

analyze urea concentration, for example, a glass electrode that senses ammonium ions was coated with urease. That enzyme converts urea to bicarbonate and ammonium ions. The change in electrical potential measured by the ammonium electrode reflects the urea concentration in the sample.

The number of substances that can be sensed this way is limited because it is difficult to identify, isolate and store the appropriate enzymes. Researchers must also identify all required cofactors and attach them, along with the enzymes, to the electrode tip.

Now Kobos and Rechnitz are using intact bacteria to sense biological chemicals. The living cells, they explain, have evolved to contain enzymes and cofactors at optimum conditions for carrying out specific reactions.

The tip of the new electrode contains

a thick slurry of bacteria, trapped between a cellophane membrane in contact with the test solution and a gas-permeable membrane in contact with a standard ammonia-sensitive electrode. Kobos and Rechnitz have selected bacteria that convert amino acid arginine to ammonia, and the standard electrode senses that product. All other chemicals tested gave a response less than a hundredth as large as the response to arginine.

"We knew we wanted bacteria that produce ammonia, and this type effectively converts arginine to ammonia," Rechnitz says. "But we are particularly interested in arginine, because we have no enzyme electrode for that amino acid."

By choosing different bacteria, Rechnitz plans to build electrode probes that can measure more and more molecules not detectable with standard electrochemical or enzyme electrodes. □

Ford announces new nuclear policy

President Ford has released details of the long-awaited policy shift designed to reduce the danger of international nuclear technology proliferation. The new policy is based on the findings of a task force headed by Robert W. Fri, deputy administrator of the Energy Research and Development Administration. The basic conclusions of the study, previously leaked to the press, have already become the subject of much controversy and election-year politics (SN: 10/16/76, p. 244).

As expected, the President called for a three-year international moratorium on the transfer of sensitive nuclear technology, such as the sale of fuel reprocessing or enrichment plants, that might enable more countries to develop atomic weapons. He also said that the United States would "no longer regard reprocessing of used nuclear fuel to produce plutonium as a necessary and inevitable step in the nuclear fuel cycle." Such a statement calls into question the future of two huge government-sponsored projects—the development of a prototype breeder reactor and construction of a demonstration plant for reprocessing nuclear fuel.

At a White House press conference, Fri repeatedly hedged questions on whether a reprocessing plant under construction at Barnwell, S.C., would be completed. He no longer called the project a "demonstration program," but rather insisted on the term "evaluation program." Then, to further obscure the issue, he concluded: "And we are going to consult with other countries and undertake an analysis in ERDA to determine exactly what that means."

Regarding the future of the breeder reactor, Fri said no decision would be made until 1986 on whether these should be commercialized, and that by then "there will be adequate information available on the reprocessing and nonproliferation effects." Breeder reactors would require reprocessing plants in order that the "bred" plutonium could be separated out for use as fuel.

To implement the policy changes, President Ford announced several new administrative and diplomatic initiatives. He pledged to offer official letters of intent to foreign customers of American nuclear fuel, promising continued adequate supplies if the countries involved would cooperate in the nonproliferation efforts. He said he would propose legislation to the next Congress to expand enriched uranium facilities in this country, so that such fuel guarantees could be made. And he directed ERDA to demonstrate the feasibility of all components of nuclear waste management technology by 1978, so that an adequate repository for such wastes could be completed by 1985. □

Advances in stroke: Encouraging progress

Encouraging results in the diagnosis, treatment and prevention of stroke, the third leading cause of death among Americans and a major crippler, were discussed last week at the 50th Anniversary Congress of the Pan American Medical Association in Hollywood, Fla.

In the past decade, physicians have come to realize that a stroke is not due to a spasm or hardening of arteries of the brain. Rather a stroke is due to a clot in those arteries (a thrombus), a clot in the carotid artery in the neck or in an artery in the brainstem (an embolus), or to hemorrhage of arteries in the brain. A clot blocks the transmission of oxygen and nutrients to neurons, killing them within seconds. Neuronal death then leads to brain damage and possibly paralysis. A clot can make the brain swell so much that it no longer fits the skull and leads to death. Hemorrhage can also cause brain damage, paralysis and death.

As for the diagnosis of stroke, one of the major new advances is the CAT (computerized axial tomography) scanner. X-ray images shot from many angles are combined mathematically into a cross-sectional picture of the brain (SN: 3/13/76, p. 170). For instance, if hemorrhage in the cerebellum of the brain is not diagnosed and corrected within three hours, a patient can die, Irving S. Wright, professor of medicine at Cornell University Medical Center, points out. Arteriography (the injection of a radiopaque material into the arteries of the brain so that X-rays can visualize them) used to be the only technique available for detecting a hemorrhage, and it was not particularly effective. Now the CAT scanner, he says, can reveal hemorrhaging within an hour. The CAT scanner is also noninvasive and perfectly safe, which is not the case with arteriography. The CAT scanner can likewise provide quick and safe diagnoses of

hemorrhaging in the cerebrum of the brain, reports Robert Schwartzman of the University of Miami School of Medicine.

Surgery and even microsurgery represent several recent advances in the treatment of stroke. For example, if a person wakes up in the morning with a paralyzed arm, or cannot talk or see, he may well have an embolus in the carotid artery. The surgeon may operate and remove the clot. Or, if the carotid artery is really blocked, the surgeon might use microsurgery, hooking up the carotid to another artery in the brain. That way blood coming from the heart can bypass the clot and still reach the brain. Carotid surgery should be performed only by skilled surgical teams, however, Noble Davis of the University of Miami School of Medicine stresses, and only on select patients. Microsurgery, he says, is an even more heroic type of treatment and has even more limited application.

There is still no surgery available for clots in the posterior circulation of the brain—in the brainstem. However, a number of neurologists use anticoagulants to treat this kind of stroke and report success with them. Two studies, one in Canada and another sponsored by the Veterans Administration, also tentatively suggest that aspirin can help dissolve clots in the posterior circulation.

Paralysis due to stroke can also be reversed if rehabilitation is started immediately, Wright reports.

But probably the greatest advance that has come in countering strokes in the past decade is the realization that several small strokes, or transient ischemic attacks, may constitute early warning signals before a massive stroke. This means that if the physician is able to detect one of these strokes, he may be able to remove a clot or stop hemorrhaging before major brain damage, paralysis or death ensue. □