

## OF THE WEEK

Kammerer case: <i>Ciona</i> revisited	348
White House to get science adviser	349
Racemization dating fine tuned	349
Eye color and pain sensitivity	350
Push on for electric car	351
Inbreeding avoidance in baboons	351
Soviet space rendezvous	351

## RESEARCH NOTES

Biomedicine	352
Behavior	352
Space Sciences	353
Earth Sciences	353

## ARTICLES

Supernovas and the weak interaction	354
Reevaluation of stress research	356

## DEPARTMENTS

Books	346
Letters	347

**COVER:** Recent research suggests that the traditional understanding of stress reactions may be somewhat misleading. Psychological, as opposed to physiological, factors may be more important than has been previously recognized. See p. 356.

<b>Publisher</b>	E. G. Sherburne Jr.
<b>Editor</b>	Kendrick Frazier
<b>Senior Editor and Physical Sciences</b>	Dietrick E. Thomsen
<b>Senior Editor and Behavioral Sciences</b>	Robert J. Trotter
<b>Biomedical Sciences</b>	Joan Arehart-Treichel
<b>Chemistry and Biology</b>	Janet H. Weinberg
<b>Science and Society</b>	John H. Douglas
<b>Space Sciences</b>	Jonathan Eberhart
<b>Copy Editor</b>	Joyce Seidman
<b>Art Director</b>	Dale Appleman
<b>Assistant to the Editor</b>	Esther Gilgoff
<b>Books</b>	Margit Friedrich
<b>Advertising</b>	Scherago Associates, Inc. 11 W. 42nd St. New York, N.Y. 10036 Fred W. Dieffenbach Sales Director

Copyright © 1975 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS is strictly prohibited.

Editorial and Business Offices  
1719 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Subscription Department  
231 West Center Street  
Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$10; 2 yrs., \$18; 3 yrs., \$25. (Add \$2 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$3 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255). Cable SCIENSERV. Telex 64227.

MAY 31, 1975

# LETTERS

## IUD cover—II

I was most surprised to read the adverse responses to the IUD cover (4/5/75) by Ann Lunsford in recent letters to the editor. I fail to see anything insulting or degrading about it. I take these devices as seriously as anyone else—perhaps more so, being a woman of childbearing age with a certain vested interest. A little graphic imagination does not imply a frivolous attitude toward the subject.

When women have gotten so incredibly self-absorbed and supersensitive that they take umbrage at practically every innocent remark (verbal, visual, or otherwise), I am embarrassed to be identified as part of that group.

I was quite impressed with the cover, and in fact mentioned it to several friends. It was clever, imaginative, and it drew attention to the feature article—and isn't that what a cover graphic is for?

Toni L. Williams  
University Park, Pa.

## Ozone for drinking water

The Environmental Protection Agency's belief that chlorination remains the single most effective means of water purification (SN: 4/26/75, p. 269) is unjustified. A new, more efficient technology, far superior to chlorination in terms of environmental and economic benefits, is now available. The new method, designed by Telecommunications Industries, Inc., uses ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) to rapidly oxidize impurities in water and ultrasonic frequencies to physically break them down so as to expose more surface area, thereby increasing the rate of oxidation. As a result, impure water flows through the system at a much faster rate, meaning that ozone-ultrasonic plants need only to occupy one-fifth the amount of land required by conventional chlorination plants of comparable output. Of more importance is the fact that unlike chlorine, ozone is very unstable and will not remain in the purified water as a residue for long, since it gradually decomposes into oxygen. As for the system's purifying ability, no regrowth of microorganisms has been observed in ozone-ultrasonic treated water even after an incubation period of 72 hours.

The advantages of ozone-ultrasonic purification are even more apparent when viewed in light of the drawbacks to chlorination. Economically, chlorine is a problem because it is expensive and in short supply, and

because it is fairly poisonous, more elaborate mechanisms are needed for its transport and storage. Environmentally, chlorine and its byproducts in chlorination pose serious problems because considerable amounts accumulate in lakes and reservoirs, and thus contaminate our drinking water, as evidenced in New Orleans and other cities.

The EPA has been made aware of this new technology, and was supposed to have considered the construction of a pilot plant at Indianatown, Fla. Apparently, due to the influence of industry lobbyists or EPA incompetence, the agency has instead decided to support the conventional, polluting chlorination process. Such a policy can only be detrimental. Americans will continue to consume more carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, vinyl chloride, and other carcinogens in their water every day. If we cannot deal successfully with this simple problem of water purification, how can we expect to handle more complex problems involving the nation's future water supply? We soon may have a national program of water rationing.

Jerome Liebelson  
Spring Valley, N.Y.

**Russell Train states** that chlorination remains the single most effective method of preventing serious water-borne bacterial diseases such as typhoid and cholera. Believe I would take either of these maladies over cancer. Reckon Mr. Train is familiar with ozone treatment?

Luther W. McGinty  
Chattanooga, Tenn.

## Anthropological film center

We would like to call your attention to a few inaccuracies in "Filming Fading Faces" (SN: 5/17/75, p. 326). First, the support for the National Anthropological Film Center at the Smithsonian comes from the National Endowment for the Humanities and the National Institutes of Health, not the National Science Foundation. Second, it was Dr. Norman Miller, not Dr. Richard Sorenson, who utilized the expertise of the American Universities Field Staff Correspondents and organized the filming of all five cultures. Third, the AUFS, whose films were premiered at the Smithsonian, employs a group of 15 (not 28) journalists and former professors to report from various parts of the world.

Dr. Alan W. Horton, Executive Director  
American Universities Field Staff  
Hanover, N.H.

Dr. E. Richard Sorenson, Director  
National Anthropological Film Center  
Smithsonian Institution  
Washington, D.C.

## SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the Popularization of Science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation

**Board of Trustees**—Nominated by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE: **Deborah P. Wolfe**, Queens College of City University of New York; **Bowen C. Dees**, The Franklin Institute; **Athelstan Spilhaus**, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Nominated by the NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES: **Gerald F. Tape**, Associated Universities; **Allen V. Astin**, National Academy of Sciences; **Glenn T. Seaborg** (President), University of California, Berkeley. Nominated by the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL: **Gerald Holton**, Harvard University; **Joseph W. Berg Jr.**, National Research Council; **Aaron Rosenthal**, National Academy of Sciences. Nominated by the JOURNALISTIC PROFESSION: **Norman Cousins**, *Saturday Review*; **Julius Duscha**, Washington Journalism Center; **O. W. Riegel** (Secretary), Washington and Lee University. Nominated by E. W. Scripps Trust: **Milton Harris** (Treasurer), Washington, D.C.; **Edward W. Scripps II** (Vice President and Chairman of the Executive Committee), Edward W. Scripps Trust; **John Troan**, Pittsburgh Press.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.

347