

#### The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

A Science Service Publication Volume 133, No. 19, May 7, 1988

E.G. Sherburne Jr. Patrick Young Dietrick E. Thomsen

Laurie Jackson Wendy McCarren

**Bruce Bower** Richard Monastersky Stefi Weisburd Diane D. Edwards. Rick Weiss Ivars Peterson

Janet Raloff Jonathan Eberhart Janice Rickerich

Christopher Vaughan

Jane M. Livermore

Donald R. Harless

Publisher Editor

Senior Editor/ Physical Sciences Managing Editor Production/Design Director

Behavioral Sciences Earth Sciences General Science Life Sciences/ Biomedicine Mathematics/Technology Policy/Technology

Assistant to the Editor Science Writer

Space Sciences

Intern Books

Advertising/Business Manager

Copyright © 1988 by Science Service, Inc., Editorial and Business Offices,
1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.
Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS
without written permission of the publisher is prohibited

Subscription Department 231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43305

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$34.50; 2 yrs., \$58.00. (Foreign postage \$6.00 additional per year.) Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please state exactly how magazine is to be addressed. Include zip code. For new subscriptions only call (1) 800-247-2160. Printed in U.S.A. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and additional mailing offices. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices. Published every Saturday by SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255) ISSN 0036-8423

#### **This Week**

292 AIDS Virus in Bone, Vaccine on Trial 292 Repairing blood pressure damage

293 EMP tests under fire: DOD must shut down most — for now

293 Mock nuke blasts go off

294 Lab 'errors' often prove for the better 294 A raison d'être for heat-shock proteins 295 Ice Age air reveals greenhouse gas story

295 X-rays, cancer in China 295

Happy birthday, condor chick 301 Sounds in the unwrapped inner ear

#### **Research Notes**

299 Biomedicine 299 Earth Sciences 300 **Nutrition** 

Technology 300

### **Articles**

296 Energy Efficiency: Less Means More

Cover: Most consumers don't think about what it takes to provide the energy they depend on — like the electricity coursing through long-distance power lines. But the toll can be high, both environmentally and economically. That's why, as increasing research shows, it pays to invest in energy-conserving

technologies



#### **Departments**

291 Letters 302 **Books** 

Science Service Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation. Board of Trustees — President, Glenn T. Seaborg; Vice President, Gerald F. Tape; Treasurer, Willis Harlow Shapley; Secretary, Hilleary F. Hoskinson; Joseph W. Berg Jr.; Edward Bliss Jr.; Bowen C. Dees; David A Goslin; J. David Hann; Milton Harris; Elena O. Nightingale; O.W. Riegel; H. Guyford Stever; John Troan; David B. Wolfe Deborah P. Wolfe.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless.

## Letters

#### Repository risk

"The 10,000-Year Test" (SN: 2/27/88, p.139), while factually accurate, omitted some clarification necessary for the reader to make an objective evaluation of the potential risk from the proposed Nevada nuclear waste repository. First, the statement is made that 'The waste to be placed in the repository will remain dangerous for more than 10,000 years." The article goes on to explain that "The canisters that contain the fuel are designed to resist corrosion for only 300 to 1,000 years." Without presenting the scientific basis for its conclusion, the article goes on to state, "There is no doubt that the repository will leak over the course of 10,000 years. Finally, it presents the EPA standard associated with the allowed impact on the public as "should not cause more than 1,000 deaths over the next 10,000 years." The article does identify the source of this EPA standard as "the estimate of deaths the uranium ore would cause if the ore had never been mined." To clarify these statements it's important to understand that the nuclear-waste canisters are being designed to contain the waste for at least 1,000 years under the worst possible corrosion conditions (groundwater movement and a saturated waste chamber). As a comparison, wooden funeral boats were retrieved in perfect condition from Egyptian burial chambers after more than 2,000 years in a corrosion environment that should be similar to the Nevada conditions barring major environmental changes (lack of groundwater intrusion into a sealed rock chamber). The very existence of these boats suggests a possibility that even with relatively primitive designing, the Egyptian chambers might last 10,000 years without leaking. Therefore, it is not a reasonable scientific conclusion that "There is no doubt that the repository will leak over the course of the next 10,000 years.'

Finally, the risk factor itself as presented in the article implies a significant threat (1,000 deaths are not trivial) - but how much less threatening it is when placed in perspective. These 1,000 hypothesized deaths would occur during a 10,000-year period over which statistics indicate that more than 200,000 people would die in the United States from snake bites and 100,000 would die from insect stings. No one would suggest the impact of the repository should be based on the best-case existing models. However, using worst-case physical conditions and the highest generally accepted value for low-level radiation effects on humans, the results of a post-1,000-year failure would still equal 1/100th of the U.S. deaths attributable to insect stings.

David R. Steffes Senior Health Physicist Dames & Moore Orchard Park, N.Y.

"The 10,000-Year Test" details another example of our "throw it in the ocean, burn it or bury it" approach toward waste. Out of sight, out of mind. But we cannot afford to forget this waste because our present level of knowledge

Letters continued on p. 301

MAY 7, 1988 291 simply cannot guarantee safe burial for 10,000 years. We cannot even bury our household garbage safely for 20 years.

So why not put radioactive waste in carefully constructed "buildings" above ground? There would be no danger of people forgetting about them in 500 years. They could be monitored much more easily. They could be repaired and improved more easily as that became necessary and possible. And if anyone finds a use for this energetic waste, which is how many waste problems have been solved, it would be more readily available.

But if we feel we simply must bury it, why not put it in that part of an earth's plate that is being subducted back below the crust? That should get it out of sight for a while.

Richard S. Blake East Falmouth, Mass.

Several people involved in the Yucca Mountain project suggested to me that, if for no other reason than politics, most high-level waste will remain stored above ground, in spite of the current investigation into underground disposal. As for subduction zones, in the 1960s some scientists suggested these locations might be suitable for waste disposal, but the idea has received scant consideration recently. Instead, many nations are looking at the regions in the middle of the oceanic plates, where a thick layer of sedimentary ooze rests on the ocean floor. One proposal is to shape the waste canisters as projectiles, so they will penetrate deep into the ooze and remain shielded from the corrosive - R. Monastersky

#### Deadly ducts?

Comfort-control systems as central heating and cooling are excellent means of transmission of airborne illnesses ("Tight buildings, more airborne disease," SN: 4/16/88, p.251), as it is inevitable that these systems will become septic unless maintained in a hygienic condition. That becoming septic is inevitable does not mean that it is normal, as they can be controlled successfully. Fouled systems cause many superficial and systemic mycoses as well as bacterial diseases, as the systems are entirely opportunistic.

There are danger signals such as fouled outlets, decreased operational efficiency, condensation around air outlets and overflow of condensate at the unit, to name a few. That so little instruction is given on installation and maintenance is not only a major health problem but also a national disgrace.

It is hoped that your article will attract attention from occupational, environmental and public health officials and that the need for instruction and controls can be emphasized. Unless the focus of transmission is identified and removed, nothing else can be effective, as the exposure to products of growth within the units is continuous, prolonged, cumulative and hazardous. One health official told me, "It scares me to think how many illnesses and deaths could be traced to such a source in the immediate environment if we just looked far enough."


Andrew C. Askew Jr. Ardmore, Okla.

# Sounds in the unwrapped inner ear

The details of how the inner ear converts sound waves into electrical pulses for transmission to the brain have long puzzled researchers. As one step in studying this process, mathematicians have been working with physiologists to develop computer models that simulate how various parts of the ear work. Such information would aid in the design of hearing aids and speech recognition systems. One recently developed model of the cochlea, a spiral-shaped, fluid-filled organ in the inner ear, illuminates how sound waves of different frequencies excite fibers in the basilar membrane, a platform running down the cochlea.

The difficulty in building such a model, says Mark H. Holmes of the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in Troy, N.Y., is in matching the mathematics with experimental data from physiological studies. Holmes' model of the cochlea extends his earlier work on eardrum motions (SN: 5/17/86, p.311). In his animated film, the cochlea is shown cut open and unrolled to make it easier to see what happens to the basilar membrane as the frequency of the incoming sound wave changes (see illustration). "As you change frequencies, the area of stimulation changes," says Holmes.

Now, Holmes and his colleagues are



This frame from an animated film shows three views of the effect on the basilar membrane of an 800-hertz sound wave at one instant in time. In the process of hearing, a sound wave enters through the round or oval window, then travels along the basilar membrane toward the helicotrema. The wave's amplitude varies along the membrane, reaching a peak where the membrane's fibers respond most strongly to that particular frequency.

developing mathematical equations that describe how the ear canal leading to the eardrum influences sound waves and how specialized hair cells embedded in the basilar membrane convert mechanical movement into electrical signals. "We feel we have the major components of the system," says Holmes, "although we haven't yet put them together into a whole-ear model."

— I. Peterson

