

# Science<sup>®</sup> News

A Science Service Publication  
Vol. 106/August 10, 1974/No. 6  
Incorporating Science News Letter

## Of the Week

Ice Age habitat in Pennsylvania	84
Male infertility hormone	85
Migraines and food	85
Pesticides and EPA	86
Scatological precaution	86
Viewing sea-floor minerals	87

## Research Notes

Aerospace	88
Environment	88
Chemistry	89
Biomedicine	89

## Articles

Nutrition through a needle	90
The challenge to science	92

## Departments

Books	82
Letters	83
Off the Beat: Apollo	94
Films	95

**COVER:** Is reason sufficient to give men knowledge, or does the nonrational perspective also have a place? Is the great logical edifice of science too restrictive for the human spirit and, if so, what are the alternatives? These questions are the subject of the summer issue of *Daedalus*, which is reviewed on p. 92. (Drawing: M. C. Escher, Escher Foundation, Haags Gemeente-museum—The Hague)

<b>Publisher</b>	E. G. Sherburne Jr.
<b>Editor</b>	Kendrick Frazier
<b>Senior Editor and Physical Sciences</b>	Dietrick E. Thomsen
<b>Senior Editor and Behavioral Sciences</b>	Robert J. Trotter
<b>Biological Sciences</b>	Joan Arehart-Treichel
<b>Science and Society</b>	John H. Douglas
<b>Space Sciences</b>	Jonathan Eberhart
<b>Staff Reporter</b>	Janet H. Weinberg
<b>Writer/Copy Editor</b>	Lisa J. Shawver
<b>Art Director</b>	Dale Appleman
<b>Assistant to the Editor</b>	Esther Gilgoff
<b>Books</b>	Margit Friedrich
<b>Advertising</b>	Scherago Associates, Inc. 11 W. 42nd St. New York, N.Y. 10036 Fred W. Dieffenbach Sales Director

Copyright © 1974 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Reproduction of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS is strictly prohibited.

**Subscription Department**  
231 West Center Street  
Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$10; 2 yrs., \$18; 3 yrs., \$25. (Add \$2 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$3 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Established as Science News Letter® in mimeograph form March 13, 1922. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255). Cable SCIENSERV.

August 10, 1974

# To the Editor

## Aircraft crash tests

A recent issue of SCIENCE NEWS carried an excellent account of the aircraft crash research activity being conducted by NASA at its Langley Research Center (SN: 7/6/74, p. 10). Undoubtedly much benefit to crash-survival aspects of aircraft will result, and will also probably aid in protecting passengers in automobiles and other vehicles.

However, the article intimates that this is the first such research. To the best of my knowledge the first crash tests with aircraft took place at Wright Field about 1924. The aircraft, DeHaviland bombers converted to carry air mail, were run down a ramp under power and crashed into a concrete wall. The purpose was to discover why this airplane caught fire so quickly following a crash landing. The experiment was successful.

The next aircraft crash tests were instigated by Hugh De Haven, father of crash injury research, at the Cornell University Medical College in New York. This was in the spring of 1942. A Piper fuselage was allowed to run down a steel cable 60 feet long with a drop of 9 feet and decelerated in a distance of 7 to 8 inches. Much was learned from studying the actions of the dummy inside the cockpit.

The next tests were very elaborate ones conducted by NASA (then NACA) at its Lewis Research Center about 1954-55. Large and small aircraft were crash tested to study the crash fire problems, crash impact, seat design, etc. These were of great significance to the design of today's transports. Irving Pinkel and his group of engineers received an award for the excellence of this program.

About 1964-67 the Aviation Crash Inquiry Division of the Flight Safety Foundation, under contract with NASA, FAA, the Army, conducted a considerable number of controlled crashes of airplanes and helicopters. A DC-6 and a Constellation were among the transports that were crashed. The helicopters were both drop-tested and crashed from actual flight by remote control. The lessons learned are incorporated in today's airplanes and helicopters.

It is very heartening to know this work is being continued with greater sophistication by NASA at its Langley Research Center, especially in connection with light airplanes.

Jerome Lederer  
(Retired Director of Safety, NASA)  
Washington, D.C.

## Time navigation

The article called "Time Web," about the Naval Research Laboratory's TIMATION program, written by Jonathan Eberhart (SN: 7/13/74, p. 27), was both timely and extremely well written. Mr. Eberhart is to be congratulated for his very accurate and informative presentation.

You will be pleased to know that the latest TIMATION was launched on schedule, July 10, 1974, and is now functioning perfectly in orbit.

Roger L. Easton  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C.

## Metric units of force

Walter L. Rees says (Letters SN: 7/20/74, p. 35) that his physics text by Sears uses newtons and dynes as metric units of force.

*University Physics* by Sears and Zemansky is taken from the larger text by Sears. It explains that, "The 'kilogram force' is used as a force unit in engineering work in those countries which use the metric system exclusively" (p. 78, second edition).

Engineers do not think like physicists. One obvious example is that they like to use as a unit of force the commonly used unit of weight. In the United States engineers use the pound as a unit of force, based on the weight of a standard object also used as a standard unit of mass in the past. This is now defined in terms of the kilogram. The slug is then a derived unit of mass. The Russian engineers use the kilogram as a unit of force, the same as engineers of other metric countries.

Too bad the engineering teacher was too provincial to mention that.

Reuben Wolk  
Miami Beach, Fla

... Using the kilogram-force for Venus should be a no-no. If the metric engineering system is justifiable at all, it is so only on earth. Like the British engineering system (pound-force, poundal, slug, etc.), engineers have been fighting it for years.

Jerome S. Schaul  
Bloomfield, N.J.

Address communications to Editor,  
Science News, 1719 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

## SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the Popularization of Science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation

**Board of Trustees**—Nominated by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE: **Deborah P. Wolfe**, Queens College of City University of New York; **Bowen C. Dees**, The Franklin Institute; **Athelstan Spilhaus**, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Nominated by the NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES: **Gerald F. Tape**, Associated Universities; **Allen V. Astin**, National Academy of Sciences; **Glenn T. Seaborg** (President), University of California, Berkeley. Nominated by the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL: **Gerald Holton**, Harvard University; **Joseph W. Berg Jr.**, National Research Council; **Aaron Rosenthal**, National Academy of Sciences. Nominated by the JOURNALISTIC PROFESSION: **Norman Cousins**, "World"; **Julius Dushka**, Washington Journalism Center; **O. W. Riegel** (Secretary), Washington and Lee University. Nominated by E. W. SCRIPPS TRUST: **Milton Harris** (Treasurer), Washington, D.C.; **Edward W. Scripps II** (Vice President and Chairman of the Executive Committee), Edward W. Scripps Trust; **John Troan**, Pittsburgh Press.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.

83