SCIENCE NEWS

A Science Service Publication

THIS WEEK

DEPARTMENTS

Proton-antiproton program	212
Two agencies face the ax	212
Agricultural practices linked to malaria	213
Epilepsy not linked to violent crime	214
Synchrotron radiation: X-ray alternative	214
Plant chemical as a sign of spring	215
Submarine volcanic hot springs	215
RESEARCH NOTES	
Astronomy	223
Science & Society	223
	223
Science & Society	223
Science & Society ARTICLES	

COVER: Staggering fire destruction statistics have prompted scientists to investigate some of the phenomenon's basic components. See page 218. (Cover photograph courtesy of George Hall/Firehouse Magazine)

Publisher
Editor
Senior Editor and
Physical Sciences
Biomedicine

Commentary: The Shroud of Turin

Chemistry
Earth Sciences
Life Sciences
Policy/Technology
Space Sciences

Policy/Technology Space Sciences Contributing Editors

Science Writer Interns

Assistant Editor Art Director Assistant to the Editor Books Business Manager E.G. Sherburne Jr. Joel Greenberg

211

Dietrick E. Thomsen Joan Arehart-Treiche Lisa M. Krieger Linda Garmon Cheryl Simon Julie Ann Miller Janet Raloff Jonathan Eberhart Lynn Arthur Steen (mathematics)

John H. Douglas Michael A. Guillen Deborah Franklin Ivars Peterson Judy Klein Elizabeth G. Clark Betsy Gordon Jane M. Livermore Donald Harless Scherago Associates 1515 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10036 Fred W. Dieffenbach, Sales Director

Kendrick Frazier

Copyright © 1981 by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is prohibited.

Advertising

Editorial and Business Offices 1719 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Subscription Department 231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$22.50; 2 yrs., \$39.00; 3 yrs., \$55.00 (Add \$3 a year for Canada and Mexico, \$4 for all other countries.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code. For new subscriptions only call: (1) 800-247-2160.

Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices.

Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc. 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255) ISSN 0036-8423

COMMENTARY

Turin Shroud: Nature and Supernature

Can the Shroud of Turin really be material evidence for the resurrection of Jesus?

The Shroud of Turin, for those who haven't been following, is a piece of linen bearing the life-size dorsal and ventral images of a man. The image bears the wounds that the gospels say were inflicted on Jesus during his crucifixion. The cloth is reputed to be the burial Shroud of Jesus (SN: 12/23-30/78, p. 442).

The Shroud reposed quietly in its reliquary in the cathedral in Turin, Italy, until the last decade, when it became the subject of scientific investigation. On the whole an impressive argument has been built up that the Shroud may be genuine or at least not the obvious kind of forgery (i.e., a painting) it was accused of being (SN: 5/25/81, p. 259).

The scientists' consensus now seems to be that the image is some kind of scorch, but a very strange one. The Shroud image contains three-dimensional information; it seems to have been made by some kind of projection rather than by contact. How a scorch can be made by projection leaves the scientists with their hands in the air.

In a book just published, *Verdict on the Shroud* (Servant Books, Ann Arbor, 1981), Kenneth E. Stevenson and Gary R. Habermas propose that this mystery was accomplished by some supernatural agency at the moment of Jesus' resurrection. The image on the Shroud is thus physical evidence of the resurrection, the authors suggest; Stevenson is described on the book jacket as a sales representative for IBM and former "team spokesman and editor for the Shroud of Turin Research Project." Habermas is a professor at Liberty Baptist College in Lynchburg, Va.

To whom is this book's line of reasoning likely to appeal? One might think that most if not all Christians would be happy to have the Shroud authenticated as such an important relic. And so they would be if that were all there was to it.

But there is another agenda here. This kind of materialistic explanation is likely to appeal to the sort of mind that wants everything thoroughly explained, codified and taken literally. Call them fundamentalists, literalists, rigorists; for these people, the Shroud is likely to become a marching banner.

In a religion as materialistic as Christianity it is no shame to seek material explanations. Certainly the resurrection was an event that happened to a material body or it is not worth talking about. But the process of explaining can be driven into the ground. There are certain aspects of Christian doctrine that are multivalued and multifaceted by nature. That is their fascination for many minds. Attempts at single minded explanation tend to detract from them, and it is an old tradition to resist them. A faction of people who want to maintain intellectual and spiritual maneuvering room for themselves is likely to oppose efforts to explain the resurrection this way.

Scientific conclusions about the Shroud are thus likely to be fed into religious debate. If past instances are any guide, they are likely to be used by controversialists who have little or no appreciation of what the pretensions and limitations of science really are. The recent attempt of congressional committees to get biologists to say when a human life begins is a case in point. It showed an appalling ignorance of what science is. If there is further debate on the Shroud, it is likely to be no prettier.

As for the Shroud itself, it is hardly authenticated in the manner that, say, Sotheby's would require if they were asked to put it on the block. Ecclesiastical authorities should be much more careful than auctioneers.

The resurrection was not a circus trick. Those who wish to know its meaning for Christians would do better to read Edward Schillebeeckx than Stevenson and Habermas. We may never know for sure what the Shroud of Turin is. Why not let it rest in peace in its reliquary?

—Dietrick E. Thomsen

SCIENCE SERVICE

Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.

Board of Trustees—President, Glenn T. Seaborg, University of California, Berkeley, CA; Vice President, Gerald F. Tape, Associated Universities, Washington, DC; Treasure, Milton Harris, Washington, DC; Secretary, Julius Duscha, Washington Journalism Center, Washington, DC; Allen V. Astin, Bethesda, MD; Joseph W. Berg Jr., National Research Council, Washington, DC: Edward Bliss Jr., Newburyport, MA; Bowen C. Dees, The Franklin Institute, Philadelphia, PA; David A. Goslin, National Research Council, Washington, DC; Elizabeth Neufeld, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; O. W. Riegel, Glasgow, VA; Edward W. Scripps II, Edward W. Scripps Trust, Carson City, NY; Willis Harlow Shapley, Washington, DC; John Troan, Pittsburgh Press, Pittsburgh, PA; Deborah P. Wolfe, Queens College of City University of New York, Flushing, L.I., NY

 $Director; E.\,G.\,Sherburne\,Jr.;\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Business\,Manager;\,Donald\,R.\,Harless.\,Assistant\,Director;\,Dorothy\,Schriver;\,Doro$

OCTOBER 3, 1981 211