

SCIENCE NEWS®

The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

A Science Service Publication
Volume 128, No. 5, August 3, 1985

E. G. Sherburne Jr. Publisher
Joel Greenberg Editor
Dietrick E. Thomsen Senior Editor/
Physical Sciences
Laurie Jackson Phillips Managing Editor
Wendy McCarren Production/Design
Director
Bruce Bower Behavioral Sciences
Joanne Silberner Biomedicine
Stefi Weisburd Earth Sciences
Julie Ann Miller Life Sciences
Janet Raloff, Ivars Peterson Policy/Technology
Jonathan Eberhart Space Sciences
Susan Welch Gilday Assistant to
the Editor
Jennie Dusheck, Judith Mathewson Science Writer Interns
Jane M. Livermore Books
Donald R. Harless Advertising/Business
Manager

Copyright © 1985 by Science Service, Inc.,
Editorial and Business Offices,
1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D. C. 20036.
Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS
without written permission of the publisher is
prohibited.

Subscription Department
231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43305

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$29.50; 2 yrs., \$50.00.
(Foreign postage \$5.00 additional per year.) Change
of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required.
Please state exactly how magazine is to be
addressed. Include zip code. For new subscriptions
only call (1) 800-247-2160. Printed in U.S.A. Second
class postage paid at Washington, D. C. Title
registered as trademark U. S. and Canadian Patent
Offices. Published every Saturday by SCIENCE
SERVICE, Inc. 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
20036. (202-785-2255)
ISSN 0036-8423

Letters

Turned to stone

Re "Giotto's Perilous Probe of Comet Halley"
(SN: 7/13/85, p. 20): It was Perseus, and not Jason,
who slew the Medusa.

Gary R. Nye
Linglestown, Pa.

True. Space Sciences Editor Jonathan Eberhart
says somewhat sheepishly that he [Eberhart]
would probably have forgotten his mirrored
shield, too. —Ed.

Rat race

I find it difficult to accept Michael Recht's discoveries on the activities of rats ("Where the rats are," SN: 6/8/85, p. 360), because one of his claims seems extremely improbable. Specifically, I am very surprised to learn of a Norway rat "covering 96 meters in less than 10 seconds," when 1984 Olympic champion Carl Lewis re-

This Week

- 68 Portents of U.S. Oil Vulnerability
- 68 Old nova sparks a new theory
- 69 A flash of blue
- 69 Why doesn't the body reject the fetus?
- 69 Folding into a pure paraffin crystal
- 70 Space cooperation: A growing issue
- 70 Telling a quagga by its stripes
- 71 More controversy over peer review
- 71 Cyprus site yields more quake clues

Research Notes

- 76 Astronomy
- 76 Behavior
- 77 Biology
- 77 Biomedicine

Articles

72 The Quantum Universe: A Zero-Point Fluctuation

Cover: *What immortal hand or eye/Could frame thy fearful symmetry?*—William Blake, "The Tiger." The universe may have started from nothing and may eventually return to nothing. In between it makes its way through multiple patterns of symmetry. (Illustration: Robert Bourdeaux, after Blake's "The Ancient of Days," 1794)

75 Diamonds in NATURE

Departments

67 Letters

Science Service Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.
Board of Trustees—President, Glenn T. Seaborg; Vice President, Gerald F. Tape; Treasurer, Willis Harlow Shapley; Secretary, Hilleary F. Hoskinson; Joseph W. Berg Jr.; Edward Bliss Jr.; Bowen C. Dees; David A. Gosiin; Milton Harris; Elena O. Nightingale; O. W. Riegel; H. Guyford Stever; John Troan; Deborah P. Wolfe.
Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless.

quired 9.99 seconds to cover 100 meters. Either there's a glitch here somewhere, or else an average rat with a 5-inch stride can keep up with a man with a 3-foot stride, and the fastest man in the world at that.

Richard Rostrom
Chicago, Ill.

There's no glitch. Recht states in his paper that "... rat 2 was timed during an intervegetation movement ... a distance of approximately 96 meters; it took slightly less than 10 seconds to cover that distance." —J. Raloff

Space babies

I find the information in "Parents don't always know best" (SN: 7/6/85, p. 9) questionable. Other research indicates that breastfeeding postpones ovulation. Many U.S. families are

using this as a method of natural family planning — with great success. Women I've known who have used this method accurately have babies at least two years apart — and often as long as 3½ years apart. However, this method of child spacing is often misunderstood, particularly by health professionals. It requires total breastfeeding for the first several months of the child's life; this means no bottles of formula, juice or water; no pacifier or thumb; nursing according to the child's needs, both day and night; and elimination of artificial schedules (such as every two to four hours). History indicates that this was a method of birth control used by our ancestors. Likewise, in developing countries it has helped to space babies — until formula companies began mass marketing practices there.

Rose Yonekura
Lincoln, Neb.



AUGUST 3, 1985

67