

# SCIENCE NEWS®

The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

A Science Service Publication  
Volume 129, No. 10, March 8, 1986

E. G. Sherburne Jr.	Publisher
Joel Greenberg	Editor
Dietrick E. Thomsen	Senior Editor/ Physical Sciences
Laurie Jackson	Managing Editor
Wendy McCarren	Production/Design Director
Bruce Bower	Behavioral Sciences
Joanne Silberner	Biomedicine
Stefi Weisburd	Earth Sciences
Julie Ann Miller	Life Sciences
Janet Raloff, Ivars Peterson	Policy/Technology
Jonathan Eberhart	Space Sciences
Susan Welch Gilday	Assistant to the Editor
Lisa Davis	Science Writer Intern
Jane M. Livermore	Books
Donald R. Harless	Advertising/Business Manager

Copyright © 1986 by Science Service, Inc.,  
Editorial and Business Offices,  
1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.  
Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS  
without written permission of the publisher is  
prohibited.

Subscription Department  
231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43305

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$29.50; 2 yrs., \$50.00.  
(Foreign postage \$5.00 additional per year.) Change of  
address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please  
state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include  
zip code. For new subscriptions only call  
(1) 800-247-2160. Printed in U.S.A. Second class  
postage paid at Washington, D.C., and additional  
mailing offices. Title registered as trademark U.S. and  
Canadian Patent Offices. Published every Saturday by  
SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington,  
D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255)  
ISSN 0036-8423

## Letters

### Down's syndrome, 'prenatal care'

As the mother of a son who has Down's syndrome, I take grave exception to the following sentence from "A Common Medical Denominator" (SN: 1/25/86, p. 60): "Improved prenatal care has steadily lowered the incidence of Down's syndrome. . . ."

Aside from the fact that the author is assuming that everyone would agree that amniocentesis followed by abortion is an appropriate definition of "improved prenatal care," which is patently not so, the statement is grossly and dangerously misleading. Any reader might quite rightly suppose that if a pregnant woman took good care of herself (diet, exercise, rest, no smoking, etc.), she could prevent Down's syndrome from occurring in her child. This, as the author clearly knows, is simply not true. There is nothing, short of abortion, that can prevent Down's syndrome, and of course it prevents a baby from being born, with or without Down's.

MARCH 8, 1986

## This Week

- 148 A Forest Grows in Antarctica
- 148 Government probes gene-splice test
- 149 The 'wetter' side of hominid tools
- 149 A stary origin for sandy compounds
- 150 A harvest of scientific talent
- 150 How to give teeth a fluoride 'apatite'
- 151 AIDS vaccine research: Promising protein
- 151 Clean-coal program change
- 151 Fibrocystic changes rarely forecast cancer

## Research Notes

- 152 Behavior
- 152 Earth Sciences
- 153 Energy
- 153 Science & Society

## Articles

- 154 Man in the Moon  
Cover: On the moon, X-ray astronomers could set up their equipment right on the surface, since there's no atmosphere to interfere with the passage of X-rays. This is a proposed Large Area Modular Array of Reflectors, consisting of 32 identical telescopes pointing in the same direction; such a combination should be able to record very faint sources. A human colony on the moon could establish and maintain a large variety of astronomical equipment. (Drawing: Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics)
- 156 Subliminal Messages: Changes for the Better?



## Departments

- 147 Letters

**Science Service** Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.  
Board of Trustees — *President*, Glenn T. Seaborg; *Vice President*, Gerald F. Tape; *Treasurer*, Willis Harlow Shapley; *Secretary*, Hilleary F. Hoskinson; Joseph W. Berg Jr.; Edward Bliss Jr.; Bowen C. Dees; David A. Goslin; Milton Harris; Elena O. Nightingale; O.W. Riegel; H. Guyford Stever; John Troan; Deborah P. Wolfe.  
Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless.

Euphemisms like this have no place in scientific writing.

Lisbeth S. Giorgi  
Huntington Beach, Calif.

### Path of paradox

I don't understand how Jean-Pierre Vigié's experiment ("Holism and particlism in physics," SN: 2/1/86, p. 70) will tell us anything new about the wave-particle duality of the neutron, or any other particle. When he determines which path the neutron took, he will be imposing particle behavior on it and it will no longer interfere with itself. Is there some new factor in the experiment that wasn't discussed in the article (or did I miss it)?

Ruth E. Kastner  
Greenbelt, Md.

*In the Einsteinian view the experiment is supposed to tell which way the neutron chooses to behave. There is no reason to rule out beforehand a result in which it indicates that the neutron goes by one path and interferes with*

*itself nevertheless. The experiment will also test what information and predictions about the neutron's path come out of Bohm's potential.*

— D.E. Thomsen

### Paying through the nose

In "An economic case for banning smoking?" and "Nicotine: A Drug of Choice?" (SN: 1/18/86, pp. 40, 44) you lay out the costs of tobacco and report that the American Medical Association wants to ban advertising. Some have also suggested that the government stop subsidizing tobacco farmers.

While good ideas, they are subject to challenge. The correct solution is to cause the user to pay the full cost of his noxious habit. The nonuser now pays much of the cost in many ways, especially health insurance. Tobacco users shouldn't be able to buy regular health and life insurance but rather special policies on an "assigned risk" basis, like car insurance for alcohol abusers.

P. M. deLaubenfels  
Corvallis, Ore.

147