
tion for research, policy analysis and public education. The article is by James Trussell, Jane Menken and Barbara Vaughan of the Office of Population Research at Princeton University and by Barbara L. Lindheim, a senior research associate with the Alan Guttmacher Institute.

Trussell and his colleagues wanted to determine what the availability, or absence, of the Hyde Amendment would mean to low-income women wanting abortions. To find out, they compared the number of women seeking abortions while the Hyde Amendment was not in effect (from February to July 1977) with the number of women seeking abortions while the amendment was in effect (from February to July 1978). The difference, they reasoned, "would thus yield a minimum estimate of the impact of the funding cutoff." The researchers' sources included Medicaid offices, vital statistics offices and major abortion providers in Georgia and Ohio - two states with sound Medicaid data and ample geographic diversity.

As they report, 23 percent of Medicaideligible women in Ohio and 18 percent of Medicaid-eligible women in Georgia who would have obtained an abortion in 1977 did not do so in 1978. In other words, the Hyde Amendment reduced abortions among Medicaid-eligible women by about 20 percent.

What did these 20 percent do about their pregnancies? The data show that many went on to pay for legal abortions out of their own pockets or managed to obtain abortions funded at the state or



The amendment didn't reduce Medicaid abortions in Michigan, which paid.

local level. The study does not shed light on the question of whether any of these women resorted to illegal or self-induced abortions because they could not pay for a legal one. However, through its monitoring system, the Center for Disease Control in Atlanta did report in 1979 that four Medicaid-eligible women had died from illegal or self-induced abortions because they had not been able to obtain legal abortions with Medicaid funds.

Now that the Hyde Amendment appears here to stay, does that mean that 20 percent of the 295,000 American women per year who would have had Medicaid-paid abortions will no longer obtain legal abortions? The answer probably depends on how many states will decide to pick up abortion tabs that used to be paid by Medicaid. Twenty-two states now do so. □

Early auroras: Windows to the changing sun



Historic records of auroras like this one may reflect long-term solar changes.


In recent years there has been a virtual explosion of scientific studies suggesting that the sun, once assumed to be an essentially constant beacon in the sky, not only changes significantly in different ways and timescales, but also affects various phenomena on the earth. The so-called "Maunder minimum," for example, was a period from about 1645 to 1715 during which the number of sunspots dropped to near-zero in sharp contrast to the dozens of sunspot-cycles recorded before and after it. In fact, however, points out George Siscoe of UCLA, the Maunder minimum was originally reported (in 1733) not as a sunspot effect at all but as a change in the number of auroras seen on the earth - a proposal that earned its French author (J.J.O. de Marain) the ridicule of the French scientific establishment for suggesting such a long and pronounced effect with no apparent cause.

The linkages between solar causes and terrestrial responses are known to be complex, but, though they are only sketchily understood, they are no longer dismissed out of hand. Now a pair of Massachusetts researchers has noted long-term variations in the number and latitudinal extent of auroras observed during the 18th and 19th centuries, and concluded that these changes too "reflect fundamental"

long-term changes in the sun itself."

A key document in the study, according to Joan Feynman of Boston College and Samuel M. Silverman of the Air Force Geophysics Laboratory at Hanscom AFB, was a compilation of aurora sightings in Sweden from 1720 to 1876, published in 1879 and 1882 by Robert Rubenson, director of the Central Meteorological Institute of Sweden. Rubenson's sources ranged from journals and manuscripts to newspapers, but, Feynman and Silverman report in the Journal of Geophysical RESEARCH (85:2991), "since the series was very carefully collected by a single knowledgeable person and refers to a geographically restricted area, it forms as nearly consistent a set of data as can be available from this period." The authors, in fact, dedicated their JGR paper to Rubenson for his work, noting that their own study was done in 1979, the centennial of the publication of part one of his catalog.

The researchers divided the listed auroras into those reported from northern Sweden and from southern Sweden. When the solar wind, source of the auroras, is weak, they maintain, the auroras too are weak, and the roughly circular region in which they occur contracts to a smaller belt at higher latitudes. From 1793 to 1837, the analysis shows, almost all auroras re-



JULY 12, 1980 21

ported were in the north. During the preceding 23 and subsequent 20 years, however, almost all were in the south. Farther out along Rubenson's chronology, other generalized shifts are visible. All told, the authors report, the data show a 106-year period, covering about $9\frac{1}{2}$ solar cycles, during which the Swedish auroras underwent "a well-defined, systematic change" in their pattern of occurrence, first appearing in the south, then north, then south again and finally toward the mid-latitudes.

The shifting pattern is probably not due either to a change in observers ("there are no historical events which lend themselves to a change of observational patterns of the required scope") or of the earth's magnetic pole, whose movements over that period were too small and regular to have had much effect. But the auroral shifts do seem to have been real. Support comes from a compilation of sightings between 1780 and 1850 in New England, at a geomagnetic latitude similar to that of southern Sweden. When the Swedish auroras were southerly, others were conspicuous in New England; when the Swedish auroras moved north, above New England's geomagnetic latitude, the number of New England sightings dropped dramatically. There is even yet another catalog covering Scotland, Ireland and Great Britain from 1788 to 1835, says Siscoe, and with the appropriate latitudes figured in, all three compilations "fit like a glove."

"Since the change in auroral pattern took place simultaneously at two [or three] such widely separated locations," write Feynman and Silverman, "the cause must be in the driver of the aurora, the solar wind." Yet the pattern does not seem to follow the well-known 11-year cycle of another key solar variable, sunspots. Instead, the authors suggest, it seems more akin to an 80-to-100-year sunspot pattern known as the Gleissberg cycle or Gleissberg variation, inferred from three periods of reduced solar activity centered around 1710, 1810 and 1900.

More recently, however, there is some evidence for changes over shorter spans. In the 1958-59 International Geophysical Year, a time of maximum solar activity, about 40 percent of southern-hemisphere auroras appeared equatorward of 60° (corresponding to the dividing geomagnetic northern latitude in the Swedish catalog) and the rest between 60° and 70°. Five years later, during the 1963 International Year of the Quiet Sun, the equatorward auroras appeared only half as often. Still, the authors point out, the changes, though on a shorter timescale, "were not nearly so dramatic as that between, say, 1810 and 1850."

Variations in solar phenomena appear to take place over spans ranging from 2 to 100 years, due to a chain of relationships still being unraveled, and, the authors conclude, "we can expect that it will continue to do so in coming years."

When is a disease not a disease?

With the vast number of biochemical reactions necessary to create the substances that maintain basic body functions, it sometimes seems a miracle that things work at all. In a condition called congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH), they don't work, and there are definite physical consequences, which can include such problems as early virilization and salt imbalance. But in a curious twist, researchers in New York City and Italy have identified people with the biochemical aspects of CAH who somehow escape the physical effects.

Researchers from the New York Hospital-Cornell Medical Center reported at the recent Endocrine Society meeting that they found the asymptomatic syndrome while studying the genetics of CAH. CAH is caused by the body's inability to produce the hormone cortisol, which plays an important role in normal body metabolism and maintenance of well-being. The syndrome is caused by the absence of any of a number of enzymes that catalyze chemical reactions necessary to the manufacture of cortisol.

As the body tries to produce enough cortisol to meet its demands, more and more of the precursors are manufactured. It is both the absence of cortisol and the overabundance of its precursors that lead to the physical problems.

Lenore Levine at New York Hospital started out to study the inheritance patterns of one form of CAH, in which the enzyme necessary for turning 17-hydroxyprogesterone into the immediate precursor of cortisol is absent. As the body keeps trying to produce cortisol, more and more of the 17-hydroxyprogesterone is

produced. Some of it is converted to androgen, which causes early puberty and excessive virilization in affected males and ambiguous genitalia and virilization in females.

Persons with the syndrome have genetic deficits on both members of the chromosome pair that codes for the enzyme, and Levine and co-workers found a marker for this genetic anomaly. Brothers and sisters who have one of the faulty sets are carriers (as are both parents), and their carrier status can be confirmed by doing hormonal challenge tests. Since carriers only have one set of genes producing the enzyme instead of two, they will have a slight buildup of the precursor.

But when Levine and her co-workers looked at a little girl whose brother has CAH they had a surprise in store — the little girl had the excess 17-hydroxyprogesterone typical of a carrier, but she did not share the genetic marker with her brother. When Levine took a second look at the father, who she thought was a carrier, she found he had the biochemical aspects of the disease but not the physical manifestations. He actually had a "cryptic" disease expressed in his genes and hormone levels but not the short stature or early virilization typical of untreated sufferers of CAH. The daughter, who didn't share the affected genes of her brother, did have one of the cryptic genes from her

Levine, along with Maria New, Bo Dupont and others, working jointly with physicians in Italy, instigated a study of 600 persons in 124 families. They found eight families and a total of 16 asymptomatic "cryptics," suggesting, they say, that the enzyme deficiency may be more frequent than is now believed, and leaving them to puzzle out why the disease is evident in some genetically affected persons and not in others.

Waiver goodbye to CO standard

A National Research Council panel recently stamped its seal of approval on the exemption of certain automobile models from the 1981 federal emission standard for carbon monoxide (CO). The panel concluded that although technology to lower CO emissions exists, it is not uniformly available to all manufacturers. The Environmental Protection Agency, therefore, is justified in granting specific waivers that allow manufacturers until 1982 or 1983 to develop emission controls.

The CO standard, the 1977 amendment to the Clean Air Act, states that by 1981 light-duty motor vehicles sold in the United States must meet a CO standard of 3.4 grams per mile (gpm), unless granted a waiver. (The standard for 1980 model cars is 7.0 gpm.) By mid-1979, the EPA had received such a diversity of waiver requests from several domestic and foreign automobile manufacturers that it asked the

Council — an investigative agent of the National Academy of Sciences—to review the technological feasibility of compliance with the 1981 CO standard.

But consideration of technological feasibility has not been the basis for all EPA-granted waivers, says Clarence Ditlow of the Center for Auto Safety. "Look at the waiver they [EPA officials] gave Chrysler," says Ditlow. "They said, 'We find that the technology is available, but given Chrysler's financial straits, we don't feel we ought to put any more burden on them."

Ditlow says the Council's recent approval of certain EPA-granted exemptions underscores the political, rather than technological, basis for such waivers. He ways, "If this were 1977 or 1978 when car sales were still up and this weren't an election year, these [waivers] would, for the most part, be denied."