

When is a pen not a pen?

# When it lights as it writes like the 41 writer

Imagine . . . take a quality ball point pen, design a spot light into it and you have - the LiteWriter — a neverfailing pen . . . that can see its way in the dark.

## Who needs it?

#### **DOCTORS**

for writing RX's in darkened wards and for illuminating gloomy throats.

#### **THEATERGOERS**

who wish to check a point in the program and to make notes without disturbing their neighbors.

#### **MEN-ABOUT-TOWN**

who want to scrutinize and sign the check in romantically dim bistros. (Very debonair!)

#### MIDNIGHT THINKERS

who want to jot down sudden brainstorms or solve Double-Crostics without waking their companion. (We are told that Schubert might have finished that Symphony had he had a LiteWriter.)

# POLICE OFFICERS

for 101 unobvious reasons.

### GIFT-GIVERS

who need a bright idea for the man or woman who has almost everything else.

### **FVFRYONE**

who needs to write and see in the dark. but doesn't want to carry a pen in one hand and a flashlight in the other.

The LiteWriter is perfectly balanced and beautifully finished in gold-anodized brushed aluminum. It comes with four spare ink cartridges in assorted colors. If it ever runs out of power (a long time from now) just switch to a new battery. HAVERHILL'S USUAL UNCONDITIONAL GUARANTEE: If for any reason you are not completely satisfied with your LiteWriter, mail it back within ten days and we shall do the same with your money.

Mail to: HAVERHILL'	S SN031 San Francisco, Calif, 94111
Please rush me	LiteWriters, individu- r spare-ink cartridges each.
Price: \$4.90 each (3 12 for \$50.00)	for \$14.00; 6 for \$27.00;
Handling and Postag dents please add 4%	e Included. California resi- sales tax.
☐ I enclose my che	ck for \$
☐ Diners'. ☐ Amer.	Exp. Acct. #
Name	
Address	
	Haverhills
1966	(TTUY CIIIII)

GENERAL SCIENCE

# **Question Animal Research**

The right of students studying science to learn from experiments with live animals was implicitly challenged recently in a trial in New Jersey—By Patricia McBroom

THE OLD QUESTION of man's right to use animals for scientific research has risen again.

This time controversy centers around a high school biology experiment. But so basic are the questions of law and morality\_that the trial in Newark, N.J., in the Essex County Courthouse has implications reaching into scientific laboratories across the nation.

Three years ago a high school student, John Barry Fugere, now a premedical student, won approval from his school for a project in which he injected a Rous cancer virus into four chickens. Two of the chickens developed cancer and died within months.

Subsequently the New Jersey Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (SPCA) filed suit against the East Orange Board of Education for allow-

g "unnecessary cruelty" to chickens. The trial raises a basic issue: Can the use of animals for a worthy purpose ever be termed "cruel?" If it can, then virtually all experimentation on living creatures leading to new knowledge of disease and of the life processes is suspect.

Fearing this, the National Society for Medical Research offered itself as a codefendant in the Essex case. Also, the New Jersey Science Teachers Association joined for the defendants.

At this point the SPCA is not challenging all live animal experimentation. Rather it is charging that the school board acted illegally under a New Jersey anti-cruelty law. Specifically exempt from this law are institutions such as universities, colleges, and medical societies conducting research authorized by the State Department of Health.

The SPCA believes that any pain given animals for any reason by any group other than those listed in the statutes is unnecessary and cruel pain. In this case that means high school biology classes.

Despite the statute scientists, physicians, and commercial groups among others in New Jersey have done live animal research for years. Moreover those institutions which could have been authorized did not bother to apply. And the State Health department people did not feel it their responsibility to prohibit the research.

As for biology experiments in public schools, that has always been considered a matter for the Department of Education, not Health, Dr. Oscar Sussman, Director of the Division of Veterinary Public Health said at the

Dr. Sussman said in his opinion animal cruelty depends on human intent.

If the intent is a good one, it cannot be cruel, though from an animal's point of view it may be uncomfortable.

Thousands of dogs are killed in pounds because they have no homes. Many could have been used to a valuable end in scientific research, Dr. Sussman said. "Any animal killed in a Dr. pound or shelter is cruelly killed if unnecessarily 'killed'," he said.

• Science News, 89:166 March 12, 1966

GENERAL SCIENCE

# **Experiments**

THE NECESSITY of allowing high school students to experiment on live animals was affirmed by a distinguished scientist and authority on secondary education in biology.

Dr. Arnold B. Grobman, Dean of

Arts and Sciences at Rutgers University said biology is a "study of life, not a study of models and charts.'

Experimentation with living plants and animals is part of the "whole process of discovery for the students,' he said. In his opinion the East Orange Board of Education was not wrong in allowing a student to inject a type of

cancer virus into chickens.
"What Barry Fugere did was necessary to his development" said Dr. Grobman. He is now a premedical student at Drew University with a goal of a career in medical research.

Dr. Grobman recently headed the Biological Sciences Curriculum Study (BSCS), a cooperate effort between

scientists and educators to improve the teaching of life sciences in schools. Roughly one million tenth graders throughout the United States are currently using texts and laboratory experiments developed by the BSCS.

Witnesses for the defense have stated that it is not at all clear how much pain, if any, animals experience.

Dr. Grobman told the court "I can't be anthropomorphic and say animals experience pain as I do." He said that people experience pain in different ways. Therefore the difference between species must be very great.

In any case the educational value of allowing students to deal with live creatures in their biology classes is recognized.

It is important for students to be allowed to move with a free hand wherever an experiment might lead them, Dr. Grobman said.

• Science News, 89:166 March 12, 1966