PSYCHIATRY

## **Patients Predict Behavior**

BORROWING from the Armed Forces' "buddy-rating" techniques, a group of psychologists found that mental patients were as accurate as the psychiatric staff in predicting how their fellow patients would

get along after leaving the hospital

The three-year study, reported in the

Journal of Consulting Psychology (June), was made at the Ann Arbor (Mich.) Vet-

erans Administration Hospital

Senior author of the report Dr. Julian J. Lasky told Science Service the idea for the study came to him at Officer Candidate School in 1944. There he first saw the system in which men accurately rated leadership potential of their buddies.

The patients and the hospital staff were asked to predict whether within two years after discharge each patient would: 1. be hospitalized again; 2. work steadily; 3. have serious family trouble; and 4. enjoy good physical and mental health.

Both groups scored 70% accuracy. Reflecting the results of other studies, no patient was able to predict his own future adjustment. An unexpected result, however, was that staff people made their poorest predictions in their own areas of specialization.

For example, social workers missed in predicting family adjustment. Job adjustments did not turn out as occupational therapists expected. Psychiatrists were off in rating job, family and health adjustment of their own patients. Aides and nurses failed in forecasting health adjustment. Dr. Lasky wondered if these predictions were inaccurate because people lose some of their objectivity when they are too involved in their specialties.

Dr. Lasky, now at the VA Central Neuropsychiatric Research Unit, Perry Point, Md., said mental patients, in certain ways, give each other treatment. A patient tells his friends things he will not reveal to the staff who often hear only what the patient thinks they want to hear.

"The patient may look dischargeable to the staff," he said, "but the other patients know otherwise."

Dr. Lasky believes these presently untapped pools of interpatient understanding and knowledge could lead to greatly improved treatment in the mental hospitals. Science News Letter, July 4, 1959 against biological warfare would be meaningless.

- 2. Ordinary microorganisms normally found in the body can be turned into deadly killers, using the combined forms of warfare discussed in the article.
- 3. The body's normal barriers to "germs" can be torn down, destroyed by chemicals alone or in combination with radioactive substances.
- 4. Disease-causing microorganisms can be made to penetrate the body's defenses much faster. They can be combined with chemicals, radioactive substances or other microorganisms so that scientists will not be able to diagnose and treat the resulting disease. Science News Letter, July 4, 1959

SCIENCE NEWS LETTER

JULY 4, 1959 VOL. 76

#### Edited by WATSON DAVIS

The Weekly Summary of Current Science, published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington 6, D. C., NOrth 7-2255. Cable Address: SCIENSERVC.

Subscription rates: 1 yr., \$5.50; 2 yrs., \$10.00; 3 yrs., \$14.50; ten or more copies in one package to one address, 7½ cents per copy per week; single copy, 15 cents, more than six months old, 25 cents. No charge for foreign postage.

Change of address: Three weeks notice is re-vired. When ordering a change please state actly how magazine is now addressed. Your we address should include postal zone number if

Copyright © 1959 by Science Service, Inc. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS LETTER is strictly prohibited. Newspapers, magazines and other publications are invited to avail themselves of the numerous syndicated services issued by Science Service. Science Service also publishes CHEMISTRY (eight times a year) and THINGS of Science (monthly).

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D. C. Established in mimeograph form March 13, 1922. Title registered as trademark, U. S. and Canadian Patent Offices. Indexed in Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature, Abridged Guide, and the Engineering Index. Member Audit Bureau of Circulation.

#### o**o**oo l SCIENCE SERVICE

The Institution for the Popularization of Science organized 1921 as a non-profit corporation.

Board of Trustees—Nominated by the American Association for the Advancement of Science: William W. Rubey, U. S. Geological Survey; Wallace R. Brode, National Bureau of Standards; Douglas Whitaker, Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research. Nominated by the National Academy of Sciences: Harlow Shapley, Harvard College Observatory; Philip Bard, Johns Hopkins University, Henry Allen Moe, John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation. Nominated by the National Research Council: Leonard Carmichael, Smithsonian Institution; John R. Dunning, Columbia University; Benjamin H. Willier, Johns Hopkins University; Benjamin H. Willier, Johns Hopkins University; Nominated by the Journalistic Profession: Michael J. Ogden, Providence Journal-Bulletin; O. W. Riegel, Washington and Lee University: Lee Hills, Detroit Free Press. Nominated by the Scripps Estate: Edward J. Meeman, Memphis Press-Scimitar; Frank Ford, Washington, D. C.; Charles E. Scripps, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Officers — President: Leonard Carmichael; Vice President and Chairman of Executive Committee: Charles E. Scripps; Treasurer: Wallace R. Brode; Secretary: Walson Davis.

Staff—Director: Watson Davis. Writers: Helen Buechl, Ann Ewing, Richard Litell, Allen Long, Jane Marye, Elisabeth Mitchell, Ralph Segman, Howard Simons, Benita Tall, Marjorie Van de Water. Science Youth Division: Joseph H. Kraus, Dorothy Schriver, Shirley Moore. Photography: Fremont Davis. Production: Priscilla Howe, Marcia Nelson. Syndicate Sales: Hallie Jenkins. Interlingua Division in New York: Alexander Gode, 80 E. 11th St., GRamercy 3-5410. Advertising Manager: Fred A. Moulton, MEtropolitan 8-2562.

# Study Light Sensitivity

RADIATION can mean the difference between night and day to a king crab.

When a king crab is in the dark and is receiving an accumulated dose of radiation, his sensitivity toward a flash of light diminishes. However, if it can manage to amble out of the dark into a light area, its original sensitivity to light is restored when it again enters the dark, Dr. James O. Smith of the department of psychology, Florida State University, told Science SERVICE.

The king crab's sensitivity to a onesecond flash of light in the dark dipped sharply when the radiation dose was anywhere below 25 roentgens.

It is possible, Dr. Smith speculated, that a human's visual sensitivity to light can be altered in a similar manner by a dose of radiation equal to that of a dental X-ray.

As the cumulative amount of radiation is increased by increments of 25 to a total of 200 roentgens, the crab's ability to respond to the flash of light continues to diminish at a lesser rate, Dr. Smith and William W. Dawson report in Science (June 19).

The diminished sensitivity persists long after the radiation has ceased as long as the crab is kept in the dark, the investi-

Since the natural sensitivity to light is restored when the crab is again adapted to a light area, it appears that the damage that occurs to the eyes by radiation doses of 200 roentgens or under is temporary.

Scientists have previously shown that doses between 1,700 and 10,000 roentgens will cause severe damage to cells of the eyes of some animals.

The king crab was chosen for this experiment because of its large lateral eyes. Science News Letter, July 4, 1959

### Russians Work on Germ-Radiological Warfare

IN THE EVENT of a war the Russians will probably use a deadly one-two-three punch of chemicals, microorganisms and radioactive substances.

Any combination of these three agents is deadlier than one alone, the Russians have found. This is stated in a report by Russian scientists on the best means for destroying the enemy and any possible means of protection he may devise.

The report was made in The Organization of Medical Service Following Mass Contamination of the Population translated and distributed by the Central Intelligence Agency.

In their study the Russian scientists made the following points:

1. Radiation sickness that follows the introduction of radioactive substances into the body, destroys the body's antibody production. This means that any protective program of immunization, such as might be set up by a nation's public health service,