
Tracking through prehistory

Footprints have endured for animals that tramped through prehistoric Pennsylvania and for early human inhabitants of North America. Recent findings of such tracks give investigators an opportunity to learn about the continent's previous inhabitants.

"What we have is an original record preserving a moment in time about 180 million years ago," Donald Baird of Princeton University says of a site discovered by James A. Turner Jr. a few months ago near Bethlehem, Pa. "We have tracks of animals ranging in size from those of little, lizardy animals feeding on insects, to small dinosaurs feeding on lizards, to bigger dinosaurs feeding on anything smaller than they were." The advantage of tracks over fossil bones, Baird says, is that they are not rearranged and redistributed over time.

Among the thousands of tracks at the dinosaur site are lizard-like prints large enough to have been made by the winged, leaping lizard typically associated with the Triassic period and tracks of a crocodile-like animal, *Phytosaur rutiodon*, never before described in Pennsylvania. J. Donald Ryan and students from Lehigh University have also found tracks of a "mystery" animal, a species of Chirotherium that may have been armor-coated and six to eight feet long. Many of the prints have yet to be identified.

More recent tracks, but of humans, have been unearthed by an archaeological team



in the Mojave Desert. Dated at least 5,000 years old, the human footprints are the oldest found in North America, says Carol Rector, head of the University of California at Riverside group. Although there is evidence that humans were present on this continent 40,000 years ago (SN: 3/26/77, p. 196), data on early human prehistory is extremely limited.

While excavating a later prehistoric village, Rector and colleagues came across a track of more than 60 human and animal footprints buried about a meter below present ground surface. The human prints, impressed in a silty clay deposited along an ancient channel of the Mojave River, are of five different sizes, ranging from child-size to adult. The animal prints include coyote, racoon and deer or antelope tracks. Artifacts, including a quartz three-sided drill, were discovered near the tracks. The Mojave site is now threatened by planned construction of a wastewater reclamation facility.

U.S. gears up for test-tube babies

Now that a so-called test-tube baby has been successfully delivered in England and one has reportedly been born in India. test-tube babies will probably also soon see the light of day in the United States. The East Virginia Medical School in Norfolk is setting up a clinic to make lab fertilization and implantation of embryos available to infertile women. The clinic will be headed by a physician husbandwife team, Howard Jones and Georgeanne Seegan Jones. The scientists who pioneered the technique in England - Patrick C. Steptoe and Robert G. Edwards — will serve as advisers. The Joneses expect to be ready to start accepting patients about a year from now.

The reason why the East Virginia Medical School is going ahead with the procedure at this time is that its clinic will be supported by \$500,000 in private funds, not by federal money. Any human experimentation in federally funded institutions must first be approved by the Ethics Advisory Board of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, and the board, formed earlier this year, has only recently begun to review applications for laboratory fertilization of human embryos. Nonetheless, a number of U.S. medical centers with outstanding reproductive biology departments are interested in performing the technique. For instance, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions in Baltimore are making preliminary plans for doing it, provided that their plans are approved by the HEW Ethics Advisory Board and their own university.

One million American women who are not able to have children because of defective oviducts might be able to profit from the technique.

Ozone destruction exceeds predictions

A revised estimate released by the World Meteorological Organization puts the eventual destruction of ozone by chlorofluoromethanes at 15 percent, about one and a half times higher than some earlier predictions. According to the estimate, part of a recent report by the wmo, the global average ozone depletion based on 1977 rates of CFC use will reach 5 percent in 20 years, finally reaching a steady state when depletion has reached 15 percent (SN: 9/23/78, p. 212).

The estimate is based on the "best available" numerical model of the atmosphere using the most recent measurements of total ozone, ozone distribution in the atmosphere, and in situ measurements of chemical reactions and trace gases, such as NO and NO₂. The report notes that despite bans on the use of CFC's, the removal of the CFC's from the stratosphere is slow and they will continue to accumulate many years after their use is ended. As of December 15 the Environmental Protection Agency and the Food and Drug Administration will ban about 97 of the former uses of CFC's; on October 15 a ban was placed on bulk production of the chemical for nonessential uses. Other findings of the statement, which was prepared by ozone specialists meeting in Switzerland last October, include:

- Confirmation that the current number of supersonic transports flying below 25 kilometers (the height of the maximum ozone layer) will have a "negligible" effect on the ozone layer and even a larger fleet will have an "insignificant" effect.
- There is "no likelihood" of significant near-term change in ozone due to increasing use of agricultural fertilizers, but longterm studies are needed.
- A 15 percent reduction will mean a 30 percent increase in ultraviolet radiation to the earth's surface. The wmo made no statement about the possible biological effects.
- A 15 percent ozone reduction will mean a 10°C rise in the temperature of the upper stratosphere but the effect on climate is uncertain.

The conclusions of the wmo report, as well as those of other yet-to-be-completed studies, will be included in a National Academy of Sciences report on ozone expected to be released early next year. □

Human sexual interest at ovulation

Because each species is biologically primed to perpetuate itself, one would assume that both men and women would be more interested in sex at the time of ovulation than at other times of a woman's cycle. After all, both male and female monkeys, which are nonhuman primates, prefer sex at the time of the female's ovulation (SN: 2/19/77, p. 118).

Well, such an assumption might be true, if it weren't for one confusing variable — human volition about conception. As a result, human sexual behavior at the time of ovulation is much more complex. So report David B. Adams, Alice Ross Gold and Anne D. Burt of Wesleyan University in Middletown, Conn., in the Nov. 23 New England Journal of Medicine.

Continued on page 415

DECEMBER 9, 1978 407



EINSTEIN POSTER

An unusual sepia-toned lithograph of this actual photo! Einstein riding his bicycle! Fine for framing at 16½ x 22". Send \$5.95. Xmas orders rushed 1st class! The Scientist's Gallery, Box 2004, Dept. 3, Boulder, CO 80306.



WHAT'S YOUR I.Q.?

Test yourself, family, friends. Valid, unique, fun! 10 years to adult. Reusable over and over. Everything included: Test, Instructions, Scoring. Send \$5 to: Four Corners Press, Dept. SBE, Hanover, Mass. 02339.



LEARN ELECTRONICS

the EASY, practical, FUN way one component at a time! A new device with complete description and experiments each month.

SEND for FREE information of SEND \$2 for first component.

COMPONENT OF THE MONTH CLUB P.O. BOX 15121 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85060

...Sex

Adams and co-workers studied 35 married women, ages 21 to 37, who were using, or depending on, three different kinds of contraceptives to prevent conception birth control pills, an intrauterine device or male vasectomy (methods that don't intrude on the spontaneity of intercourse) or a diaphragm or a condom (methods that can so intrude). All participants filled out daily questionnaires on their sexual experiences, notably intercourse; caressing; masturbation; sex fantasies; arousal due to books, films, magazines or dreams; initiator of each heterosexual session (mutual, self or partner); and whether the participant rejected an initiation by the partner or vice versa. The participants completed data for 171 menstrual cycles. The median number of cycles completed per subject was 4.2. Menstrual cycles ranged from 23 to 36 days.

The researchers then analyzed each questionnaire and compared the findings to the time of ovulation during each menstrual cycle for each subject. (They estimated ovulation according to the latest evidence, as the 14th day counting backward from the succeeding menstruation.)

Their results showed that women not using birth control pills fantasized more about sex at the time of ovulation, initiated sex with their partners more at the time of

ovulation and masturbated a bit more at the time of ovulation. The researchers anticipated these behaviors and believe they are probably due to the rise of estrogens in women at the time of ovulation. Contrary to what the investigators expected, though, women on birth control pills also fantasized more about sex at the time they would have ovulated had they not been on birth control pills, although not to the degree that women not on the pill did. There is no certain explanation for this heightened sexual interest, because estrogen levels do not peak when a woman does not ovulate. Perhaps the behaviors were prompted by adrenal gland hormones, because these hormones are known to peak slightly at midcycle in women and are not dampened by oral contraceptives. Yet in contradiction of the above behaviors, women on the pill initiated sex with partners less frequently at the time they would normally be ovulating than they did otherwise and also masturbated a bit less at this time. The researchers are baffled by these findings, yet conjecture that progesterone in birth control pills might be responsible by excessively dampening the estrogen peak at midcycle. Progesterone, in fact, is known to suppress sexual activity in nonhuman primates.

As for the sexual partners of subjects who depended on nonintrusive contra-

ceptives for protection against conception (IUD or vasectomy), they were more interested in sex at the time of ovulation than otherwise. The reason may be that women excrete more sex pheromones at this time of the month and that men are especially attracted to ovulating women as a result (SN: 1/4/75, p. 5; 1/3/76, p. 6) yet do not have to worry about producing a pregnancy. Similarly, the sex partners of subjects using birth control pills were also more interested in sex at the time of ovulation, but for less obvious reasons. Because sex pheromones are sex hormone dependent, it is unlikely that women on birth control pills experience increased pheromone production at the time of ovulation. As for the sex partners of women depending on intrusive contraceptives (diaphragm or condom), they initiated sex less frequently at the time of ovulation, perhaps because they were afraid of getting their partners pregnant.

The challenge now facing human sexual behavior scientists is to see how women and men behave at the time of ovulation if they aren't using contraceptives, and whether their behavior varies according to whether they fear conception, aren't concerned about conception or actually desire conception. Once again, human volition will probably introduce some unexpected findings.

DECEMBER 9, 1978 415