

OF THE WEEK

Discovery claim: Elements 116, 126	404
Viking 1 in Mars orbit	405
Natural pain killer	406
Endangered species protection	406
Hominid species contemporaneous	406
Skin oxygen sensing	407
Women and development	407

RESEARCH NOTES

Zoology	410
---------	-----

ARTICLES

Chromodynamics	408
----------------	-----

SEMIANNUAL INDEX

411

DEPARTMENTS

Letters	403
---------	-----

COVER: Physicists' present description of the "elementary" particle demands a paradoxically extensive array of particle traits (quantum properties). Baryons, mesons, leptons, and photons are distinguishable from one another in part by possessing their own peculiar combination of properties. Besieged physicists have resorted to whimsically imaginative labels for these endowments like the latest: "charm," "flavor" and "color." See p. 408. (Drawing: David Suter)

Publisher	E. G. Sherburne Jr.
Editor	Kendrick Frazier
Senior Editor and Physical Sciences	Dietrick E. Thomsen
Senior Editor and Behavioral Sciences	Robert J. Trotter
Biomedical Sciences	Joan Arehart-Treichel
Biology/Chemistry	Janet L. Hopson
Science and Society	John H. Douglas
Space Sciences	Jonathan Eberhart
Contributing Editor/ Mathematics	Lynn Arthur Steen
Copy Editor	Michelle Galler Riegel
Art Director	Dale Appelman
Assistant to the Editor	Mary Kelly
Books	Margit Friedrich
Advertising	Scherago Associates, Inc. 11 W. 42nd St. New York, N.Y. 10036 Fred W. Dieffenbach Sales Director

Copyright © 1976 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS is prohibited.

Editorial and Business Offices
1719 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Subscription Department
231 West Center Street
Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$10; 2 yrs., \$18; 3 yrs., \$25. (Add \$2 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$3 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255). Cable SCIENSERV. Telex 64227.

LETTERS

On acceptable risk

Your article on "Acceptable Risk" (SN: 4/24/76, p. 263) surely points out a very important principle: If the effect of a cause is so small that it cannot be measured, it is not worth worrying about.

In our highly scientific and technical society, we are able to measure very small quantities of almost anything. Theoreticians then work with these very small quantities, call them causes, and conclude that there must be an effect. Pure researchers are then only too eager to measure the negligible effect and appear to be very ready to threaten us with doom if the relationship between cause and effect is not established. This is the wrong way of making progress.

Lionel H. Brooks
Leucadia, Calif.

No real paradox

It appears to me that the Einstein-Rosen-Podolsky paradox, as described by Dietrick E. Thomsen in the May 22 SCIENCE NEWS, is no real paradox at all, but is just a bit of semantic sleight-of-hand. According to Thomsen, "If the photon passes and is detected, the experimenter immediately knows how his opposite number 10 or 15 light-years away must have set his polarizer to pass his photon."

In actuality, the experimenter *knows* nothing of the sort. He simply *believes* that this is what the other person did, making an inference based on previous information. The only thing he knows is that the positronium emitted two photons some time in the past and that he has detected one of them. He then *infers* that the other was detected 10 light years away. In actuality the other photon might have been absorbed in a passing star, or the other observer might have died during the ten years since the agreement was made. In other words, no information at all has passed between the two observers. The path of information flow is along the world line from the annihilating positrons to the two observers.

A similar kind of situation arises if you send a message by radio from earth to a number of distant stars. The stars can be many light-years from each other, but since they are equidistant from the earth they all receive the message simultaneously. If the message states that "The people on stars A, B, and C are receiving this message simultaneously," then those on star A know immediately what those on star B are reading. Would you say that information has traveled faster than light from B to A? Certainly not.

Information has gone from earth to A and to B and to C at lightspeed. It has not gone from B to A.

For more examples of seemingly paradoxical situations, see my article "Things that go Faster Than Light," in the July 1960 SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN.

I am surprised that after all this time people are still worrying about these fake paradoxes.

Milton A. Rothman
Trenton State College
Trenton, N.J.

Rejecting the alternatives offered by Dirac and Heisenberg, I believe that Bohr refused in effect to countenance a view of science as either the *creation* of reality or the *discovery* of a reality already there in itself. Quantum mechanics does make the observer a participator, but I think that in Bohr's view this does not mean that the observer's choices "... will determine what he finds." Thomsen's article, however, leaves one with the impression that the only alternative to the classical definition of reality as an objective *fact* is the one in which it is a subjective *idea*. Reality may have "... no objective existence apart from the act of observation," but the act of observation neither constitutes nor determines that reality. The participation of the observer, then, is best understood as a dialogue in which the experimental procedures are questions put to nature and in which nature plays its part both as listener and speaker. Quantum mechanics, particularly in Bohr's interpretation, is, therefore, a radical challenge to both realist and idealist visions of the theory and practice of science.

Robert D. Romanyshyn, Ph. D.
University of Dallas
Irving, Tex.

No annual effect

In a letter in your May 22 edition, J. F. Messina raised the possibility that I might be observing an annual variation in G, in my experimental measurements of the gravitational constant (SN:9/17/76, p. 244).

This cannot be the case because I compare the value of the gravitational constant at a mass separation of 29.9 cm with the value of the gravitational constant at a mass separation of 4.48 cm over a period of a few hours. The comparisons were sequentially sandwiched in time and all of the measurements given in the figure in NATURE (Vol. 260, 1976) were taken in August 1975. Hence, there is no possibility that some annual effect could be operative here.

Daniel R. Long
Associate Professor of Physics
Eastern Washington State College
Cheney, Wash.

SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the Popularization of Science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation
Board of Trustees—Nominated by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE: **Deborah P. Wolfe**, Queens College of City University of New York; **Bowen C. Dees**, The Franklin Institute; **Athelstan Spilhaus**, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Nominated by the NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES: **Gerald F. Tape**, Associated Universities; **Allen V. Astin**, Bethesda, Md.; **Glenn T. Seaborg** (President), University of California, Berkeley. Nominated by the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL: **Gerald Holton**, Harvard University; **Joseph W. Berg Jr.**, National Research Council; **Aaron Rosenthal**, National Academy of Sciences. Nominated by the JOURNALISTIC PROFESSION: **Edward Bliss Jr.**, American University; **Julius Duschka**, Washington Journalism Center; **O. W. Riegel** (Secretary), Washington and Lee University. Nominated by E. W. Scripps Trust: **Milton Harris** (Treasurer), Washington, D.C.; **Edward W. Scripps II** (Vice President and Chairman of the Executive Committee), Edward W. Scripps Trust; **John Troan**, Pittsburgh Press.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.