

# SCIENCE NEWS®

The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

A Science Service Publication  
Volume 140, No. 12, September 21, 1991

E. G. Sherburne Jr.	Publisher	
Patrick Young	Editor	
Laurie Jackson	Managing Editor	
Vaughan	Editor	
Janice Rickerich	Production/Design Director	
Janet Raloff	Senior Editor Environment/Policy	
Bruce Bower	Behavioral Sciences	
Elizabeth Pennisi	Chemistry/ Materials Science	
Richard Monastersky	Earth Sciences	
Ron Cowen	General Science/ Space Sciences	
Carol Ezzell,	Life Sciences/ Kathy A. Fackelmann	Biomedicine
Ivars Peterson	Mathematics/Physics	
Larry Norland	Editorial Assistant	
Liz Marshall	Books/Resource Manager	
Donald R. Harless	Advertising/Business Manager	

SCIENCE NEWS (ISSN 0036-8423) is published weekly on Saturday, except the last week in December, for \$39.50 for 1 year or \$68.00 for 2 years (foreign postage \$6.00 additional per year) by Science Service, Inc., 1719 N Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20036. Second-class postage paid at Washington, DC, and additional mailing office. **POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to SCIENCE NEWS, 231 West Center Street, Marion, OH 43305. Change of address: Four to six weeks' notice is required — old and new addresses, including zip codes, must be provided.

Copyright © 1991 by Science Service, Inc. Title registered as trademark U.S. and Canadian Patent Offices. Printed in U.S.A.

Editorial and Business Offices:  
1719 N St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202-785-2255)

Republication of any portion of SCIENCE NEWS without written permission of the publisher is prohibited.

Subscription Department:  
231 West Center Street, Marion, OH 43305  
For new subscriptions only, call 1-800-247-2160.  
For customer service, call 1-800-347-6969.

## Letters

### Retrospective chuckle

The strings-and-springs conundrum may have perplexed a physicist and a mathematician ("Strings and springs net mechanical surprise," SN: 8/24/91, p.118), but for many of us who are familiar with elementary mechanics and physics it evoked little more than a chuckle.

Once the slack "safety strings" are erased from the diagram in which they are not involved, it is obvious that the springs in one diagram are arranged in parallel and that those in the other diagram are in series. The weight on each spring in series is twice that in parallel. The effect on weight height is then not surprising in the least. The whole matter devolves to a clever problem worthy of a freshman mechanics or physics exam.

All of which is not to say that someone cannot profit from a situation he perceives as perplexing, even though to most others the

## This Week


- 180 Cell Videos Catch Asbestos in the Act
- 180 Clues emerge from vowels of the brain
- 181 Orbiting sensors study threat to ozone layer
- 181 Finally, proof of 'good' cholesterol's perks
- 182 Fetus tells mother: It's time for labor
- 182 New Hubble trouble: Spectrograph awry
- 183 Pushing lasers on a chip into the blue

## Research Notes

- 187 Behavior
- 187 Biotechnology
- 189 Environment

## Articles

- 184 Plutos Galore  
Cover: Pluto — shown with its satellite Charon in this image from the Hubble Space Telescope — is often described as the "oddball" planet. According to a new theory, however, as many as 1,000 Pluto-sized planets may roam the outskirts of the solar system. (Photo: NASA/European Space Agency)
- 190 Gene in the Bottle



## Departments

- 178 Books
- 179 Letters

**Science Service** Institution for the public understanding of science founded 1921; a nonprofit corporation.  
**Board of Trustees** — *Chairman*, Glenn T. Seaborg; *Vice Chairman*, Gerald F. Tape; *Treasurer*, Willis Harlow Shapley; Joseph W. Berg Jr.; Robert W. Fri; David A. Goslin; J. David Hann; Leon M. Lederman; Shirley M. Malcom; Elena O. Nightingale; Ben Patrusky; H. Guyford Stever; Sanford J. Ungar; Deborah P. Wolfe.  
**Honorary Trustees** — Edward Bliss Jr.; Bowen C. Dees; O. W. Riegel; John Troan.  
**President:** E. G. Sherburne Jr.; **Business Manager:** Donald R. Harless.

matter is readily comprehensible.

*Fredric M. Blum  
Mechanical Engineer  
Wynnewood, Pa.*

*When confronted by the initial spring-and-string configuration, most people are inclined to guess that the weight will go down. Few automatically predict that the weight will go up unless they have already worked out the problem or have seen it before. (Try it on your colleagues.) Only when someone knows the answer does it become "obvious."*

*The point here is that it takes some analysis to find this out. That's what makes it a good exam question. You can't rely on your intuition unless it already incorporates an understanding of springs in series and parallel arrangements. Moreover, most people fail to recognize exactly the same kind of behavior in analogous situations, such as transportation networks, and some even deny that it can occur in those cases.*

*The mechanical analogy thus provides a useful lesson about the kind of "surprising" behavior that may lurk in a wide range of networks.*

— I. Peterson

### A dose of skepticism

You got the facts right, but the implications wrong, in your discussion of the many incidental sources of power-frequency electromagnetic fields in the environment, as measured by personal dosimeters ("Electromagnetic commute," SN: 7/6/91, p.15).

Dozens of epidemiologic studies in the past have searched for possible links between power-frequency fields and disease. None took such incidental exposures into account; few made any direct measurements of exposure at all. The positive associations that emerged

Letters continued on p.191

SEPTEMBER 21, 1991

179

they report in the September ALCOHOL.

The researchers have also conducted a biochemical analysis of 66 of the 70 brain samples from their 1990 study. Samples from individuals diagnosed with alcoholism showed significantly fewer brain-cell binding sites for the dopamine receptor controlled by the chromosome 11 gene, as well as impaired binding function at those sites, the team reports in the July ARCHIVES OF GENERAL PSYCHIATRY. This suggests — but does not firmly establish — that genetically disturbed dopamine activity confers susceptibility to severe alcoholism, they say.

Another report in the same issue indicates that the cerebral havoc wreaked by this gene may jack up the severity of alcoholism, rather than light the fuse of alcohol abuse. Geneticist Abbas Parsian and his co-workers at Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis found that 13 of 32 alcoholics (41 percent) carried the dopamine receptor gene, compared with three of 25 nonalcoholics (12 percent). And among alcoholics with serious, related medical problems, six of 10 carried the gene.

However, when the same researchers performed genetic analyses of 80 individuals in 17 families with numerous cases of alcoholism, they uncovered no increased susceptibility to either mild or severe alcoholism among those carrying the dopamine receptor gene.

Since the critical gene clearly stands out among unrelated severe alcoholics with medical complications, but does not congregate in family members afflicted by alcoholism, the St. Louis scientists conclude that it probably plays a secondary role of fanning the flames of uncontrolled alcohol consumption. The gene may also speed the progression of alcohol-related diseases such as liver cirrhosis, they say.

**T**his intriguing possibility calls for larger genetic studies that carefully partition alcoholics according to the severity of their medical problems, asserts P. Michael Conneally, a geneticist at Indiana University in Indianapolis.

Conneally's plea may not go unheeded. He and seven other investigators, based at six research centers, now direct the largest-ever study on the genetics of alcoholism. Participants in the NIAAA-financed study include 600 alcoholics and thousands of their family members. Project investigators hope to determine whether certain genes produce a specific vulnerability to alcoholism or a general susceptibility to all sorts of compulsive behaviors.

Noble suspects the dopamine receptor gene will fall into the latter category, working in concert with several genes to promote the full spectrum of substance

use and abuse.

"If the good Lord didn't have alcohol around, we'd still have this gene, and we'd still get a charge out of certain pleasurable behaviors that sometimes become compulsive," Noble says.

The recent emphasis on combing through chromosomes for offending genes linked to alcoholism cannot deny evidence of vigorous environmental influences on compulsive alcohol use, he adds. These include expectations about alcohol's effects, as well as conditioned emotional and situational cues that trigger a craving for alcohol (SN: 8/6/88, p.88).

"The environment is a tremendously powerful agent in producing alcoholism," Noble remarks. "But genes are easier to study." □



#### Letters continued from p.179

were borderline, and involved only crude measures of exposure, such as the proximity of subjects' homes to neighborhood distribution lines.

A valid study must reliably distinguish exposed from unexposed individuals, or at least sort them according to exposure. Yet people are constantly exposed to power-frequency fields, at highly variable levels, as shown by the studies with personal dosimeters.

The implication of the dosimeter findings is not that alarm clocks, electric trains, computer terminals, etc., might be hazardous, but rather that *nothing* can be concluded reliably from the epidemiologic evidence about a possible connection between power-frequency fields and health.

Kenneth R. Foster  
Associate Professor of Bioengineering  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, Pa.

#### Neandertal: Evolution . . .

"Neandertals' Disappearing Act" (SN: 6/8/91, p.360) is a well-written overview of this very contentious issue. However, I feel a few points were overlooked.

First, showing the Qafzeh 6 and Amud 1 fossils face-front obscures some important morphological contrasts, such as the greater Neandertal midfacial prognathism (protruding jaw) and the greater basicranial flexion of the Qafzeh hominids.

Second, most vertebrate paleontologists agree that without genetic data from the fossils

themselves, the only way to infer evolutionary relationships among fossil specimens is from a cladistic analysis of their morphological characteristics. Wolpoff's and Arensburg's contention that the Skhul/Qafzeh and Tabun/Amud/Kebara/Shanidar fossils represent a single, undifferentiable group probably reflects their emphasis on sorting these fossils by shared primitive characteristics rather than uniquely derived characteristics.

Third, the "Neandertals as an extinct race" argument ignores the profound nature of the functional anatomical contrasts among them. Erik Trinkaus has for years documented numerous contrasts that set these fossils apart from each other in terms of bone growth, locomotor patterns, probable nutritional requirements and differences in longevity.

Fourth, Bruce Bower's article — and the whole "origins of modern humans/fate of the Neandertals" debate — overlooks the role that competition must have played in any evolutionary relationships between early modern humans and Neandertals. If and when they overlapped chronologically, it is likely that individuals of both morphotypes would have competed with each other for access to resources and for reproductive opportunities, either as members of distinct groups or as individuals within mixed Neandertal and robust modern human populations.

Neither Neandertals nor early modern humans necessarily enjoyed any great subsistence advantage over the other. To what, then, should we ascribe their obvious morphological contrasts? The time has come to investigate the role of different reproductive strategies and life-history parameters in the evolutionary trajectories of these hominids. That Nean-

dertals no longer live in the world today need reflect nothing more than a small difference in reproductive success sustained by early modern humans for a few critical millennia.

John J. Shea  
Lecturer in Anthropology  
Harvard University  
Cambridge, Mass.

#### . . . and etymology

We at work were wondering why you spelled Neandertal without the "h." We thought (and our dictionary confirmed) that it was spelled Neanderthal.

Carl J. Pollet  
Lutcher, La.

*We thought so, too, until we decided to look into it after noting that many anthropologists drop the "h." Our survey of anthropological organizations and journals turned up several that consistently omit the "h," and a few fence-sitters that vary the spelling depending on individual researchers' preferences, but none with a policy of inserting the "h."*

*The Leakey Foundation — adamant about dropping the "h" — notes in the spring 1991 ANTHROQUEST that the first Neandertal skeleton was discovered in 1856 in a German quarry called Neander Thal (pronounced "tal"). Around the turn of the century, according to the ANTHROQUEST article, Germans dropped the silent "h" in this and many other Old German words to reconcile spelling with pronunciation.*

*"Unfortunately," the article states, "Anglophones moved to adopt this change about as rapidly as the glaciers retreated after the last Ice Age."* — the editors