# science news " to the editor

A Science Service Publication Vol. 103/Feb. 10, 1973/No. 6 Incorporating Science News Letter

## OF THE WEEK

mutagenic cancer cells	84
hodgkin's disease pattern	85
clean air deadline	85
rho prime meson discovered	86
making females feminine	86
endangered species studies	87
visualizing optic fibers	87

## NOTES

behavioral sciences	88
medical sciences	89
environment	89
physical sciences	90

## **ARTICLES**

planet around another star	91
future contraceptives	93

### **DEPARTMENTS**

films	82
letters	83
books	92

COVER: Bioengineering contraceptives for men should be commercially available in the next five years; once-a-month birth control pills for women and certainty for the rhythm method, within a decade; vaccinations against conception, within two decades. See p. 93. (Photo: Sperm cannot penetrate an antibody-coated egg, by C. A. Shivers, U. of Tenn.)

**Publisher** E. G. Sherburne Jr. **Editor** Kendrick Frazier Aerospace Everly Driscoll **Behavioral Sciences** Robert J. Trotter Medical Sciences Joan Arehart-Treichel Physical Sciences Dietrick E. Thomsen Staff Writer John H. Douglas Copy Editor Nadine Clement Assistant to the Editor Esther Gilgoff **Production Manager** Davida Daemon **Books** Margit Friedrich Circulation Manager Lawrence Cope Advertising Scherago Associates, Inc. 11 W. 42nd St., New York, N.Y. 10036 Fred W. Dieffenbach Sales Director

Copyright © 1973 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS is strictly prohibited.

## Subscription Department 231 West Center Street Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$10; 2 yrs., \$18; 3 yrs., \$25. (Add \$2 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$3 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Established as Sciense News Letter ® in mimeograph form March 13, 1922. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SER-VICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255). Cable SCIENSERV.

## Demystifying science's neutrality

In regard to your article "Science dissenters and social policy makers" (SN: 1/6/73, p. 5), we, as members of Science for the People (SFP) must respond to your comments about our actions at the

AAAS convention in Washington this year.

SFP does not attempt to "stifle" any discussions. Rather, we find it stifling that the AAAS structures its sessions so that the all-knowing luminary pontificates to a passive audience with their latest technological solutions to social problems.

SFP seeks to open these sessions and equalize the opportunities for both audience and panel to present their views.

In light of James Coleman's admission

that there is a lot of social research that is shoddy and that racist statements, in the guise of research, are actually nothing but statements of theory, we contend that the opening of these sessions is essential to the exposure of the lies, half-truths and racially biased reports passed off as scientific research.

SFP aims to demystify the political neutrality of science. Scientific research, teaching, advances and technology are by no means free of politial bias. To this end we engage in open discussion with all members of the scientific community and those affected by it.

We encourage response, pro or con, to our politics and actions. Please address comments to the address below.

Deborah Katz Philip Ostrow Science for the People 9 Walden St. Jamaica Plain, Mass. 02130

## The environmental planner

I read your recent article, "Geologists and the public: Taking the initiative" (SN: 11/25/72, p. 340) with great interest. The role of the scientist in providing unbiased and thoroughly accurate raw data is a coveted posture, which I am sure most scientists feel would be jeopardized, if they were approached to make a value judgment in the policyforming phase of land use planning.

I am also confident that such scientists would be reluctant to suggest a policy, which usually implicates natural, social and political sciences. Such a decisionmaker must ideally take a multi-disciplinary approach, and belongs to the new breed of planners, the environmental planner.

An environmental plan is premised upon an ecological study, and is often general, but comprehensive. Therefore, it is a scientifically based guide to ensure satisfactory balance between the needs and desires of the public and the supply of natural resources upon which the former depends. It guides the community's land use toward the highest use with the least expensive maintenance over time. It is thus a marriage of traditional methods and court-tested, scientifically founded new approaches to resolve old, but more complicated, problems. Nature consistently reminds us she is not a mistress to be abused, just as she dramatically demonstrated during the flood of Hurricane Agnes in June 1972. Less dramatic, but equally important examples would be septic field failure in the suburbs, which invites sewering, a higher development density potential, and eventually the city with its pollution of air, water and noise.

Not surprisingly, many geologists and other scientists, who wish to implement their expertise, are training to become environmental planners; but until they are so qualified, they are usually, and quite understandably, reluctant to take the initiative directly to the public.

R. Tek Nickerson Environmental Planner Cos Cob, Conn.

## Bison problem unresolved

May I congratulate you on Joan Arehart-Treichel's bison article last fall (SN: 9/2/72, p. 158). It is a pleasure to read an objective report that presents the views of both sides. I would stress that the proposal made to us would in fact mean killing more than 80 percent of the total park bison population because we can trap on only one of the three main wintering areas. (Wilderness zoning precludes consideration of trapping in the Pelican and Mary Mountain areas.)

I delayed commenting because I had hoped by this time to tell you that the controversy had been settled. However, we seem to be still far from reaching an agreement with the livestock interests and the Department of Agriculture.

Mary Meagher Research Scientist National Park Service Yellowstone National Park, Wyo. (Additional letters on p. 88)

Address communications to Editor. Science News, 1719 N Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

## SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the Popularization of Science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation

Board of Trustees—Nominated by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE: Bowen C. Dees, The Franklin Institute; Athelstan Spilhaus, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars; Deborah Partridge Wolfe, Queens College, Nominated by the NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES: Gerald F. Tape, Associated Universities; Allen V. Astin, National Bureau of Standards; Frederick Seitz, Rockefeller University: Nominated by the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL: Gerald Holton, Harvard University; Jacob Rabinow, National Bureau of Standards; Glenn T. Seaborg (President), University of California at Berkeley. Nominated by the JOURNALISTIC PROFESSION: Norman Cousins, "World"; Julius Duscha, Washington Journalism Center; O. W. Riegel (Secretary), Washington and Lee University. Nominated by the E. W. SCRIPPS TRUST: Milton Harris (Treasurer), Washington, D.C.; Edward W. Scripps II (Vice President and Chaiman of the Executive Committee), Edward W. Scripps Trust; John Troan, Pittsburgh Press.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Staff: Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.

february 10, 1973