

Proposed federal budget keeps R&D afloat

President Clinton's proposed 1995 federal budget would mean lean times for almost everyone, but research and development would fare better than most.

Though the \$1.52 trillion budget sent to Congress this week cuts many programs, it keeps R&D spending virtually level for fiscal year (FY) 1995, which begins Oct. 1. Limited by efforts to cut the deficit, R&D spending would increase by nearly \$2 billion, or 2.8 percent. But after subtracting 3 percent for inflation — FY 1995's rate, as estimated by the Office of Management and Budget (see chart) — federal R&D spending would actually *decline* by 0.2 percent. (Subsequent percentages in this article have been adjusted for inflation.)

Under the Clinton plan, civilian R&D would get a \$1.2 billion boost, representing an increase of 0.8 percent, while defense spending would rise \$1.4 billion, or 0.7 percent, excluding large cuts in funding for laboratory facilities. As in the FY 1994 budget, civilian R&D would get 47 percent of the R&D pie; defense snares the rest.

In paving the way for an "information highway," the President is requesting \$1.2 billion, a 20 percent increase, for research on building more sophisticated computers for use in endeavors such as weather forecasting and drug design. The federal government also would spend \$865 million on technology transfer, 54 percent more than in the current fiscal year.

The administration has requested \$1.8 billion — a 21 percent jump — for the U.S. Global Change Research Program, which coordinates work by 12 federal agencies. Some of the \$349 million increase would address criticisms that the multiagency program has neglected studying how global warming and other environmental problems will affect soci-

eties and ecosystems.

Funding for the space station, now a joint U.S.-Russian endeavor, would maintain its annual cap of \$2.1 billion. The Ballistic Missile Defense Organization, formerly the Defense Department's "Star Wars" office, would receive \$3.25 billion, a hefty 15.7 percent increase. The multiagency Human Genome Project also would enjoy sizable growth — \$42 million, or 18 percent.

National Science Foundation: NSF would continue its growth spurt of recent years, albeit at a slower pace. Global change would win the agency's biggest percentage increase in research. The \$208 million it's slated to receive would constitute a generous 43 percent raise over last year. High-performance computing and communications research also would be given a large boost — 20 percent — to \$329 million. The President had good news for researchers: Average individual awards would expand 6.6 percent, up \$8,000 from this year. Funding for academic research facilities, however, would suffer a 48 percent cut.

Biomedicine: Under the President's proposed budget blueprint, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) would receive 1.7 percent more next year, or \$11.5 billion. Breast cancer studies would garner \$383 million, an increase of 25 percent. Funding for research on the AIDS virus would climb 3 percent over last year, to \$1.4 billion. Programs combating tuberculosis, a disease that has resurged in recent years, would grow 7 percent, to \$51 million. In addition, the NIH budget request includes \$82 million for development of high-performance computing and telecommunications networks, an increase of 38 percent over current spending.

Energy: The administration would continue a shift in energy R&D priorities

begun last year, with fossil energy funding falling again, this time by 24.8 percent, to \$519.9 million, and nuclear (fission) programs falling 30.8 percent, to \$247.6 million. Energy efficiency and renewable-energy programs would increase 30 percent, to \$1.36 billion.

Funding for civilian radioactive-waste management would jump \$152.2 million, or roughly 37 percent, much of it to study the suitability of Nevada's Yucca Mountain for long-term storage of nuclear wastes (SN: 10/26/91, p.262). The \$454.6 million cut slated for the Energy Department's fundamental science budget — dominated by physics programs — comes from the defunct Superconducting Super Collider (SSC).

NASA: Forget those accolades the space agency garnered for fixing the Hubble Space Telescope. For the first time since 1973, total spending by NASA would decline from the previous year — a sizable \$250 million, even without accounting for inflation. Human space flight would take the deepest cut, with an 8.8 percent drop from current funding of \$6.1 billion. The agency also would abandon plans to build an advanced solid-rocket motor for the space shuttle and delay development of a second-generation launch vehicle to replace the shuttle.

The President's blueprint would include one new planetary mission: The \$78 million Mars Surveyor program would include the 1996 launch of a small orbiter to the Red Planet and duplicate about half the science payload lost with the Mars Observer spacecraft (SN: 9/4/93, p.149). The biggest winner: Mission to Planet Earth. This program for studying Earth's environment from orbit would enjoy a 17.9 percent hike over the \$1.02 billion allocated in the current year.

Technology: With a 1995 request of \$964.3 million, the Department of Commerce's Technology Administration would receive a \$438.4 million increase. Most of the hike would go to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The \$935 million slated for NIST is 77 percent above its 1994 amount. Some \$451 million of this would go for its Advanced Technology Program for high-risk, high-payoff projects; another \$316 million for basic research; and \$100 million to renovate obsolete labs.

Environment: As part of the government-wide effort to boost environmental programs, the President would raise funding for all environmental and natural resource programs 2 percent, to \$35.2 billion. International environmental programs would receive one of the biggest percentage increases — 21 percent — raising their funding to \$2.2 billion. At the Environmental Protection Agency, the total budget would grow 5 percent, to \$7.2 billion. Some of the funds would promote private industry development of environmental technology.

— R. Cowen, T. Adler, and staff reports

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FUNDING Budget Authority (in millions of dollars)*				
Department or Agency	FY 1993 actual	FY 1994 estimate	FY 1995 proposed	% Change: 1994/1995**
Defense-military	\$38,617	\$35,538	\$36,971	+ 1.0
Health and Human Services (National Institutes of Health)***	10,336 (10,217)	11,033 (10,845)	11,484 (11,359)	+ 1.1 (+ 1.7)
NASA	8,090	8,493	8,597	- 1.8
Energy	5,827	6,054	6,052	- 3.0
National Science Foundation	1,882	2,026	2,220	+ 6.6
Agriculture	1,335	1,393	1,394	- 2.9
Commerce	728	985	1,322	+31.2
Transportation	587	605	692	+11.4
Environmental Protection Agency	495	533	571	+ 4.1
All other	1,853	1,824	1,726	- 8.4
R&D total without facilities	69,750	68,484	71,029	+ 0.7
R&D facilities	2,728	2,589	2,016	-25.1
R&D total with facilities	\$72,478	\$71,073	\$73,045	- 0.2

*Derived from OMB data; figures reflect rounding

**After subtracting OMB's projected FY '95 inflation rate of 3.0%

***Breakout of NIH figures from HHS total