Science Service Publication Vol. 111/March 12, 1977/No. 11 Incorporating Science News Letter

OF THE WEEK	
Recombinant DNA:	
Jumping gene era	164
Conflict at NAS	165
Yeast works in bacteria	165
CO₂ laser fusion	166
Plan to protect ozone	166
MJS becomes Voyager	166
Palmdale bulge larger	167
Autism: Heredity and injury	167
Scientist heads FDA	168
Japan's geosynchronous satellite	168
Science Talent Search winners	168
RESEARCH NOTES	
Biomedicine	170
Science & Society	170
Behavior	172
ADTIOL EQ	
ARTICLES	
CCD astronomy	169
X-ray microscopy	171

COVER: A new technique called X-ray lithography can produce finely etched patterns in metal, like the magnetic bubble circuit shown here. The X-rays can also be used to reveal the minute internal structure of samples of living tissue. The techniques, developed by the Research Division of IBM, have several advantages over comparable electron-beam techniques. advantages over comparable electron-beam techniques. See p. 173. (Photo: IBM)

162

163

DEPARTMENTS

Books

Letters

Publisher E. G. Sherburne Jr. Editor Kendrick Frazier

Senior Editor and Physical Sciences Dietrick E. Thomsen Biomedical Sciences Joan Arehart-Treichel Life Sciences Julie Ann Miller Science and Society John H. Douglas **Space Sciences** Jonathan Eberhart **Contributing Editors:**

Janet L. Hopson (biology), Robert J. Trotter (behavior), Lynn Arthur Steen (mathematics)

Science Writer Intern: Donald E. Holmes Copy Editor Michelle Galler Riegel **Art Director** Dale Appleman Assistant to the Editor Evelyn Harris **Books** Margit Friedrich **Business Manager** Donald Harless Advertising Scherago Associates, Inc. 11 W. 42nd St., New York, N.Y. 10036 Fred W. Dieffenbach, Sales Director

Copyright © 1977 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS is prohibited.

Editorial and Business Offices 1719 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Subscription Department 231 West Center Street Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$12.50; 2 yrs., \$22; 3 yrs., \$30. (Add \$2 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$3 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255)TWX 710-822-9433 SCIEN NEWS.



Evolution vs. creationism: The response

The reader response to a recent brief news article "Scientists Answer the Creationists" (SN: 2/5/77, p. 85) poses problems for our small letters section. I want to share the situation with you. The main problem is volume: There are enough letters to fill six weeks' worth of these columns. Our article was less than 500 words—about what, given other demands on our limited space, I felt the subject was worth. There are other problems as well. Feelings run very strong on this issue. Many of the letters state strong personal beliefs. That is fine; we all have our beliefs. Few of the letters, however, add any new factual information to the debate. Furthermore, even to our nonexpert eyes, many of the letters seem to us to distort the facts that are available on the subject of evolution. Publishing these uncritically would require rejoinders from others attempting to set the record straight and result in an endless round of communications. Even if we had that kind of space, which we don't, I'm not sure anything would be gained. It's a classic "more heat than light" controversy.

In the interests of fairness, however, I offer this summary of the response

The overwhelming majority of the letters were critical of the statement, signed by 179 scientists, educators and religious leaders, upholding evolution as a widely held scientific principle. Of 27 letters received, 24 were critical. Of the three that were not, only one expressly stated support for the statement. It is well known that statements of this sort elicit response mainly from those with contrary views, while those in agreement see no need to acknowledge their assent, so the ratio is perhaps not entirely surprising.

A number of the letter writers identified themselves as members of the creationist movement; others made no such mention.

The criticisms ranged all the way from good-natured chiding of the statement's authors over particular phrases to intensely stated procreationist, antievolution assertions.

On the mild side, one of the common complaints (voiced by many) concerned the implication in the title of our article that no scientists are creationists. (One reader provided names of nine scientists who are, and

several of the writers said they were scientists.) Other frequently voiced complaints concerned the statement's contention that no "competent" biologist takes any nonevolutionary principle seriously, thereby defining competency in terms of agreement with the signers' views, and the contention that "no alternative theories" to evolution are taken seriously, considered by many respondents to be unwisely absolutist.

On the other extreme were assertions that evolution itself is based totally on faith. "Evolutionists typically argue by elitist appeal to authority," said one writer. "Evolution as a theory is based completely on a priori and circular reasoning without any concrete foundation," claimed another. Said a third: "The monkey theory of evolution is only one of the five (or more) theories. . Faith in these theories is really a form of religion.

Others contend that the fossil record is inadequate to support evolution. "Prove that the scale of reptiles evolved into the bird feathers that have such amazing morphology" demands one. "The fossil record presents abundant evidence which supports the creation model, but there is yet no certain evidence to support evolution," claims another.

Still others portrayed the matter as an attempt to suppress freedom and/or religious truth. "... mindless, discourteous obnoxious brand of reversed persecution," was one characterization. "Reminds me of the persecutions during Copernicus's time, was another. "Anyone trying to shut people off from an idea is just plain scared of that particular idea," said another.

This gives some idea of the range of responses. But there is no way in our limited space to give a full picture of either their content or flavor. Much could be added here in the way of information or comment. For now we'll forego that temptation.

–Kendrick Frazier

A mental tapestry

Anthropology is far from being my raison d'etre. So it was with some reluctance that I turned to "Unraveling a Mayan Mystery" (SN: 1/29/77, p. 74). Yet, by the end of the article, the weaving of geo- and anthropologic facts turned to a mental tapestry.

Anytime facts pointedly related to one investigation mesh fluidly with several distinct disciplines, generally unconnected chords within my mind are together struck. The novel resonance asserts itself in passing and refreshes.

Continue the fine reporting.

Walt James Madison, Wis.

SCIENCE SERVICE Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation

Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation

Board of Trustees—Nominated by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF

SCIENCE: Deborah P. Wolfe, Queens College of City University of New York; Bowen C. Dees, The Franklin

Institute; Athelstan Spilhaus, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Nominated by the NA
TIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES: Gerald F. Tape, Associated Universities; Allen V. Astin, Bethesda,

Md.; Glenn T. Seaborg (President), University of California, Berkeley. Nominated by the NATIONAL

RESEARCH COUNCIL: Gerald Holton, Harvard University; Joseph W. Berg Jr., National Research Council;

Aaron Rosenthal, National Academy of Sciences. Nominated by the JOURNALISTIC PROFESSION:

Edward Bliss Jr., American University; Julius Duscha, Washington Journalism Center; O. W. Riegel

(Secretary), Washington and Lee University. Nominated by E. W. Scripps Trust: Milton Harris (Treasurer),

Washington, D.C.; Edward W. Scripps II (Vice President and Chairman of the Executive Committee), Edward

W. Scripps Trust; John Troan, Pittsburgh Press.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.

MARCH 12, 1977