

Liquid Sand

The liquidlike behavior of soils during major earthquakes causes considerable damage

By IVARS PETERSON

The shaking of an earthquake can, within minutes, turn a loose sandy soil saturated with water into a fluid porridge that no longer supports a building. Unless the building is designed to float, it will sink or tip over. This earthquake effect, known as soil liquefaction, probably contributed to the devastation that occurred last month in Mexico.

"This phenomenon of soil liquefaction sounds very esoteric," says earthquake engineer Ricardo Dobry of the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in Troy, N.Y., "but it happens over and over again. Most big earthquakes cause a lot of liquefaction."

The destructive power of soil liquefaction was first forcibly brought to the attention of earthquake engineers after the disastrous 1964 earthquake in Niigata, Japan (SN: 2/10/79, p. 90). In this earthquake, widespread liquefaction caused nearly \$1 billion in damage. Last month's Mexican earthquake (SN: 9/27/85, p. 196) again reminded engineers of the danger when sand suddenly starts to flow like a liquid. Mexico City, partly built on an ancient lake bed, showed a patchwork of toppled structures hinting that at least some of the buildings had rested on patches of sand that for a moment couldn't bear a load.

Although soil liquefaction is only one small part of earthquake engineering, says George W. Housner of the California Institute of Technology in Pasadena, it's particularly important because of its poten-

tial effect on critical structures like nuclear power plants and large earth dams. "The consequences of a failure would be extreme," he says.

Soil liquefaction is also a "concealed hazard," Housner says, partly because the evidence is hidden underground and partly because few people are aware of the problem. Housner chairs the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) earthquake engineering committee, which is preparing a report on soil liquefaction during earthquakes. A draft version of the report was presented last month at a NAS seminar in Washington, D.C.

News of the Mexican earthquake, which happened to strike just as the seminar was starting, dramatically punctuated the meeting. "Maybe this will help focus attention on this seminar and the importance of the problem," Housner commented wryly when he announced the news.

In the last two decades, a great deal has been learned about soil liquefaction. When an earthquake shakes loose, wet sand, the sand grains roll and slide into more stable positions. The sand settles to form a denser layer. But the excess water, trapped among the sand particles, can't escape quickly enough, and the water pressure inside the mixture rapidly builds up. At some critical "pore" pressure, the sand grains lose direct contact because films of water now separate them. The



Soil liquefaction during a 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake generated fissures along a canal near El Centro, Calif.

mixture begins to behave like a liquid.

Normally, the weight of a building or a dam and the weight of overlying soil are enough to keep a saturated sand layer firm and stiff. This is the same effect that turns a tightly held sock packed with wet sand into an effective hammer. But that strength disappears with an increase in pore water pressure during shaking.

Liquefaction occurs most readily if the soil is a fine sand because it takes hours for the water to make its way through the mixture's minute channels. A large-grained, permeable soil like gravel, on the other hand, drains very quickly. Clay soils, in which particles are effectively "glued" together, are also resistant to liquefaction.

Dams that consist of piles of loose sand are very vulnerable to earthquakes. "They liquefy, they flow, and they fail easily," says Dobry. During a 1971 earthquake, a large part of the upstream face of the 140-foot Lower Van Norman San Fernando Dam in California collapsed and slipped beneath the water. About 80,000 people, who lived downstream in Los Angeles, were forced to leave their homes for several days until the water level behind the dam was lowered.

"It was almost catastrophic," says

A toppled building in Mexico City shows that soil liquefaction may have contributed to the damage suffered during a recent earthquake.



In the 1886 Charleston, S.C. earthquake, sand boils like the one shown spouted a mixture of sand and water.



Dobry. "The earthquake ended just as the dam was starting to go. Most of us estimate that after 5 or 10 seconds more of shaking, it would have gone."

Although loose sand no longer goes into the construction of earth dams, a number of older dams of this type are still in use. In contrast, dams constructed from clay soils don't fail catastrophically, even when they are poorly built.

Perhaps the most common manifestation of liquefaction is the occurrence of "sand boils." These small, volcanolike features mark spots where a high fluid pressure, generated during an earthquake, has driven pore water to carve a channel that brings waterborne soil particles to the surface.

More subtle soil liquefaction effects are seen in the spreading of slightly inclined ground or the settling and subsequent flooding of large areas. Not only are buildings damaged, but also highways, bridges and pipelines often suffer. In the 1964 Alaska earthquake, about 250 highway and railroad bridges suffered damage because liquefied sand pushed bridge abutments toward the center of river channels. Liquefied soil is also known to have pushed underground storage tanks to the surface, even forcing them through asphalt pavement.

"There's a great deal to be learned by going into the field," says T. Leslie Youd of Brigham Young University in Provo, Utah.

Detailed field studies have identified the types of geologic deposits, such as loose sand left in river valleys, that are most susceptible to liquefaction.

Moreover, deposits that liquefy during one earthquake are very likely to liquefy again in later earthquakes. This is the basis for a major study planned for the Imperial Valley in southern California where sand boils erupted and riverbanks collapsed during a 1981 earthquake. Instruments to measure ground movement and pore water pressure thread a site in the area of a prehistoric stream channel near the Alamo River. "We're now waiting for an earthquake to happen," says Youd.

Because very little detailed information is available on exactly what happens in wet sand during an actual earthquake, any data collected in the Imperial Valley study will be very valuable, says Youd. This information would help validate laboratory studies and computer simulations of soil liquefaction. An instrumented site on an artificial island near Tokyo has already provided one set of useful results after an earthquake in 1983.

Although progress has been made in assessing possible liquefaction hazards, some aspects of the problem remain uncertain. For example, earthquake en-

gineers disagree about whether to focus first on a soil's loss-of-strength potential or on the pore pressure necessary to trigger a flow failure.

Is excess pore pressure a cause or a symptom, asks Robert V. Whitman of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The relative merits of these different approaches can be resolved only through observations of the actual effect of earthquake shaking on soils. "It can't be settled by experiments on small samples," says Whitman. "It can't be settled by more theoretical analysis."

In practice, almost any saturated, granular soil can develop increased pore water pressures when shaken. These pore pressures can rise significantly if the earthquake lasts long enough. At a given site, the important question is: What intensity and duration of shaking will cause liquefaction, or, conversely, can the soil survive the anticipated earthquake shaking without liquefying?

Experts have developed a variety of methods for testing soils and assessing liquefaction hazards, but they admit that the process is still very much an engineering art. "There are enough unknowns that we can't use one methodology to solve the whole problem," says Gonzalo Castro of Geotechnical Engineers, Inc. in Winchester, Mass.

Soils are complex materials that vary a great deal from place to place. Even in a single location, "there are no uniform sand deposits," says H. Bolton Seed of the University of California at Berkeley. So far, most laboratory studies have concentrated on clean sand. Seed is now studying sand-gravel mixtures because no satisfactory procedure exists for evaluating the safety of these soils.

Even "sensitive" clays can be a problem. Shaking can shatter a brittle clay to form a permeable rubble that acts like a pile of loose sand.

Furthermore, it isn't clear which meas-



The settling of sandy soil after liquefaction during the 1964 Alaska earthquake caused extensive damage.

tently gives the system enough time to catch up. And the intermittent schedule may stimulate the production of enzymes.

Free radicals, which are credited with enhancing wound repair, have also been associated with cancer, but hyperbaric oxygen researchers note that they are treating life-threatening conditions and that they do not suspect use of hyperbaric oxygen will cause a problem. "Breathing oxygen at high pressure is not a new thing," says Lambertsen, noting that divers have been doing it for years. "The process turns off as soon as oxygen administration is stopped."

Al Tappel of the University of California at Davis, an expert in free radicals, says that while he is unaware of studies of hyperbaric oxygen and cancer, he suspects the link, if any, would be small because people naturally have protective enzymes against free radicals.

"From the clinical standpoint," says Davis, a former head of the Undersea Medical Society, "we have never seen any evidence [of carcinogenicity] to concern us."

What researchers fear today is "another simultaneous wave of not very scrupulous characters who set up chambers in offices to make a lot of money," says Davis. "It's an expensive modality. We're very concerned about overzealous uses."



A researcher monitors the effect oxygen poisoning has on vision. The subject here is breathing 100 percent oxygen at three atmospheres of pressure.

The therapy has proven its value as an adjunct for certain conditions, and controlled clinical trials are under way for other ailments. What would be most damaging now, say these researchers, is another unfounded rise in expectations. □

Continued from p. 235

urements at a site provide the best indications of a potential hazard. Data collected in the past or in other countries are sometimes difficult to use because the measurement techniques were different or standards varied.

Earthquake engineers are also far from being able to make accurate predictions about how much the ground will shift if an underlying sand layer liquefies, says Youd, because so many factors influence the process. Many more case histories are needed to shed light on exactly what happens, he says.

The problem is that there are very few places where both the characteristics of a shaking during an earthquake and the soil properties before an earthquake are known. Nevertheless, some researchers are digging into historical records and geological data for clues about past episodes. Photographs and written accounts of the 1906 San Francisco earthquake, for example, clearly show that soil liquefaction played an important role in destroying buildings resting on landfill and in breaking gas and water mains. Although the focus on soil liquefaction research is relatively recent, the problem has existed for as long as earthquakes have affected civilization.

Despite the uncertainties, soil liquefaction is a hazard that's now relatively recognizable, says William F. Marcuson III of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in

Vicksburg, Miss., but selecting a solution can get very complicated. "There will never be a cookbook approach for seismic stabilization," he says. "It has to be done on a case-by-case basis."

Experience shows that soil liquefaction can damage all types of structures, from dams and towers to roads, pipelines and underground storage tanks. If a soil at a particular site turns out to be susceptible, then the structure must be abandoned, relocated or improved. Improvements, which include replacing or packing down loose sand, providing better drainage or rebuilding a structure on deeper pilings, may be very costly. On top of that, adds Marcuson, "We have little field experience for guidance."

To earthquake engineers, large earthquakes like the one that rocked Mexico, although a human tragedy, provide valuable information about what works and what doesn't work. How large a role liquefaction played in destroying buildings in Mexico City won't be known until a direct inspection takes place. Earthquake specialists are heading for the city to see at first hand what happened and to garner clues that could lead to better construction practices and remedial measures.

"Any progress that we make in understanding [soil liquefaction] is important," says Frank Press, NAS president and a geophysicist by training. "We respond to crises as they happen," he says. "That's wrong. We need to plan ahead to take this and other potential hazards into account." □

Books

Books is an editorial service for readers' information. To order any book listed or any U. S. book in print please remit retail price, plus \$1.00 handling charge for each book, to Book Order Service, Science News, 1719 N Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20036. All books sent postpaid. Domestic orders only.

From One to Zero: A Universal History of Numbers—Georges Ifrah, translated by Lowell Bair. A very readable worldwide history of the invention and use of numbers, which is extensively illustrated by the author and assumes no previous knowledge of the subject. Originally published in French under the title *Histoire Universelle des Chiffres*. Viking Pr, 1985, 503 p., illus., \$35.

New Views on an Old Planet: Continental Drift and the History of Earth—Tjeerd H. Van Andel. A book about the continuously changing earth. Weaves together for the general reader the major strands of change: the drifting continents, the fluctuations of climate and the procession of life. Cambridge U Pr, 1985, 324 p., illus., \$19.95.

Reshaping Life: Key Issues in Genetic Engineering—G. J. V. Nossal. The aim of the author, the director of a medical research institute, is to present the essential elements of genetic engineering to a readership with no background in biology. The first two chapters describe "the bare bones" of biology and how genetic engineering works. Goes on to discuss the achievements of and the possibilities for genetic engineering and the social implications of this new technology. Cambridge U Pr, 1985, 158 p., illus., paper, \$11.95.

Science in Nineteenth-Century America: A Documentary History—Nathan Reingold, Ed. A collection of documents from 19th-century America intended to aid the analysis of the roots of current American science. These documents reveal such matters as what kinds of scientific activity occurred, the relations of Americans with their European colleagues, the relations of scientists to higher education and the internal squabbles and jockeying for position and recognition in the scientific world. Originally published in 1964. U of Chicago Pr, 1985, 339 p., paper, \$12.50.

The Second Self: Computers and the Human Spirit—Sherry Turkle. People, according to the author, tend to perceive a "machine that thinks" as a "machine who thinks." They begin to consider the workings of that machine in psychological terms. Why this happens, how it happens and what it means for all of us is the subject of this book. Originally published in hardback in 1984. S&S, 1985, 362 p., paper, \$8.95.

Silent Screams and Hidden Cries: An Interpretation of Artwork by Children from Violent Homes—Agnes Wohl and Bobbie Kaufman. A study of drawings of elementary-school-age children who have lived with domestic violence and who have, in many cases, been the victims of violence. Brunner-Mazel, 1985, 173 p., illus., \$20.

Superforce: The Search for a Grand Unified Theory of Nature—Paul Davies. Astronomer-author Davies sets out here a complete theory of the universe, including its origin. New advances and discoveries in modern physics continue to be reported. "It is too soon to proclaim the bold ideas that are emerging as well established," says Davies, "but the general scenario is rapidly becoming accepted by scientists." Originally published in hardback in 1984. S&S, 1985, 255 p., illus., paper, \$8.95.