# to the editor

### Support earlier data

My attention was drawn to the discussion of the results obtained by Drs. E. Irving and J. K. Park in SCIENCE News (12/26/70, p. 479). These results were originally published in Na-TURE, Dec. 5, 1970. However, the interpretation of these results in terms of the curious decrease in the intensity of the magnetic anomalies with distance from the axis of the mid-Atlantic Ridge is exactly that proposed earlier by members of the Geological Survey of Canada in the Canadian Journal of EARTH SCIENCES, April 1970, p. 268. It is correct to state that the results of Irving et al support the conclusion drawn from the original work.

E. J. Schwarz Exploration Geophysics Div. Geological Survey of Canada Ottawa, Canada

#### Niagara Falls erosion

I think your article on my paper on Niagara Falls (SN: 2/6/71, p. 103) related my points very well as far as the behavior of the Horseshoe is concerned. However, somewhere we are a little at cross purposes.

The period of 4,200 years refers only to the time during which the Horseshoe was eroding the Upper Great Gorge and does not include the additional time necessary for the falls to retreat upstream.

I made no comparison between rates of erosion of the American and Horseshoe Falls. The Horseshoe has traveled several hundred feet upstream during the same time interval that the American Falls has retreated a very much smaller distance. This is almost the reverse of the inference which you state.

Dr. Sailer S. Philbrick Department of Geological Sciences Cornell University Ithaca, N.Y.

#### Superciliousness

The letter by Dr. Robert G. Tucker (SN: 1/30/71, p. 76), criticizing your article "A time of torment for science" (SN: 1/2/71, p. 5), merely indicates a superciliousness which too many Ph.D.s develop (especially toward non-Ph.D.s), but which is not likely to be condoned by most people, whether or not they regard themselves as scientists.

I would not worry about it, but it serves as a warning, that if you are not a Ph.D., and try to do things which Ph.D.s think they do better, you are liable to get that sort of dig for your efforts.

> Enrico Farini Chicago, Ill.

## **Ecology** is Kit Stuff!

Eight Exciting Ecology Kits make it easy for students - and teachers - to explore the environment! Each includes equipment and instructions for several experiments. Upper elementary through high school.



Ecology Kit I: WHY ARE LEAVES GREEN?TM photosynthesis and the effect of plant life on pollution!

ife From

Ecology Kit II: LIFE IN THE WATER™ Discover the tiny plants and animals in the water and how they can increase — or decrease — pollution!

Ecology Kit III: PREDATOR-PREY™ Learn more about animal competition and survival by taking part in actual simulations!

Ecology Kit IV: WHAT MOVES LIFETM Learn how animals and even plants can defend themselves against the forces of their environment!

Ecology Kit V: LIFE FROM DEATHIM Understand how nature recycles her resources to create and sustain life!

Ecology Kit VI: CAN I DRINK THE WATER?TM Learn the causes and effects of water pollution — and its importance to you!

Ecology Kit VII: CAN I BREATHE THE AIR?TM

Test the air you breathe each day and see whether it's really safe!

Ecology Kit VIII: HOW FISH BREATHE™ Discover how fish find oxygen in the water and learn why they may contain poisons dangerous to man!

Individual size kits (pictured) for one or two students -- \$6.00 each.

NEW! School Size Ecology Kits \$35.00 each. Ecology Kits I, II, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII are now available in the School Size. Each includes equipment for 10 students, 10 instruction booklets, and a teacher's manual, Equipment, booklets, and the manuals also may be purchased separately.



URBAN SYSTEMS, Inc.

1033 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Mass. 02138

Circle No. 128 on Reader Service Card

208

science news, vol. 99