whether the rate of Guillain-Barré estimated by the researchers will be the same in ongoing Zika outbreaks, says Anna Durbin, a vaccine researcher at Johns Hopkins School of Public Health.

Several countries currently hard-hit by Zika have reported upticks in Guillain-Barré syndrome. In Colombia, which usually sees about 220 cases of the syndrome a year, doctors recently diagnosed 86 cases over a five-week period, the World Health Organization reports. Brazil, El Salvador, Venezuela and several other countries have also reported unusually high numbers of cases.

The syndrome begins as the body’s immune system attacks peripheral nerves, often causing weakness or tingling in the lower extremities. In severe cases, paralysis can result. While most recover, 3 to 5 percent of people die from complications, scientists estimate.

Other viruses, including HIV, influenza and dengue, are known to spark Guillain-Barré, possibly through their interactions with the body’s immune system.

The timing of Guillain-Barré’s onset may make it easier for scientists to pin the disorder on Zika. The syndrome shows up days or weeks after an infection subsides.

Scientists conducting a multinational Guillain-Barré study may soon expand their study into Brazil and Colombia to look for signs of Zika infection in people with the syndrome. Further studies could also help explain why some people are susceptible to Guillain-Barré.

Genetics, previous viral infections or toxins may all play a role. — Laura Sanders and Meghan Rosen