

OF THE WEEK

Newest UV observer findings	100
DES study reveals no cancer	100
The earth does not expand	101
Gout drug prevents second heart attacks	101
Parents' visits an aid to foster children	101
Brain proteins may share origins	102
Director of Fermilab resigns	102
DOE biomedical research criticized	103

RESEARCH NOTES

Biomedicine	104
Physical Sciences	104
Biology	105

ARTICLES

Fishlights	106
------------	-----

DEPARTMENTS

Letters	99
---------	----

COVER: Light organ beneath the eye of the flashlight fish nurtures luminous bacteria. The light, thought to be the most intense of any luminescent organism, is used by the fish for seeing, communicating, attracting prey and frightening predators. Biologists are now investigating the bacterial side of the partnership. See p. 106. (Photo: David Powell, Steinhart Aquarium, San Francisco)

Publisher	E. G. Sherburne Jr.
Editor	Robert J. Trotter
Senior Editor and Physical Sciences	Dietrick E. Thomsen
Behavioral Sciences	Joel Greenberg
Biomedicine	Joan Arehart-Treichel
Life Sciences	Julie Ann Miller
Policy/Technology	Janet Raloff
Space Sciences	Jonathan Eberhart
Contributing Editors	Lynn Arthur Steen (mathematics) Kendrick Frazier John H. Douglas Susan West Roberta Navickis Judy Klein
Science Writer Interns	Dale Appleman
Assistant Editor	Felicia Rosenblatt
Art Director	Jane M. Livermore
Assistant to the Editor	Donald Harless
Books	Scherago Associates 1515 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10036
Business Manager	Fred W. Dieffenbach, Sales Director
Advertising	

Copyright © 1978 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is prohibited.

Editorial and Business Offices
1719 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Subscription Department
231 West Center Street
Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$12.50; 2 yrs., \$22; 3 yrs., \$30. (Add \$2 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$3 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc. 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255) TWX 710-822-9433 SCIEN NEWS. ISSN 0036-8423

LETTERS

Off with your head

I protest your misleading headline "Air-pollution study: Controls too strict" (SN: 1/14/78, p. 21).

The article under this headline reviewed a cost/benefit study of the investment costs of air pollution control programs versus the dollar savings in pollution-related human death and disease.

The analysis produced two major findings: (1) control programs for stationary air pollution sources as of 1979 will yield *savings on the order of \$16 billion annually*; (2) control programs for mobile emission sources produce a negative payback of some \$3 to \$6 billion annually — even while producing \$5 billion in savings.

But even more momentous than the study's findings was the comment of one of the authors of the analysis: "Perhaps the most serious deficiency we encountered in investigating the air pollution-mortality relationship was ... obtaining adequate air quality data."

Since, obviously, the results of such a study can be no better than the data that produced them, a far more appropriate headline would have been: "Air-pollution study: Adequate data lacking," or something similar.

To distill the import of the study into "controls are too strict" is a serious editorial distortion.

Carol C. Wagner
Burlington, Vt.

The scientist as critic

I read with great interest of the plight of the "refuseniks" in the Soviet Union and of their counterparts in Argentina: lamentable situations yet encouraging to those who wish to offer aid (SN: 1/7/78, p. 7).

But in the same issue we read of Ralph Moss, fired from Sloan-Kettering Institute for co-authoring a critique of an SKI report on Laetrile. And we recall last year's SCIENCE NEWS exposure of firings and blacklistings of engineering personnel from respected firms for criticizing those firms. And who can forget J. Robert Oppenheimer or Linus Pauling?

Given the system of job procurement in the United States, with its reliance on a previous employer's determination of the "good behavior" of an applicant, a scientist of critical mind may suffer long periods of unemployment and find the laboratory and the journal inaccessible.

We haven't gotten around to midnight police raids yet, have we?

J. P. Mayer
Chicago, Ill.

Japanese Science

There is only one crucial remark in John H. Douglas's outstanding article (SN: 12/3/77, p. 378) which could lull the United States into an

even deeper underestimation of the remarkable achievements by Japan. It is regrettably not the case that Japan is investing *less* than the United States in crucial areas of R&D support, as could be concluded from Douglas's remark that "the Japanese government budget for science and technology remains the lowest of any major developed country."

As a matter of fact, the increasingly apparent demise of a number of U.S. industries — including most recently U.S. electronics and computer businesses — in the face of Japanese competition may be directly ascribed to an increasingly inadequate training of students graduating from American universities, particularly in engineering. This is a result of dramatically decreased government funding of academic research programs which support the educational process in high-technology fields in American universities.

According to the most recent OECD data (for 1971-72), the percentage of the gross national product devoted to *government funding* for the "advancement of science" was 0.04 percent for the United States, 0.4 percent for West Germany (10 times higher) and 0.2 percent for Japan (five times higher).

The initial interpretation of these data given in *Science Indicators — 1974*, published by the National Science Board, is even more suggestive: "The fraction of GNP of the United States devoted to R&D has declined steadily over the last 10 years, falling to nearly one-fourth of its peak level in 1964. The decline ... is primarily due to the reduced growth of expenditures by the Federal Government." The report goes on to say that in the same period "both Japan and West Germany recorded substantial growth in the proportion of the GNP devoted to R&D."

What is at stake for the United States, indeed, are its computer and electronic business, two of our premier industries. And the failure is, I believe, in an inadequate university training of graduating engineers and scientists to meet the challenge of competitiveness needed for our survival.

George W. Stroke
State University of New York
Stony Brook, N.Y.

(Prof. Stroke's basic point — the inevitable effect of proportionately decreasing Government funding on the quality of U.S. science — is well taken and has been documented by SCIENCE NEWS for several years. There are, however, some difficulties in further dramatizing the effect of this decline using the statistics given.)

The main trouble in using the OECD/NSB figures tabulated under the heading of "advancement of science" is that they deal mainly with university financing and include funds for science instruction. In countries with national universities, such as Japan and West Germany, such funds are substantial, but in the United States — where public universities are supported by states rather than the federal government —

Continued on p. 110.

SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.

Board of Trustees — Nominated by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE: **Deborah P. Wolfe**, Queens College of City University of New York; **Bowen C. Dees**, The Franklin Institute; **Athelstan Spilhaus**, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Nominated by the NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES: **Gerald F. Tape** (Vice President), Associated Universities; **Allen V. Astin**, Bethesda, Md.; **Glenn T. Seaborg** (President), University of California, Berkeley. Nominated by the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL: **Gerald Holton**, Harvard University; **Joseph W. Berg Jr.**, National Research Council; **Aaron Rosenthal**, Washington, D.C. Nominated by the JOURNALISTIC PROFESSION: **Edward Bliss Jr.**, Newburyport, Mass.; **Julius Duscha**, Washington Journalism Center; **O. W. Riegel** (Secretary), Glasgow, Va. Nominated by E. W. SCRIPPS TRUST: **Milton Harris** (Treasurer), Washington, D.C.; **Edward Scripps II** (Vice President and Chairman of the Executive Committee); **Edward W. Scripps Trust**; **John Troan**, Pittsburgh Press.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.