

SCIENCE NEWS®

The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

A Science Service Publication
Volume 135, No. 9, March 4, 1989

E.G. Sherburne Jr.	Publisher
Patrick Young	Editor
Laurie Jackson	Managing Editor
Janice Rickerich	Production/Design Director
Bruce Bower	Behavioral Sciences
Ivan Amato	Chemistry/ Materials Science
Richard Monastersky	Earth Sciences
Janet Raloff	Environment/Policy
Kathy A. Fackelmann, Rick Weiss, Ingrid Wickelgren	Life Sciences/ Biomedicine
Ivars Peterson	Mathematics/Physics
Jonathan Eberhart	Space Sciences
Susan Arns	Assistant to the Editor
Faye Flam	Science Writer Intern
Wendy McCarren	Books/Resource Manager
Donald R. Harless	Advertising/Business Manager

Copyright © 1989 by Science Service, Inc.,
Editorial and Business Offices,
1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.
Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS
without written permission of the publisher is
prohibited.

Subscription Department
231 West Center Street, Marion, Ohio 43305

Subscription rate: 1 yr., \$34.50; 2 yrs., \$58.00.
(Foreign postage \$6.00 additional per year.) Change of
address: Four to six weeks' notice is required. Please
state exactly how magazine is to be addressed.
Include zip code. For new subscriptions only call
(1) 800-247-2160. Printed in U.S.A. POSTMASTER:
Send address changes to Science News, 231 West
Center Street, Marion, OH 43305. Second class
postage paid at Washington, D.C., and additional
mailing offices. Title registered as trademark U.S. and
Canadian Patent Offices. Published every Saturday by
SCIENCE SERVICE, Inc., 1719 N St., N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20036. (202-785-2255)
ISSN 0036-8423

Letters

Future probabilities

"Predisposition and Prejudice" (SN: 1/21/89, p.40) alerted me to the alarming probability that employers and insurers will increasingly seek to use advances in genetic mapping to discriminate against taxpayers, who provide a considerable portion of funding for genetics research. When the mapping is completed, it is likely that *everyone* would test positive for *some* predisposition to one or more genetically affected disease.

If an insurer raises premiums or excludes coverage because of predisposition to a particular disease, the premiums for those *without* the defect should be *lowered*, since the probability of their developing the disease is low. But I have a feeling that reduction of premiums for those testing negative is not what insurers would do with their increased premiums or reduced liability.

Jack L. McVey
Wichita, Kan.

This Week

- 132 Test Screens Live 'Test Tube' Embryos
- 132 Signs of an ancient worldwide wallop
- 133 Pesticide/food risk greatest under age 6
- 133 If only this diamond truly lasted forever
- 134 Investigating eyewitness memory mishaps
- 134 Big rigs ease down a long and windy road
- 135 Drugs give slight edge in breast cancer
- 135 Plant ion-pump gene cloned, sequenced

Research Notes

- 141 Behavior
- 141 Environment
- 143 Physical Sciences

Articles

136 Education by Exaggeration

Cover: Rarely in real life do insects pose such a direct threat to humans as might be guessed from movies such as "Empire of the Ants" (1977). Yet a widespread fear of the six-legged creatures has spawned an entire genre of cinema that for the most part only exacerbates unwarranted entomophobia. At the University of Illinois, an entomologist and her cinematography-professor husband show films with anatomically incorrect insects to clear up some popular misunderstandings about bugs.

138 Searching for Strange Matter

Departments

- 130 Books
- 131 Letters

Science Service Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.
Board of Trustees — *President*, Glenn T. Seaborg; *Vice President*, Gerald F. Tape; *Treasurer*, Willis Harlow Shapley; *Secretary*, Hilleary F. Hoskinson; Joseph W. Berg Jr.; Edward Bliss Jr.; Bowen C. Dees; David A. Goslin; J. David Hann; Milton Harris; Elena O. Nightingale; O.W. Riegel; H. Guyford Stever; John Troan; Deborah P. Wolfe.

Director: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; Assistant Director: Dorothy Schriver; Business Manager: Donald R. Harless.



some persons into precarious positions as far as their ability to insure themselves is concerned, but you should not blame the insurance companies for this. Nature is not always kind and gentle.

Tibor R. Machan
Professor
Department of Philosophy
Auburn University
Auburn, Ala.

I see no distinction between your hypothetical case of the 31-year-old rejected for life insurance because of genetic predisposition to cancer and my being rejected for military service at 25 and rated on life insurance because of high blood pressure. The means for obtaining the data are more sophisticated, but in each case the conclusion is based on actuarial (statistical) analysis.

Anthony Kettaneh
New York, N.Y.

In "Predisposition and Prejudice," Rick Weiss equivocates between legitimate discrimination and prejudice.

An insurance company should not insure high-risk persons — the firm is not in the business of losing its owners' income but of earning them returns on their investment. When, however, people in the marketplace prejudice others, basing their decisions not on relevant facts but on such matters as skin color, that is wrong.

No doubt, new research techniques put

"Predisposition and Prejudice" was itself an exhibition of (liberal) prejudice. Liberals have changed the language so much that men who have learned to discriminate the intimate architecture of the genome are now seen as potential agents of "discriminating against." Is a genetic fault something to be dealt with, or is pointing it out "discriminating against"?

Weiss cites AIDS testing by insurance companies, implying that this is another act of

Letters continued on p. 139

MARCH 4, 1989

131

at all.

A somewhat more sophisticated approach would send in heavy ions at just the right energy so they would interact with any strange matter in the target material. The lump of strange matter would absorb the incoming nucleus, eventually getting rid of its excess energy by releasing a distinctive burst of X-rays and gamma rays.

"This signature would be totally unconventional," says Jaffe. However, because of the technical difficulties involved, no one has yet attempted this particular experiment.

Another way to study strange matter is to make it at particle accelerators. Gordon L. Shaw of the University of California, Irvine, and his colleagues suggest a novel scheme for generating small drops of strange matter by smashing together heavy ions traveling at high speeds. The resulting small drops of strange matter, though somewhat unstable, could last long enough to grow larger and more stable by absorbing neutrons. Eventually, they would become stable enough to be isolated, slowed down and stored. Because the addition of neutrons releases a great deal of energy, the growth of stable strange matter to even larger sizes shows promise as a potential energy source, Shaw says.


One problem with making the scheme work is ensuring that the hot, low-density quark-gluon plasma created in the collision of high-speed heavy ions (SN: 10/8/88, p.229) cools in the right way to produce high-density, low-temperature drops of strange matter. No one has yet proposed a detailed mechanism for accomplishing this feat — akin to extracting an ice cube from boiling water.

"Despite these reservations, the proposal does not seem to be an expensive addition to experiments that are already in progress," Alcock comments in the Feb. 2 NATURE. "As the payoff in physics would be so large if strange matter were detected, the ideas should be further explored. And the engineering ideas raise long-term prospects for energy generation."

Does strange matter exist? No one knows yet.

"The issue is far from settled," Alcock says. "Even though the experiments may be somewhat farfetched, if things work out, the possible consequences for our understanding of fundamental physics are very great."

In particular, theorists are struggling to understand how matter is put together. "We have this elegant theory of quantum chromodynamics, which is supposed to describe the binding of the fundamental constituents of all matter, but we don't know how to make it work," Jaffe says.



In this schematic diagram of a proposed apparatus for rapidly growing small drops of strange matter (S-drops) into larger drops, the S-drops are first circulated through liquid deuterium (D₂) in a magnetic field (B), then stored in a "bottle." When stored S-drops capture slow neutrons, they release energy.

"We can't even do something as basic as building protons out of quarks. Understanding the very special role of strange quarks is becoming the focus of the whole puzzle."

Physicists are also considering the possibility that strange matter, rather than being absolutely stable, is actually quasistable, meaning that strange matter, once created, would decay into other forms in seconds or less. A group of researchers is now planning to test the idea by putting a detector—a type of mass spectrometer — a short distance downstream from a heavy-ion collision experiment. The instrument would be close enough to the production zone to capture

strange matter, even if it decayed within microseconds.

A positive result, says Jaffe, "would give us not only a lot of insight into quantum chromodynamics but also a tool for understanding heavy-ion reactions." Collisions between heavy ions usually produce complicated patterns that are hard to interpret.

The quest for strange matter is fraught with difficulty and frustration. "Not finding strange matter in a given experiment is interesting but doesn't really prove anything," Alcock says. "Finding one piece of strange matter, under any circumstances, would tell you something fundamental about physics." □

Letters continued from p. 131

"discrimination." But the economic bottom line is that without such screening, those of us who are healthy (and in particular, who are sufficiently "discriminating" to keep away from high-risk situations) will end up paying out-of-sight premiums for the high-risk life style of homosexuals and drug users.

John Bryant
South Pasadena, Fla.

Why did your author so studiously avoid the ultimate outrage of genetic screening: the potential for denial of life to an unborn human because he or she had the misfortune to be diagnosed in their mother's womb as having, for instance, the wrong color eyes?

Alfred R. Beronio
Martinsville, N.J.

'Fluoride is not the answer'

As a longtime researcher of the biologic effects of fluoride, I feel compelled to comment on your recent article touting a "new" fluoride treatment for osteoporosis ("Fluoride-Calcium Combo Builds Better Bones," SN: 1/21/89, p.36).

This long-held delusion that fluoride will be a safe, effective and marketable treatment for osteoporosis is what concerns me. There have been many attempts to achieve this golden result but all have failed: Fluoride is simply too toxic and its benefits are too little. Its toxicity at these high doses is not limited to gastric irritation but also shows up as pain and stiffness of joints and ligamentous structures. The slightly denser bone that eventually develops in the spine after several years of fluoride medication is disordered

and lacks tensile strength. As the article indicates, the researchers reported that the treatment failed to decrease the incidence of hip fractures, which are the major debilitating effect of osteoporosis.

Wax-encapsulated tablets are not new. The fact that high-dose fluoride can result in a slight increase in bone density as measured by X-ray also is not new. The fact that it doesn't decrease the risk of hip fracture is well established. The treatment is not available to the public. In a recent special report published by POSTGRADUATE MEDICINE, Louis V. Avioli, Shoenberg Professor of Medicine at Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, pointed out that research already exists to show that the fracture rate of a fluoride-treated group of patients actually increased in relation to that of the control group during the first year, osteoarticular side effects occurred in 47 percent of the fluoride-treated patients, hip fractures were not prevented, and fluoride was simply not worth further exploration as a therapy for postmenopausal osteoporosis.

The major defect of postmenopausal osteoporosis results from the lack of estrogen and progesterone. I have treated this condition for more than six years in over 100 patients with balanced estrogen-progesterone hormone supplementation and have demonstrated 15 to 50 percent increase in bone density as measured by dual photon bone mineral density tests. Clinically, the nontraumatic fracture rate fell to zero. Clearly, fluoride is not the answer; proper nutrition and hormone supplementation is.

John R. Lee
Mill Valley, Calif.