

SCIENCE NEWS®

A Science Service Publication
Vol. 113/March 18, 1978/No. 11

OF THE WEEK

Manmade man: Reproducing by the book	164
No new worlds to conquer via black hole	164
Laetrile: "Cure" turned killer	165
New form of glass found "over the rainbow"	165
Stress linked to economic trends	166
Air traffic control: Hazardous to the health?	166
Mammoth find may yield evolutionary clues	167
Skylab data encouraging	167
Recombinant research found patentable	167
Tokamak sets energy yield record	175
The breeder that would not die	175

RESEARCH NOTES

Biomedicine	168
Technology	168

ARTICLES

Searching for gravity waves	169
Science Talent Search winners	170

DEPARTMENTS

Letters	163
---------	-----

COVER: It's still, unhappily, a blueprint. A number of physicists would like to launch the new science of gravity-wave astronomy, but first they have to confirm the existence of the waves. When they do, theoreticians may be able to begin telling us what information the waves convey. See p. 169 (Photo: Hughes Research Laboratories)

Publisher	E. G. Sherburne Jr.
Editor	Robert J. Trotter
Senior Editor and Physical Sciences	Dietrick E. Thomsen
Behavioral Sciences	Joel Greenberg
Biomedicine	Joan Arehart-Treichel
Life Sciences	Julie Ann Miller
Policy/Technology	Janet Raloff
Space Sciences	Jonathan Eberhart
Contributing Editors	Lynn Arthur Steen (mathematics) Kendrick Frazier John H. Douglas
Science Writer Interns	Susan West Roberta Navickis
Assistant Editor	Judy Klein
Art Director	Dale Appleman
Books	Jane M. Livermore
Business Manager	Donald Harless
Advertising	Scherago Associates 1515 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10036 Fred W. Dieffenbach, Sales Director

Copyright © 1978 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is prohibited.

Editorial and Business Offices
1719 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Subscription Department
231 West Center Street
Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$12.50; 2 yrs., \$22; 3 yrs., \$30. (Add \$2 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$3 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc. 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255) TWX 710-822-9433 SCIEN NEWS. ISSN 0036-8423

LETTERS

BOTEC vs. bathtub

Michael Guillen's marvelous article on dimensional analysis (SN: 2/4/78, p. 78) defends Eddington's contention that laws of nature can be deduced through *a priori* considerations. Guillen's recipe, however, contains a powerful first step: "Decide which factors in the problem are likely to influence the unknown quantity." As a post-Archimedian, Guillen obtained a correct analysis of the *Queen Mary* problem. A pre-Archimedian might not have had it so easy. Suppose the factors thought to influence the volume of water displaced (in cm^3) are the volume of the ship (cm^3), the ratio of the density of the ship to that of the water, and the ratio of the height of the ship to the depth of the water. Multiplying the three factors, we have $(\text{cm}^3)(\text{g}/\text{cm}^3)(\text{cm}^3/\text{g})(\text{cm}/\text{cm})$. Although the dimensions work out, the answer doesn't describe what happens in the ocean. My point is that dimensional analysis can tell you when a proposed "law" is inconsistent with other measurement structures, but it does not diagnose which of several consistent possibilities is empirically correct.

An envelope by the tub can help one rule out certain possibilities. Had Archimedes been so prepared, perhaps he would not have run naked through the streets, and the world would have been deprived of a good story.

Michael H. Birnbaum
Champaign, Ill.

I thoroughly enjoyed the article on dimensional analysis, being a practitioner myself. However, I don't think Michael Guillen illustrated the true elegance of it. By careful choosing of the units, the BOTEC method can often be completely bypassed and the calculations done in one's head.

For example, the *Queen Mary* weighs about 80 million tons. By happenstance, a pint of water weighs about a pound. This still leaves the answer in uncommon units, so another conversion is needed.

Now the elegance! I simply do a biblical trick and convert pints of water to pints of beer and raise one to the British. Who really cares about salt water anyhow?

Robert Lipp
Los Gatos, Calif.

What price utility?

The final two paragraphs of Joe Harrison's comments (SN: 2/4/78, p. 67) on your article about specialization of colleges (SN: 12/31/77, p. 422) must have set Jacob Bronowski spinning in his grave. Evidently Harrison does not consider art to be "progress." Who is to say that space travel or polio vaccines constitute more "progress" than a symphony or a painting? Such verdicts will be handed down, if ever, far in the

future, not now. Indeed, it is equally true that Beethoven and Mozart needed no aerospace engineers to turn out their beautiful music; Giotto and Raphael scarcely needed Jonas Salk to paint their enduring masterpieces. The ultimate *useful man* — a narrow-minded, problem-solving technocrat — is no better adapted to serve humanity than is the broadly cultured "total man" to whom Harrison so strongly objects. The answer is, of course, that both perspectives and all points of view in between are essential for the survival of mankind. To advocate one over the other is to invite disaster to our civilization.

Richard J. Pike
Menlo Park, Calif.

As a student of both the sciences and the humanities, I feel compelled to respond to Joe Harrison's letter.

While I have no illusions about being a Renaissance man, I can solve a differential equation, program a computer, sketch a portrait, fix an automobile, build a house, play the guitar, cook a meal, write a story, design a circuit and quote the classics. Mr. Harrison would undoubtedly consider me useless, but to my family and friends I am a very handy fellow.

There is no reason why scientists and artists cannot investigate fields other than their own, for the trained mind can and will expand to contain all that you put into it. Indeed, it is the natural tendency of the untainted human spirit to embrace the whole of experience.

True, we did not need Beethoven and Mozart to get us to the moon, but they helped give us a reason to go there. Surely the few kilos of rocks we brought back cannot justify such an effort by themselves. No, I think Apollo has done more to uplift the spirit of mankind than to increase our science. And artists may never have saved a human life, but they have helped give millions a reason to live. As a NASA official stated in a recent "Nova" program, space flight will not be fully justified until we can orbit a sixty-year-old poet.

Thomas B. Albrecht
Kingman, Kan.

Destructible fuel tanks

Your article on the testing of the space shuttle fuel tank (SN: 1/21/78, p. 40) contains an error when it states that the tank will parachute into the ocean. The external tank will fall into a remote area of the Indian or South Pacific Ocean shortly after a space shuttle launch and will be destroyed. It is the solid rocket boosters that will parachute into the water about 300 kilometers down range for reuse.

James Greer
Milwaukee, Wis.

... It is a shame that the used tank could not be boosted into a stable orbit, to be used in space manufacturing.

John M. Zupko
Trenton, N.J.

SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.

Board of Trustees — Nominated by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE: **Deborah P. Wolfe**, Queens College of City University of New York; **Bowen C. Dees**, The Franklin Institute; **Athelstan Spilhaus**, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Nominated by the NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES: **Gerald F. Tape** (Vice President), Associated Universities; **Allen V. Astin**, Bethesda, Md.; **Glenn T. Seaborg** (President), University of California, Berkeley. Nominated by the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL: **Gerald Holton**, Harvard University; **Joseph W. Berg Jr.**, National Research Council; **Aaron Rosenthal**, Washington, D.C. Nominated by the JOURNALISTIC PROFESSION: **Edward Bliss Jr.**, Newburyport, Mass.; **Julius Duscha**, Washington Journalism Center; **O. W. Riegel** (Secretary), Glasgow, Va. Nominated by E. W. SCRIPPS TRUST: **Milton Harris** (Treasurer), Washington, D.C.; **Edward Scripps II** (Vice President and Chairman of the Executive Committee); Edward W. Scripps Trust; **John Troan**, Pittsburgh Press.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.

MARCH 18, 1978

163