

SCIENCE NEWS®

The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

A Science Service Publication
Volume 137, No. 16, April 21, 1990

E. G. Sherburne Jr.	Publisher
Patrick Young	Editor
Laurie Jackson	Managing Editor
Janice Rickerich	Production/Design Director
Bruce Bower	Behavioral Sciences
Ivan Amato	Chemistry/ Materials Science
Richard Monastersky	Earth Sciences
Janet Raloff	Environment/Policy
Ron Cowen	General Science
Kathy A. Fackelmann, Rick Weiss	Life Sciences/ Biomedicine
Ivars Peterson	Mathematics/Physics
Jonathan Eberhart	Space Sciences
Jennifer L. Miller	Editorial Assistant
Caroline C. Decker	Science Writer Intern
Wendy Smith	Books/Resource Manager
Donald R. Harless	Advertising/Business Manager

SCIENCE NEWS (ISSN 0036-8423) is published weekly on Saturday, except the last week in December, for \$34.50 for 1 year or \$58.00 for 2 years (foreign postage \$6.00 additional per year) by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Second-class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and additional mailing office. **POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to SCIENCE NEWS, 231 West Center Street, Marion, OH 43305. Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required — old and new addresses, including zip codes, must be provided.

Copyright © 1990 by Science Service, Inc. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices. Printed in U.S.A.

Editorial and Business Offices:
1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036
(202-785-2255)

Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is prohibited.

Subscription Department:
231 West Center St., Marion, OH 43305
For new subscriptions only, call 1-800-247-2160.

Letters

Calculation clarified

Bernhardt Saini-Eidukat (Letters, SN: 1/27/90, p.58) states that "one should estimate the seismic energy E released by calculating: $\log E = 11.8 + 1.5M_s$, where M_s is the surface wave magnitude."

This implies that without any surface wave (i.e., for $M_s = 0$), a substantial amount of seismic energy remains:

$$\log E = 11.8 + 0.$$

A clarification of what this energy represents would be greatly appreciated.

Christian A. Alm
Wallingford, Conn.

Because the magnitude scale is logarithmic, earthquakes can have magnitudes equal to zero or negative numbers. A quake with a magnitude of -2 would release roughly the same energy as dropping a 10-kilogram block from a height of 1 meter.

— R. Monastersky

This Week

- 244 First View of Chiron's Farthest Fringes
- 244 Treatment may reduce post-stroke damage
- 245 The COBE universe: Portrait at 300,000
- 245 Sunspots and neutrinos
- 245 Breast cancer rise: Due to dietary fat?
- 246 Gene may be tied to 'virulent' alcoholism
- 246 Potential signs of an underground killer
- 247 Lab-made molecule taps light's energy
- 247 Home-wrecking beetle: Who'll pay the piper?

Research Notes

- 254 Anthropology
- 254 Biomedicine

Articles

- 248 Frozen Relics of the Early Solar System

Cover: Comet West, the most brilliant comet to streak by Earth in more than a decade, displays a prominent, white dust tail and a fainter, blue gas tail in this March 1976 photograph. To learn more about the structure and origin of the solar system, astronomers seek to identify comets farther out in space, whose trajectories remain unaltered by solar heating and Jupiter's gravitational pull. (Photo: Dennis di Cicco/SKY AND TELESCOPE)



- 251 Loma Prieta's Long-Distance Punch

Departments

- 242 Books
- 243 Letters

Science Service Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.

Board of Trustees — *Chairman*, Glenn T. Seaborg; *Vice Chairman*, Gerald F. Tape; *Treasurer*, Willis Harlow Shapley; *Joseph W. Berg Jr.*; *Robert W. Fri.*; *David A. Goslin*; *J. David Hann*; *Milton Harris*; *Leon M. Lederman*; *Shirley M. Malcom*; *Elena O. Nightingale*; *Ben Patrusky*; *H. Guyford Stever*; *Deborah P. Wolfe.*
Honorary Trustees — *Edward Bliss Jr.*; *Bowen C. Dees*; *O.W. Riegel*; *John Troan.*

President: E. G. Sherburne Jr.; **Business Manager:** Donald R. Harless.

Evidence and enigma

"Rattling the Northwest" (SN: 2/17/90, p.104) is an excellent summary of the subduction earthquake problem facing the Pacific Northwest. However, the statement attributed to me is misleading. I am quoted as saying, "I tend to be a little bit skeptical of the evidence as it stands now."

I am not skeptical of geologic evidence uncovered in recent years for episodic subsidence along the coast. This evidence is abundant and hard to explain by mechanisms other than periods of rapid coastal subsidence. Furthermore, we know from observations at other subduction zones (e.g., Japan) that sudden coastal subsidence can occur during subduction earthquakes. We do not have good evidence for mechanisms other than earthquakes that could accomplish this rapid subsidence.

However, there are many other types of geological and geophysical observations that must be explained, and the difficulty we face is building a tectonic model for Cascadia that is consistent with all, or even most, of these

observations. Among the observations that seem most difficult to reconcile with large subduction earthquakes are: the complete lack of subduction earthquakes for the entire Cascadia zone down to very small magnitude levels; contemporary background subsidence near Grays Harbor (uplift would be consistent with the earthquake hypothesis); very low levels of horizontal strain measured near Puget Sound; earthquake focal mechanisms indicating regional stress that is not controlled by locked subduction; paucity of evidence for strong ground shaking in the geologic record; and high temperatures and fluid pressures at shallow depths in the megathrust (from offshore geophysical measurements).

Subduction earthquakes may indeed occur, but we have only weak grounds at this point for estimating their size. We are still far from a comprehensive understanding of this region.

Robert S. Crosson
Professor of Geophysics
University of Washington
Seattle, Wash.

APRIL 21, 1990

243