the plant is now expected to cost \$1.9 billion (up another 12 percent) and that the earliest it can be completed is 1983.

Substantial increases are also planned for improving nuclear waste management, fuel reprocessing and safeguards (up 73 percent overall), and for energy conservation (up 46 percent). A revised estimate of what will be needed to achieve energy independence by 1985 is being prepared by ERDA, and conservation is expected to substantially lower the energy demand projections. The administration is still pressing for creation of an Energy Independence Authority to loan money to private industry to commercialize experimentally developed products that might help achieve energy independence (SN: 10/18/75, p. 244).

Congress is expected to react somewhat skeptically to this proposal, as well as to the relatively modest increase in solar energy funding, up 34 percent to \$160 million. Solar funding doubled entering the present fiscal year and many Congressmen are reported ready to increase

it substantially again, making it more on a par with other projects.

The largest nonnuclear R&D program involves making coal more environmentally acceptable. Some \$409 million is being authorized for coal research, including \$107 million for building demonstration plants—more than double last year's funding. Research on exploiting fossil fuels in-situ is scheduled to rise nearly 50 percent, to \$31 million.

In fusion research, rapid acceleration of magnetic reactor projects accounts for the largest share of a 56 percent increase to \$392 million. Laser fusion shares \$101 million of that total. The Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor began construction during the present fiscal year.

In his State of the Union message, President Ford emphasized the importance of energy research, conservation and development of domestic resources. Foreign oil, he said, is costing each American \$125 a year, and he tied conservation of energy to revitalization of the nation's railroads and urban transportation.

Biomedical: New change in emphasis

In view of President Ford's emphasis on holding down Federal spending in fiscal 1977, "the National Institutes of Health has done relatively well," declares Donald S. Frederickson, director of NIH. If what he says is correct, then the nation's biomedical research will do relatively well, because the overwhelming bulk of it is financed by NIH.

The FY 1977 Federal budget requests \$2.165 billion for NIH, an \$185 million increase over the President's revised authorization for fiscal 1976, which was \$1.980 billion.

Whether all of the \$2.165 billion, or more or less, ends up in scientists' pockets remains to be seen, though Congress, for example, insisted on spending more on NIH research in fiscal 1976 than the President wanted to-specifically \$2.240 billion. However, President Ford was recalcitrant about not draining the Federal till and vetoed the appropriation. On Jan. 27 the House will try to override this veto. In short, how much of the President's original NIH budget request for fiscal 1976 will ultimately be spent has still not been decided. So it's far too early to know whether the more generous amount he has earmarked for fiscal 1977 will be endorsed by Congress, upped or slashed. And then, there is the ever-pressing nemesis of inflation. It's undoubtedly going to erode away at whatever research funds are appropriated.

Probably the more intriguing aspect of the fiscal 1977 NIH budget is not the amount of money authorized, but the shift in emphasis on what area of research is to get what amount. Whereas the National Cancer Institute was earmarked for a \$5 million increase in fiscal 1976 over fiscal

1975, it has been designated only \$1 million more in fiscal 1977 over fiscal 1976. In contrast, the National Heart and Lung Institute will get \$38 million more in fiscal 1977 over fiscal 1976, compared to a \$20 million increase in fiscal 1976. Equally striking, the other research institutes will be allocated \$120 million more in fiscal 1977 than in fiscal 1976, compared with an \$89 million increase the previous year. Even though NCI's increase is not as much as before, though, it is still receiving considerably more than the other institutes—e.g., \$685 million versus \$343 million for NHLI.

Specifically, the administration is attempting to increase funding for research on not just specific diseases such as heart and cardiovascular disease, arthritis, diabetes and neurological disorders, but also on the cellular and molecular bases of disease. It is also attempting to give more emphasis to the fields of immunology, aging and environmental health, and to a more effective evaluation of the long-term benefits of basic research to applied research.

Health care programs the President is stressing include health manpower legislation that shifts emphasis from increasing supply to the more urgent problem of improving distribution of health resources. He is also continuing support of the Health Maintenance Organizations, which consist of multispecialty group medical practices, and Professional Standard Review Organizations. The PSRO's consist of groups of physicians around the United States who set standards for health care used to reimburse physicians who treat Medicare and Medicaid patients (SN: 7/20/74, p. 38).

Space: Oh, for those old-time dollars

If the fattest budget in the history of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the one from the peak Apollo year of fiscal 1966, were measured in the shrunken dollars available for FY 1977, it would have amounted to a towering \$11.4 billion. In FY 1977 itself, NASA finds itself planning to spend only 3.676 billion of those same shrunken dollars, based on a budget calling for \$3.697 billion in new money from Congress.

lion in new money from Congress.
"We had hoped," says NASA Administrator James C. Fletcher, "and fully expected, to present a much more aggressive space and aeronautics program for FY 1977," but it was not to be. There's not a single cent even for energy research, leaving such work at NASA installations such as Langley Research Center in Virginia to that performed for, and funded by, other agencies. The space agency has had to postpone the beginnings of a variety of projects, including a combination orbiter-and-atmospheric-probe of Jupiter (devoutly sought by Pioneer 10 and 11 scientists, some of whom feel that another mere orbiter is not even worth building); a larger, Mariner-class, two-planet mission that would visit both Jupiter and Uranus (one of the Mariner Jupiter-Saturn spacecraft scheduled for launch in 1977 may be upgraded and sent to Jupiter and Uranus instead); and the embattled Large Space Telescope. The space shuttle is still aimed at a first orbital flight late in 1979, but later steps, including the purchase of a third "orbiter" section—the airplanelike spacecraft itself—have also been forestalled nearly a year.

Yet NASA has managed to fit the beginnings of three new programs into its spending plan, one of which could make as much of a difference in the cost of future satellites as the shuttle is hoped to represent for the launch business. Called the Solar Maximum Mission, it would use one of the earliest shuttle flights to launch a probe for the study of the sun during a peak acitivity period in 1979-80. More important, the satellite is to be the first built using a modular, reusable structure that can be retrieved (again by the shuttle) and refurnished with completely different instruments for other missions. Once this multipurpose spacecraft comes into regular use, says E.Z. Gray, NASA assistant administrator for industry affairs, "we expect to save about \$20 million every time we use it.

Also getting underway in FY 1977 will be Magsat, planned to chart the earth's magnetic field to high accuracy and hunt anomalies which could represent subterranean mineral deposits, and a "Thematic Mapper"—a high-resolution earth-resources probe with up to seven channels (compared to the Landsats' four).

JANUARY 24, 1976 53