

ASTRONAUTS ENDANGERED

Solar flares still unpredictable

Astronauts have a rendezvous with the moon before 1970—but they also have, if they make the timetable, a rendezvous with potentially fatal radiation from an angry sun.

They will land during the maximum



Dr. Harold Zirin

Time lapse photos of a solar flare.

period of solar flares, immense disturbances on the sun that fling out X-rays and cosmic rays in deadly profusion. An astronaut walking the lunar surface could be killed in the storm which would pour radiation through his unprotected body. (Within the space ship, however, he should be safe because of its metal shielding, as he would be safe in earth's shielding atmosphere.)

All that is possible now is the detection of a flare, and a warning that solar particles are on the way—an alert of

little more than an hour or so.

Scientists believe they will eventually be able to predict the coming of individual solar flares—but apparently they will develop this ability too late to warn this decade's astronauts.

Dr. Harold Zirin, astrophysics professor with Mt. Wilson and Palomar Observatories, is working in that direction. He estimates that it will be five years before man can truly predict the coming of a solar flare. Such flares not only endanger astronauts, they black out short-wave radio communications on earth, and cause auroras.

Already, however, Dr. Zirin sees certain clues, or precursors, to the coming of flares. It is a base on which an early warning system may some day be built.

Solar flares, which are associated with sunspots, were first detected in 1855. They are invisible in ordinary light, yet when viewed by instruments sensitive to the extreme ultraviolet, these great outbursts of energy provide dramatic evidence that the sun has a seething surface that erupts unpredictably.

A flare covering only a one-thousandth of the solar surface emits more ultraviolet light than all the rest of the sun put together. The total energy release in a flare over all wavelengths equals the output of the entire sun in

the same time—some 3.9×10^{33} ergs in a second.

New knowledge about solar flares is coming from time-lapse motion pictures combined with special filters that show the sun's activity in the light of one narrow wavelength, such as hydrogen alpha in the ultraviolet. These pictures make up one of Dr. Zirin's precursors.

Recent observations indicate that flares frequently occur in regions of the sun having a steep magnetic field gradient and that they are most common in very complex sunspot groups with intertwined regions of different polarity, another set of precursors.

These conditions are now known to precede flares; the question is how closely are they linked to flares and what forecasts can be built out of their detection.

All that is known now is that when these great outbursts of energy occur, regions tens of thousands of miles across brighten simultaneously in a matter of seconds and great clouds of matter are thrown out at tremendous speeds.

Also, at the moment of most rapid brightening, energetic pulses of X-rays are emitted. These are what change earth's ionosphere, resulting in the fade or black-out of radio signals. Swarms of energetic cosmic rays are also hurled into space at the same time.

MILITARY VS. CIVILIAN

Language, money and the nuclear navy

Language is misleading. The advocates of a nuclear navy and the spokesman for official Defense Department policy both speak in the name of national defense. But the worlds they live in seem galaxies apart.

Last week the nuclear view held the spotlight. In testimony released by the Joint Atomic Energy Committee, nuclear navyman Hyman G. Rickover, with strong Congressional endorsement, made it clear he thinks the U.S. is penny-pinching itself into second place.

The crux of the discussion is the place of nuclear power in navy vessels. Admiral Rickover, and most of the navy, think combat surface vessels should be driven by the nuclear reactors that have given atomic submarines fantastic range and flexibility and have proved successful in the few surface ships so powered. The cost-conscious Defense Department feels the job—protecting the nation—can be done with cheaper conventional power.

Nuclear submarines are accepted as far superior to the diesel types that fought World War II. But the Defense Department wants to taper off submarine construction; Admiral Rickover, looking at the Soviet drive to build up its submarine fleet, thinks the U.S. should undertake a similar effort to

keep its lead and remain top sea dog.

Admiral Rickover discounts completely the oft-repeated argument that the nuclear stalemate has made development of new weapons unnecessary. Many times in the past, he contends, advancing technology has made seemingly invincible weapons obsolete, as the World War II Maginot Line experience showed.

The controversy over submarines has a central role in the question of deterrence because Polaris-carrying nuclear subs are a major branch of the U.S. deterrent force.

There is evidence that the Soviet submarine fleet, outside of its own missile force, is aimed at canceling out the Polaris deterrent with attack submarines. The idea of sending a sub to hunt another sub has intrigued navy men for years; such a capability if developed by an enemy could make the U.S. underwater nuclear deterrent as useless and dangerous as was the Maginot Line.

For this reason, Admiral Rickover is pushing development of new attack submarines that would counterbalance the Soviet force. Although the requirements of such a weapons system are not talked about, they presumably include a new type of nuclear power plant that Ad-

miral Rickover is sure he can build if he can find the money. The Joint Committee may ask the Atomic Energy Commission to pick up the tab.

The U.S. now has 74 nuclear submarines in operation, compared with about 55 for the Soviet Union. Of the U.S. subs, 41 are Polaris launchers, and 33 are attack subs. In addition, the U.S. has 72 diesel powered submarines, more than 60 built during World War II.

"We have no superiority in numbers," says Admiral Rickover. "We should be concerned over the situation that will obtain just a few years from now."

Surface vessels are farther from the sensitive area of nuclear deterrent. Although the greater range and flexibility



Navy

Attack sub has deterrent role.

of nuclear powered ships is beyond question, it has been possible for Defense Department analysts to argue that lower-cost conventional ships in greater numbers can fill the role of limited warfare that today's navy plays.

Only by bringing in the threat of Russian submarines to oil supply lines can the nuclear surface ships be justified.

The Defense Department clearly doesn't want to consider that a likely prospect, since it implies full-scale war with the Soviet Union, which would mean the failure of the deterrent.

But Admiral Rickover insists that building ships without regard to defending them is a foolish plan. He claims the navy's experience off Vietnam is a kind of "War College exercise" in which the U.S. is operating on the basis of immunity from losses.

"It might ultimately be a wholesome lesson," he told the Joint Committee, if a U.S. ship were sunk by an enemy submarine off Vietnam.

HEART TRANSPLANT

Not therapy but experiment

Heart transplantation in man cannot be regarded as therapy, not even in extreme cases when all other courses of action appear blocked. This is the conclusion of the Board on Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences, which last week released a policy statement on human heart transplants. The board is only one of many private and public groups seeking to come to grips with the ethical questions raised by transplants.

"The procedure must be viewed clearly for what it is, a scientific exploration of the unknown," it says.

The statement declares that because surgery is only part of the experiment, which should be followed with rigorous scientific study, there must exist many more surgical teams capable of the surgery than there are capable of both surgery and study. Because of this the board suggests that before cardiac transplantation is attempted, the following criteria be met:

- The surgical team should have "extensive laboratory experience" with animal heart transplants. It should demonstrate not only surgical competence but complete understanding of the process of immunologic rejection of the organ and control of this process. In addition specialists should be available as collaborators.

- "As in any other scientific investigation," there should be an advance plan of study recorded. Arrangements should be made for systematic observations of the patient throughout his lifetime. There should be an organized communication network so the latest results of the investigation would be generally available.


- Because the procedure is experimental, the primary justification for a heart transplant attempt should be that it will yield new beneficial knowledge.

- Selection of donors and recipients should be subject to rigid ethical and medical safeguards. Independent panels of "expert, mature physicians" not involved with the transplant group should select donor and recipient by unanimous, recorded decision.

The board's criteria are based on the conviction that for progress in heart transplantation "only a relatively small number of careful investigations" are presently required.

The statement did not suggest that any of the six transplant operations so far performed have failed to meet its criteria. However, as recently as last February the Surgeon General of the Public Health Service had to order that all institutions receiving its grants must set up review panels.

Hold The World In Your Palm..with



M. V. MINISCOPE SYSTEM \$16.95

So startlingly different are the design and performance of the M.V. MINISCOPE SYSTEM that you won't really believe it until you see it and use it yourself. ■ With its standard objective the M.V. is an 8x, wide-angle (82°), 24mm monocular telescope—more powerful than most field glasses and binoculars. For an even larger field, just quick-change objectives and you have a 6x, super-wide-angle (111°), 18mm telescope. ■ The prismatic, fully coated optics are of the same uncompromising quality that you expect from the finest Japanese cameras—crispness, brilliance and complete planarity. ■ As an added bonus, the objectives may be used as 3x and 5x wide-field magnifier/loupes, of unexcelled definition and clarity. ■ Weight 3¼ ozs., length only 3½". Comes with handstrap and soft, flat, zippered case that fits snugly in gentleman's pocket or lady's purse.

Send the MIYAUCHI M.V. MINISCOPE SYSTEM w/case & exchange objectives. Satisfaction or refund guaranteed. Check for \$16.95 plus \$1 for post. & ins. encl. (Calif. resid. add 5% tax.)

Name _____

Address _____

Zip _____

584 Washington St.,
San Francisco, 94111
(415) 981-5688

SN 0309

haverhill's

Share the Thrills of Exploring Outer Space



\$194⁹⁵

All DYNASCOPES, including this superb RV-6, 6-inch available on easy terms!

Now it's easy to join the thousands of serious amateurs who have discovered the excitement of exploring our mysterious universe. Your enjoyment begins right from the start, yet the challenges and rewards go on for years! And it's a hobby that can be shared at modest cost.

**Choose from a Full Range Of
DYNASCOPES® 4" Starting at \$49.95**

Picking a telescope to fit your needs and your pocketbook is simple when you select a DYNASCOPE — the same instruments used by more than 150 schools, colleges and observatories. Prices begin as low as \$49.95, and your satisfaction is guaranteed by a full-refund warranty.

**FASCINATING GUIDE
YOURS FREE!**

Read these valuable facts before buying any telescope. Mail coupon or postcard for your complimentary copy of this helpful guide.



Criterion Manufacturing Co.
331 Church St., Hartford, Conn. 06101
© TM Registered U.S. Pat. Office

CRITERION MANUFACTURING CO., Dept. NL-18
331 Church St., Hartford, Conn. 06101

Please send your free Telescope Guide.

Name _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____