

**OF THE WEEK**

Keeping an eye on the launch business	4
Land misuse is an old story	4
U.S.-Soviet channeling study	5
NAS ozone study: Part two	5
NCI releases saccharin study results	6
Hooker Chemical gets a big bill	6
Another answer to the Little Ice Age	7
NOAA plans ocean pollution research	7
Exploring other breast cancer therapies	7
Making a convert out of digitoxin	7

**RESEARCH NOTES**

Behavior	8
Space Sciences	8
Biomedicine	9
Earth Sciences	9

**ARTICLES**

Mental illness: All in the family?	10
Worms become more than just fish bait	13

**DEPARTMENTS**

Letters	3
---------	---

**Cover:** Earthworms like the nightcrawlers shown are being investigated as a possible low-cost, low-energy solution to the nation's growing sewage-sludge disposal problems. See story on p. 13. (Photo: SUNY)

<b>Publisher</b>	E. G. Sherburne Jr.
<b>Editor</b>	Robert J. Trotter
<b>Senior Editor and Physical Sciences</b>	Dietrick E. Thomsen
<b>Behavioral Sciences</b>	Joel Greenberg
<b>Biomedicine</b>	Joan Arehart-Treichel
<b>Earth Sciences</b>	Susan West
<b>Life Sciences</b>	Julie Ann Miller
<b>Policy/Technology</b>	Janet Raloff
<b>Space Sciences</b>	Jonathan Eberhart
<b>Contributing Editors</b>	Lynn Arthur Steen (mathematics) Kendrick Frazier John H. Douglas Michael A. Guillen Judy Klein Dale Appleman
<b>Assistant Editor</b>	Angela Musick
<b>Art Director</b>	Jane M. Livermore
<b>Assistant to the Editor</b>	Donald Harless
<b>Books</b>	Scherago Associates 1515 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10036
<b>Business Manager</b>	Fred W. Dieffenbach, Sales Director
<b>Advertising</b>	

Copyright © 1980 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is prohibited.

**Editorial and Business Offices**  
1719 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

**Subscription Department**  
231 West Center Street  
Marion, Ohio 43302

To subscribe call: (1) 800—247-2160

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$15.50; 2 yrs., \$27.00; 3 yrs., \$37.50 (Add \$3 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$4 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc. 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255)  
ISSN 0036-8423

# LETTERS

**More plausibilities**

In regard to the article "The Plausibility of Pulsar Planets" (SN: 12/8/79, p. 388), it seems difficult to believe that a small planet could exist close enough to a pulsar to have a 3-year orbit.

Since the consensus of opinion is that a pulsar is a rotating neutron star, the remnant of a supernova, and since we have always been told that a supernova would vaporize its planets almost instantly, how could a planet of less than earth-mass survive the cataclysm, particularly that close?

May I suggest two possible explanations:  
1. That the vapors of the destroyed planets re-condensed to form a new planet, after the supernova subsided.

2. That perhaps the vapors re-condensed to form not one but six new planets of about equal mass in about the same orbit, spaced 60 degrees apart at the familiar L-points. In that case, what was observed was not a single planet in a 3-year orbit, but a succession of planets in 18-year orbits. We would then find some difference in the cyclic variations as shown in the graph, if the planets were not of quite identical mass. There does indeed appear to be some variation in the graph.

Robert D. Smith  
Swisher, Iowa

*(It's a good question, and it occurred to us too. The authors of the paper being in Poland, there was not time to ask for their suggestions before our deadline. A simpler third alternative is that the pulsar could have captured a planet out of interstellar floating debris of some kind. —Ed.)*

**Many uses for artificial blood**

I believe that the development of an artificial blood substitute that is suitable for use with human beings (SN: 12/8/79, p. 391) is a very significant step forward in the area of basic medical research and its practical application. The benefits and implications for all humanity are relatively obvious if an adequate and universally reliable blood substitute can be further developed and proven effective through actual use over an extended period of time.

Once this is accomplished, however, the use of artificial blood should not be limited to "emergency room or accident situations." I can conceive of two reasons that would make an artificial blood substitute vastly superior to real blood where transfusions are concerned. First of all, incompatibility with foreign blood groups would not be a factor when utilizing a blood substitute. The same formula could be administered to persons possessing different blood types, thus eliminating the possibility that a rare blood type will not be available if needed.

Secondly, the exact composition and degree of pureness would never be in question when using a substitute. As a result, the chances of a disease being transmitted through the use of impure blood in a transfusion would be greatly diminished. Furthermore, it would be easier to adjust the components of an artificial blood to meet the needs of each individual recipient than is currently the case with real blood.

In closing, I commend Dr. Anderson and his associate for their work in developing an artificial blood substitute. The importance of their research as well as that of others working in this area is unquestioned.

Aaron Wilson Hughey  
Waverly, Tenn.

**Chemotherapy and vitamin C**

I write to point out that the article "Vitamin C not effective" (SN: 10/13/79, p. 249) misrepresents the situation. You report that a controlled study of Mayo Clinic patients with advanced cancer, published in the Sept. 27 NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL OF MEDICINE showed no evidence that large doses of vitamin C help.

This is indeed what was reported by the Mayo Clinic investigators. They themselves and the article in SCIENCE NEWS do not point out, however, that the population of cancer patients investigated in the Mayo Clinic was so different from that investigated by my associate Dr. Ewan Cameron in Vale of Leven Hospital, Loch Lomondside, Scotland, that the results cannot be considered to refute the results observed in the study in Scotland.

The chief investigator in the Mayo Clinic study wrote to me last year that he hoped to repeat Dr. Cameron's work as closely as possible. I then wrote to him, pointing out that cytotoxic chemotherapy damages the body's protective mechanisms to such an extent that subsequent treatment with vitamin C would not be expected to have much value, because vitamin C functions largely by potentiating these protective mechanisms.

I recommended strongly that only patients who had not received chemotherapy be used in the Mayo Clinic study. This recommendation, however, was ignored. Nearly all the patients in the Mayo Clinic had received courses of chemotherapy, whereas only 4 percent of those studied by Dr. Cameron had received chemotherapy.

The Vale of Leven study showed that large doses of vitamin C have great value for cancer patients who have not received chemotherapy. The Mayo Clinic study answers an important question in that it verifies that treatment with vitamin C is far less effective for patients whose immune systems have been damaged by courses of chemotherapy.

Linus Pauling  
Menlo Park, Calif.

Address communications to Editor,  
Science News, 1719 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Please limit letters to 250 words.

SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.

**Board of Trustees**—President, Glenn T. Seaborg, University of California, Berkeley, CA; Vice President, Gerald F. Tape, Associated Universities, Washington, DC; Treasurer, Milton Harris, Washington, DC; Secretary, Julius Duscha, Washington Journalism Center, Washington, DC; Allen V. Astin, Bethesda, MD; Joseph W. Berg Jr., National Research Council, Washington, DC; Edward Bliss Jr., Newburyport, MA; Bowen C. Dees, The Franklin Institute, Philadelphia, PA; David A. Goslin, National Research Council, Washington, DC; Elizabeth Neufeld, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; O. W. Riegel, Glasgow, VA; Aaron Rosenthal, Washington, DC; Edward W. Scripps II, Edward W. Scripps Trust, Carson City, NV; John Troan, Pittsburgh Press, Pittsburgh, PA; Deborah P. Wolfe, Queens College of City University of New York, Flushing, L.I., NY

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless; Things of Science: Ruby Yoshioka.