

The Weekly Newsmagazine of Science

Volume 153, No. 23, June 6, 1998

This Week

356 Ancient Skull Fills Big Fossil Gap Bruce Bower

356 Small comet theory melts under scrutiny Richard Monastersky

357 Hubble takes first image of possible planet Ron Cowen

358 Atomic faces peek through lead shroud Peter Weiss

358 Picturing pesticides' impacts on kids Janet Raloff

359 Red-flashing fish have chlorophyll eyes Susan Milius

359 Survey finds wide resistance to TB drugs Nathan Seppa

359 New spermicides stop cells gently Corinna Wu

Articles

360 Melanoma Madness

The scientific flap over sunscreens and skin cancer Kathleen Fackelmann Corinna Wu

366 Ka-Boom!

A shockingly unconventional meat tenderizer Janet Raloff

Research Notes

364 Biology

Plasmas put the hurt on microbes Foxy fungi tarnish old books Bacterial gene makes the sun fun again Sheep bacteria widen diet of cattle Ahh, the sweet smell of bacteria

Departments

354 Books

355 Letters



COVET: Sunscreens help prevent skin from burning, but do they protect against skin cancer? Scientists are trying to find out through epidemiological and chemical studies. There's no definitive answer yet, but research does suggest safe sun strategies. **Page 360**

Visit Science News Online for special features, columns, and references.

http://www.sciencenews.org

Letters

Science in the courtroom

"Courting Reliable Science" (SN: 4/18/98, p. 249) gives scant attention to what causes the problem of junk science: the economics of the legal industry.

The cases typically involve lawsuits against companies with "deep pockets." Total costs of litigation to all parties substantially exceed actual payments to the "victims." The system allows lawyers to use every possible legal tactic to promote their case: delay, obfuscation, distortion, suppression of unfavorable information, questionable experts, and so on. With few exceptions, these tactics generate added fees for the lawyers using them or for the other side's lawyers.

The final cost of the process is astronomical and produces decisions often indistinguishable from random. While the situation is obviously a magnet for scoundrels, many lawyers are honest and hardworking and struggle daily with these issues.

We need to stop making lawyer jokes and recognize that this systemic problem exerts

a massively negative economic impact. Given the legal industry's close ties with government, perhaps more leadership needs to come from science and academia.

Adam L. Carley Windham, N.H.

The view of science as "an unending search for explanations" that allows "the luxury of putting off a conclusion to await further research" is an overly academic one. In particular, scientists working in industry must routinely make irrevocable decisions based on the limited data available at the moment.

Maybe we should look to the industrial scientist, rather than the science professor, for an appropriate model of the scientific expert.

Randall Marrett Assistant Professor of Structural Geology University of Texas Austin. Texas

To be meaningful, scientific testimony requires jurors who are capable of understanding it; expert witnesses who do not carry on

so long, or in such detail, that they stupefy even jurors who can understand it; unbiased jurors with no ax to grind; and jurors who weigh the scientific arguments at least as much as they weigh the emotional appeals.

K.A. Boriskin Bellingham, Mass.

Longer half-life for titanium-44

I read your article on the half-life of titanium-44 ("A half-life for titanium," SN: 4/25/98, p. 271). The question as to the actual value of this half-life has been of much current interest. In fact, in addition to the two articles you referred to, our group just published a paper reporting a value of 62±2 years for its half-life.

Our paper was submitted prior to those two and appeared in the April Physical Review C.

Eric B. Norman Senior Scientist Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, Calif.

Letters continued on p. 365

JUNE 6, 1998 SCIENCE NEWS, VOL. 153

355