

A Science Service Publication Volume 122, No. 16, October 16, 1982

E.G. Sherburne Jr. Publisher Joel Greenberg Editor Senior Editor/ Dietrick E. Thomsen Physical Sciences Assistant Editor Judy Klein **Behavioral Sciences** Wray Herbert Joan Arehart-Treichel Biomedicine Linda Garmon Chemistry Earth Sciences Cheryl Simon Julie Ann Miller Life Sciences Janet Raloff, Ivars Peterson Policy/Technology Jonathan Eberhart Space Sciences

Ileana Mendez Art Director Robert Pollie Science Writer Intern Jane M. Livermore Books Donald R. Harless Business Manager

Assistant to the Editor

Scherago Associates Advertising Fred Dieffenbach, Sales Director 1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036

Joanne Silberner

Copyright c 1982 by Science Service, Inc., Copyright 1982 by Science Service, Inc., Editorial and Business Offices, 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is prohibited

Subscription Department 231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr, \$27.50; 2 yrs., \$47.50; 3 yrs., \$67.00. (Foreign postage \$5.00 additional per year.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code. For new subscriptions only call (1) 800-247-2160. Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices. Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc. 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255) ISSN 0036-8423

Letters

Support for kin support

As science is a self-regulating discipline and errors are corrected by peer review, evaluation and future reformulation of the held tenets, it is highly appropriate that readers question what appear to be improbable interpretations of data. Obviously it is mandatory that the most valid and probable interpretations be given. Thus, when reader Edlin (SN: 9/18/82, p. 179) discusses "giving the finger" and "claptrap" as better interpretations of the data on kin support and grandparenting (SN: 8/21/82, p. 123) I tried to find interpretations of these two concepts in my scientific dictionary - needless to say one was not found.

It is perfectly appropriate for individuals to question new findings and interpretations. Paradigm shifts never take place without a lot of argument. However, it behooves the discussants to use concepts that are thought to be valid—whether discussing the intellectual shift

This Week

ncy

Research Notes

252	Biology
254	Chemistry
254	Technology

Articles

248	Coyote Poison: To Have or Have Not
	Cover: Whether ranchers should be permitted to use a poison called Compound 1080 against coyotes in order to protect their livestock

from the preying canines is a question the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency now must answer. (Photo by Dick Randall of Defenders of Wildlife)

250 The Lone Prairie

Departments

243 Letters 251 **Books**

Science Service Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation Board of Trustees — President, Glenn T. Seaborg, University of California, Berkeley, CA; Vice President, Gerald F. Tape, Associated Universities, Washington, DC; Treasurer, Milton Harris, Washington, DC; Secretary, Julius Duscha, Washington Journalism Center, Washington, DC; Joseph W. Berg Jr., National Research Council, Washington, DC; Edward Bliss Jr., Newburyport, MA; Bowen C. Dees, The Franklin Institute (Ret.), Philadelphia, PA; David A. Goslin, National Research Council, Washington, DC; Hilleary F. Hoskinson, National Geographic Society (Ret.), Washington, DC; Elizabeth F. Neufeld, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; O. W. Riegel, Glasgow, VA; Willis Harlow Shapley, Washington, DC; H. Guyford Stever, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC; John Troan, Pittsburgh Press, Pittsburgh, PA; Deborah P. Wolfe, Queens College of City University of New York, Flushing, NY.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless

from the anthropocentric to heliocentric view of the solar system, the concepts of sociobiology, human evolution or creationism.

John A. Ross Canton, N.Y.

Two brief but oh, so important clarifications of sociobiological theory are in order. First, according to the theory, behaviors that maximize total genetic fitness will be propagated, but the mechanism of intergenerational transmission need not be genetic; the point of controversy is definitely not simply the clichéd "nature vs. nurture" as implied by the letter writer. Indeed, it would be patently absurd to assert that a member of a social species reared in isolation would exhibit all appropriate social behaviors. However, those behaviors may be cultivated ontogenetically in the service of genetic survival.

Second, only self-serving abuses of sociobiological theory lead to moral evaluations of social phenomena (i.e., their "rightness" or "wrongness"); understanding or explaining why something occurs does not speak to the issue of whether it should have occurred. I, for one, would greatly regret the stifling of any exciting, fruitful scientific theory for fear of "finger-pointing" distortions by a naive readership. It is their responsibility to become informed proponents or critics before voicing an opinion.

Since it is likely that you will publish articles relevant to the evolutionary/sociobiological issue in the future, it is important to obviate misunderstandings of the theories such that they may be intelligently evaluated.

Nancy K. Dess-Beech Minneapolis, Minn.

Address communications to Editor, Science News, 1719 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Please limit letters to 250 words.

OCTOBER 16, 1982 243