

SCIENCE NEWS®

The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

A Science Service Publication
Volume 126, No. 3, July 21, 1984

E. G. Sherburne Jr. Publisher
Joel Greenberg Editor
Dietrick E. Thomsen Senior Editor/
Physical Sciences
Joanne Silberner Managing Editor
Laurie Jackson Phillips Production/Design
Director
Bruce Bower Behavioral Sciences
Deborah Franklin Biomedicine
Julie Ann Miller Life Sciences
Janet Raloff, Policy/Technology
Ivars Peterson
Jonathan Eberhart Space Sciences
Wendy McCarren Assistant to the Editor
Gardiner Morse, Science Writer Interns
Andrea Rowand
Jane M. Livermore Books
Donald R. Harless Business Manager
Scherago Associates Advertising
Fred Dieffenbach, Sales Director
1515 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10036

Copyright © 1984 by Science Service, Inc.,
Editorial and Business Offices,
1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.
Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS
without written permission of the publisher is
prohibited.

Subscription Department
231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43302

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$27.50; 2 yrs., \$47.50;
3 yrs., \$67.00. (Foreign postage \$5.00 additional per
year.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is
required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be
addressed. Include zip code. For new subscriptions
only call (1) 800-247-2160. Printed in U.S.A. Second
class postage paid at Washington, D.C. Title
registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent
Offices. Published every Saturday by SCIENCE
SERVICE, Inc. 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C.
20036. (202-785-2255)
ISSN 0036-8423

Letters

What if he's right?

I am fascinated by the ongoing debate between Jeremy Rifkin and those biotechnologists who wish to field test their products ("Industry gene-splice field tests approved," SN: 6/9/84, p. 356). As I understand it, Rifkin's main argument seems to be that while new man-made compounds are introduced into the environment almost daily, genetically engineered bacteria are unique in that they can reproduce themselves, and the long-term effects of their release are thus beyond the pale of prediction. Though some of his opponents seem to regard him as quixotic do-gooder or professional rabble-rouser, what if he's right? I think he has a valid point. Wouldn't prudence now be much preferable to hand-wringing hindsight later?

T. J. Tweed
Casstown, Ohio

This Week

- 36 Enforcing the Law: EPA's Toxics Problem
- 37 Do-it-yourself observatory: MIRA
- 37 Neptune: The message of the day
- 37 Cocaine smoking may cause lung damage
- 38 Steroids heft heart risks in iron pumpers
- 38 Sperm antibodies frustrate fertility
- 39 Active agents from liquor's origins
- 39 Space shuttle: Stabilizing the dominoes

Research Notes

- 46 Environment
- 46 Biomedicine

Articles

- 42 Building the Ultimate Weapons
Cover: Artist's depiction of neutral-particle-beam space platforms targeting intercontinental ballistic missiles. Today the technology to make this Star Wars specter possible is still relatively undeveloped. However, research into directed-energy weaponry is active and is expected to grow substantially over the next five years. (Illustration: Los Alamos National Laboratory)



Departments

- 34 Books
- 35 Letters

Science Service Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.
Board of Trustees—*President*, Glenn T. Seaborg; *Vice President*, Gerald F. Tape; *Treasurer*, Willis Harlow Shapley; *Secretary*, Julius Duscha; Joseph W. Berg Jr.; Edward Bliss Jr.; Bowen C. Dees; David A. Goslin; Milton Harris; Hilleary F. Hoskinson; Elizabeth F. Neufeld; O. W. Riegel; H. Guyford Stever; John Troan; Deborah P. Wolfe.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless.

Rubbing elbows

Michael Hughes and Walter R. Gove conclude ("Life in a maddening crowd," SN: 6/16/84, p. 377) that crowding does, in fact, create mental health problems. I would suggest that their study (and similar studies) fail to separate the problems of crowding from the problem of confinement.

People can tolerate very crowded conditions if they have a chance for occasional escape. So if a single parent is cooped up all day, every day, the mental strain will be enormous. If another adult is present who can say "I'll watch the kids for awhile while you go out," the strain will be dramatically lessened, even though the crowding has actually increased. The strain will be just as great on a parent in a large house as in a small one, if there is no chance to escape.

The confusion over crowding versus confinement has greatly affected the way we view cities. Low-density housing is not necessarily

better than high-density, as studies like this would have us believe. In fact, the opposite may be true, since higher densities can support bus and subway lines that will permit escape for the very high percentage of people in our society who do not have access to a car (the young, the poor, the elderly, the infirm). Sociologists and psychologists would do well to study the effect of confinement on mental health.

John Linsenmeier
Cleveland Heights, Ohio

Don't rain on our parade

About the Department of Defense's missile umbrella ("DOD's umbrella for a missile shower," SN: 6/23/84, p. 391)—would such an umbrella shield us from fallout or the electromagnetic pulse? After all, a nuclear missile need not strike a target to affect it.

Ken Gale
New York, N.Y.

JULY 21, 1984

35