

A Science Service Publication Volume 125, No. 21, May 26, 1984

E.G. Sherburne Jr. Joel Greenberg Dietrick E. Thomsen Publisher Editor Senior Editor Physical Sciences Managing Editor Production/Design

Joanne Silberner Laurie Jackson Phillips

Director Behavioral Sciences Biomedicine Chemistry Earth Sciences Life Sciences Policy Technology

Linda Garmon Cheryl Simon Julie Ann Miller Janet Raloff. Ivars Peterson Jonathan Eberhart Wendy McCarren

Bruce Bower Deborah Franklin

> Space Sciences Assistant to the Editor Science Writer Interns

Gardiner Morse, Andrea Rowand Jane M. Livermore

Books

Donald R. Harless

Business Manager

Scherago Associates Advertising Fred Dieffenbach, Sales Director 1515 Broadway, New York, N Y 10036 Advertising

Copyright ^c 1984 by Science Service. Inc. Editorial and Business Offices, 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D. C. 20036. Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is

Subscription Department 231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$27.50. 2 yrs., \$47.50: 3 yrs., \$67.00. (Foreign postage \$5.00 additional per year.) Change of address. Four to six weeks notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code. For new subscriptions only call (1) 800-247-2160. Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington. D. C. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices. Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE. Inc. 1719 N.St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255) ISSN 0036-8423

This Week

324	Cancer Vaccine Trials in Monkeys Hold Promise
324	Infection in the elderly: Who's on second?
325	Court rules against gene-splice field test
325	Coming: The era of automated workers
326	Copyright for chips?
326	Ancient Maya tomb discovered
327	Ancient technology: Pouring a pyramid

Articles

328 Escape Into Chaos

Cover: Experimental mathematics takes on a chaotic, colorful look when computer graphics is combined with studies of the behavior of iterated mathematical functions. Shown is the result of iterating the product of a complex number and the exponential function, e. The colors tell how "quickly" a given point goes to "infinity" and show regions where the function is said to behave chaotically (Illustration: C. Small, C. Mayberry, S. Smith/Boston Univ.)

330 Approaching the Age of Reason



Departments

322 Science on the Air

322 Books

323 Letters

Board of Trustees—President. Glenn T. Seaborg; Vice President, Gerald F. Tape; Treasurer, Willis Harlow Shapley; Secretary, Julius Duscha; Joseph W. Berg Jr.; Edward Bliss Jr.; Bowen C. Dees; David A. Goslim Milton Harris; Hilleary F. Hoskinson; Elizabeth F. Neufeld; O. W. Riegel; H. Guyford Stever; John Troan; Deborah P. Wolfe. Science Service Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless.

Letters

More religion

The letter (SN: 4/14/84, p. 227) under the heading Religious thoughts referred to "Clergy Ponder the New Genetics" (SN: 3/24/84). He .. they find themselves in a classical paradox. If there is an omnipotent, omniscient God. He has obviously allowed the scientists to discover these techniques and to apply them. If there is no such God. the clergy have no more standing than the corner bartender.

May I point out that the clergy finds no such paradox — it knows there is an omnipotent, omniscient God. We, who are constrained by the scientific method, face the issue whether scientific knowledge alone is enough to provide a sound basis for judgment in such a keystone technology.

If Science is omnipotent and omniscient, it has the ability and wisdom to plan evolution.

If Science has no such qualities, then we had better consult everyone for input - including the corner bartender.

Oliver R. Odhner Perkiomenville, Pa.

In mulling over the response of your readers to the recent article, I am moved to offer the following perspective.

Most scientists, I believe, are concerned with the moral implications of their work, but they are often afraid of voicing these concerns for fear of being censored by government or religious officials who are not sympathetic to the scientific endeavors at hand. Similarly, most religious leaders, in my experience, are in favor of scientific advancement, but they are also afraid of allowing technology to develop blindly without regard to its impact on society.

Certainly, these viewpoints are not mutually exclusive. In wrestling with new scientific developments, the religious community finds itself challenged to deepen its understanding of faith and morality in modern times. The scientific community, in turn, is challenged to exercise greater wisdom in directing the development of increasingly powerful technologies. By keeping an honest dialogue open between the two communities, we have the potential to push forward the development of humankind in ways that are in accordance with the direction of our creator. In short, we become partners in God's

on-going creation, rather than antagonists in our own petty squabbles.

Philip J. Sakimoto Dept. of Astronomy Univ. of Calif. Los Angeles, Calif.

Not to worry

Your article "Virus Hunter's Guide" (SN: 5/ 12/84, p. 292) brought into perspective the breadth of our activities to provide surveillance methods by which to isolate and identify waterborne viruses. Finding human enteric viruses in rivers, lakes and other waters almost always connotes fecal contamination. [While] current wastewater treatment processes are not likely to remove all viruses from effluents discharged to the environment, in the article unfortunately my comment was transposed to filtration plants used for drinking water treatment. I am sure your readers will be glad to learn that viruses are not constantly emitted in drinking water.

Robert S. Safferman, Ph.D. Virology Section, E.P.A. Cincinnati. Ohio

MAY 26, 1984 323