

beyond Gondwanaland. Their fossils are also found in the island of Spitzbergen in the Arctic north of Norway. This, he says, raises interesting questions of past distribution of continents and climate.

The next useful step, Dr. Colbert feels would be to send another expedition to the site to look specifically for fossils.

OIL POLLUTION

Penalties and research

If all the crude oil and its products that traveled the globe by sea last year were spilled, it could have blanketed all the world's waters, with enough left to do the land twice over. A little oil thus goes a long way, as the relatively tiny tanker *Ocean Eagle* proved when it blackened 11 miles of Puerto Rican beach this month, followed by a Greek transport which ran aground in the Bahamas leaving its sticky sludge on verdant Eleuthera Island.

The obvious seriousness of the problem prompted President Johnson to devote an entire section of his conservation message to Congress to an attack on oil pollution. But while England was considering the scientific approach (p. 290), the President came up with a scheme that would impose much stiffer penalties on oil companies, but would, in line with current budget restrictions, cost the Government nothing.

At present, tanker operators or owners are liable for oil spilled within the three-mile limit, if the accident is due to "gross or willful negligence." The new Act would stretch the limit out to 12 miles, and hold the companies to blame whether the spillage occurred through negligence or not.

Elsewhere, the research needs were under consideration. Five days after the President's message, the Interior and Transportation Departments issued a joint recommendation for an elaborate research program into all aspects of the problem, though they admitted that it would have to be expensive to be meaningful.

STUDENT DRAFT

Study forecasts effects

Graduate physics programs will lose 70 percent of their male enrollment next year under the new draft regulations (SN: 2/24, p. 184) eliminating deferment for all but medicine-related graduate study, according to a comprehensive survey by the Scientific Manpower Commission.

Engineering enrollment would drop 64 percent; so would chemistry. Mathematics study would drop 60 percent,

biology 61, and social science 52 percent.

The statistics were compiled from questionnaires filled out by 122 graduate schools, including seven Ivy League schools, 42 state universities and 11 technical institutes. The survey includes more than a third of the graduates involved in the draft rule.

The Commission report says 171,000 graduate students are potential draftees, out of a manpower pool of 1.2 million. Since under the draft rules so far the oldest eligible draftees would be picked first, the report assumes that all male graduates classified 1-A would be picked up.

Under the new rules, graduate schools would draw more than half their students from women for the first time since World War II. The rest of the students would be physically disqualified, veterans, overage, fathers, and aliens.

All-male schools could lose 75 percent of their graduate students, the report said.

In a speech last week, Selective Service Director Gen. Lewis B. Hershey said the nation's graduate schools would survive the impact of the draft, he believes.

NEUTRON STAR

Optical link to strange signals

British scientists have refined position readings on the rapidly pulsating candidate for the first detected neutron star (SN: 3/16 p. 255), and believe they have associated it with a dim and distant blue star. Sir Martin Ryle and Judy A. Bailey of the Cavendish Laboratory's Mullard Radio Observatory propose intensified observations of the 18th magnitude star to see if optical fluctuations match the remarkably regular changes in radio emissions.

It was the regularity of the emission—varying every 1.337 seconds—that led to early speculation that the emission might be a deliberate signal; neither Ryle and Bailey, however, nor Dr. F. G. Smith and four co-workers at the University of Manchester's Jodrell Bank radio observatory, all of whom report refined fixes on the source in the March 9 NATURE, take any notice of that possibility.

IN A NAMELESS CLOUD

A star is, perhaps, born

Will a biography that doesn't contain baby pictures of its subject satisfy the reviewers? Not if it's the biography of a star, and the reviewers are critical astronomers.

For years they have sought to assemble an album of pictures of stars at

various stages in their lives as predicted by current theories of stellar evolution. They have found plenty of old, middle-aged, and even young stars, but the infants—protostars—have eluded them. Now, in a gas cloud 35 million billion miles—6,000 light years—out in space, some astronomers think they may be seeing a star being born.

Theorists agree that the birth of stars should take place in the clouds of gas and dust that are scattered around the universe. Some sort of disturbance (no one is sure what) causes portions of these clouds to begin to condense. Gravitational attraction of the gas atoms for one another causes the atoms to draw ever closer together once the condensation starts. As more and more matter concentrates into less and less volume, it gets hotter and hotter until finally the nuclear burning that illuminates stars begins to take place.

In the beginning, however, protostars are cold—the temperature of the clouds out of which they are supposed to condense is nearly 300 degrees below zero F. Therefore, when they first betray their presence by sending out radiation, it will be at the cool, radio end of the spectrum. As they warm, their emanations shift through the infrared and finally enter the visible spectrum.

Radio searches, therefore, should reveal stars at an early stage of evolution. A most convenient way of searching would be to look for a substance that should be in the protostars and that has an easily identifiable pattern of radio emission. Such a substance exists: a bound combination of one hydrogen and one oxygen atom known as a hydroxyl radical. (On earth, hydroxyls form components of many important chemical compounds—including water—but in interstellar space they appear free of any further chemical combination.)

Interstellar gas clouds are mainly hydrogen, but a small amount of oxygen is also there. Normally the clouds are so thin that the chance that a hydrogen and an oxygen will get close enough to combine is too slim to be important, but in parts of the cloud where a protostar is condensing, the density should become great enough to form hydroxyls. Thus an interstellar cloud in which protostars are forming should appear as a large mass of hydrogen peppered with small clumps of hydroxyl.

Hydroxyls in interstellar space were found in 1963, and they do appear in association with hydrogen clouds. The next step was to determine whether the hydroxyls form clumps of stellar size. Here astronomers ran into difficulties involving the resolving power of telescopes.

To distinguish star-sized objects at the distances of the gas clouds would

**“They laughed
when I wound up
my shaver...”**



That's liable to happen to you when you first use the RIVIERA in front of anyone. A wind-up shaver may seem a plaything. Or at best an emergency type of shaver (because it needs no cords or batteries). After all, how can a hand-cranked shaver rotate fast enough to do a clean and close job? And how many times do you have to wind the darn thing to finish one shave?

One answer at a time: The three-blade shaving head revolves at such a fast clip that it actually gives you **seventy-two thousand cutting strokes a minute!** Compare that to your \$30 TurboDeluxe. Now, about the winding. The palm-shaped body of the RIVIERA (named for its birthplace, Monte Carlo) is filled with a huge mainspring made of the same Swedish super steel used in the most expensive watch movements. You crank the key just like a movie camera (about six turns) and the RIVIERA shaves and shaves. From ear to ear; from nose to neck, without slowing down. Maintains its full shaving speed right to the end—and long enough to do the complete job. Hard to believe, but really true.

A few more details: The surgical steel blades are so designed that they are continuously self-sharpening. You will find that the more you use the RIVIERA the sharper and the better it gets. The guard is so unbelievably thin (5/100 of a millimeter) that pressure is unnecessary. You just touch the shaver on your face and gently guide it in circular motions.

We could go on. But we don't expect to sell you with words. We just want to get you open-minded enough to tie up \$19 for two weeks. We'll give you that long to put the RIVIERA to the test. If it disappoints you (if you want to return it for any reason), send it back. Your money will be in the return mail. Obviously, we have reason to believe that this won't happen and that you will want to keep your RIVIERA for the office, club, cabin or in a permanent place in your bathroom cabinet. It's that kind of a thing. Once you've tried it you won't let it go.

P.S. You not only save the cost of an electric motor, but you save the cost of repairing it. The money that it leaves in your pocket; the dependability; the good, fast, clean shaves that you'll get—they'll give you the last laugh.

Please send me the following:

- RIVIERA SHAVER—STANDARD MODEL \$18.50
 - RIVIERA SHAVER—MODEL ANATOMIQUE shaver housed in transparent case \$19.95
 - SPECIAL TRIMMING HEAD (optional) \$ 3.95
 - EXTRA REGULAR SHAVING HEAD (spare) \$ 3.95
- My check, plus \$1 for post. & ins. enclosed. Calif. resid's add 5% tax. Parts & workmanship guaranteed 1 yr. Return in 10 days if not delighted.

Address _____

Name _____

Zip _____

SN 0323

584 Washington St.
San Francisco, 94111
(415) 981-5688

haverhill's

require an antenna whose diameter approached that of the earth.

A single antenna that big is impossible, but the technique known as interferometry can simulate one. Interferometry works by combining the signals received simultaneously at two telescopes, in this case, 8,000 miles apart. Proper analysis of the combined signal yields positioning information as good as would come from a single dish whose diameter equalled the distance between the two telescopes.

A series of telescopes that runs halfway around the world was recently used to investigate hydroxyl spots. Four telescopes—at Onsala, Sweden, Westford, Mass., Green Bank, W. Va., and Hat Creek, Calif.—looked at a spot in a gas cloud in the constellation Cassiopeia. (The hydrogen in this cloud shines

with visible light by processes that have nothing to do with protostars. It can be seen at right ascension 2 hours 23 minutes and declination 61 degrees. A telescope between three and six inches in diameter should reveal it, according to Prof. B. F. Burke of Massachusetts Institute of Technology, one of the scientists involved in the study.)

The interferometric search determined that the hydroxyl spot in Cassiopeia is about 600 million miles across, a diameter 17 percent more than the gap between the sun and Jupiter. This is larger than a mature star is likely to be, but a protostar should condense on its way to maturity.

The finding, Prof. Burke has reported to the American Physical Society, is not proof that the object is a protostar. But it's beginning to look like one.

SINCE HIPPOCRATES

Medical ethics debate boils

Doctors, lawyers and philosophers have struggled with the problems of medical ethics since Hippocrates, usually in esoteric discussions within the professions.

Now the discussion has moved again out into public, shifted there by the achievement of heart transplantation.

People outside medicine are growing aware that life and death are less distinct from one another than once was thought. Old pat answers are proving inadequate, and many are asking: Who defines death and who pronounces it? How will a patient know whether or not he is being treated with experimental procedures? What is an experiment? How can an experimenter explain risks and possible benefits to an uneducated patient? Will biomedical advances be made before the sociological advances necessary to utilize them?

Doctors and scientists are reacting, often in anger, to what many view as mistrust and suspicion of their motives. They feel they are the best equipped to define life and death. They point to their most sacred codes, under which they are obliged first to serve their patient's interests.

It is evident that a major discussion of the issues, if not a major heated argument, has begun.

In the last two weeks, three world-renowned heart surgeons, two Nobel Prize winners in biochemistry, and other leading biomedical researchers appeared before a Senate subcommittee. The subcommittee is gathering testimony on a proposal by Senator Walter F. Mondale (D-Minn.) to establish a national commission to study the legal, moral, ethical and social implications of advances in medical science.

“No. No. No.” was Dr. Christiaan Barnard's reply when asked if he

thought that transplant ethics were a public problem, that doctors should be helped to decide who gets a transplant, and that a commission should study the ethics question. It was Dr. Barnard, on Dec. 3, who performed the first human heart transplant (SN: 12/16/67 p. 581).

“Such a commission would be an insult to your doctors,” he contends. “Only doctors have the experience to make such decisions, and they have been making them for very many years.” He believes commissions set up in the past have “in every instance” hampered progress. He maintains that adequate safeguards already exist, and that the question of who gets treatment first is determined simply by deciding who is sickest.

Dr. Michael E. DeBaKey of Baylor University, is likewise dubious of the usefulness of a commission. But Dr. Adrian Kantrowitz, who has attempted two heart transplants, says he feels it “entirely appropriate” that Congress become involved in the ethics question.

The Mondale proposal is the most current and most visible manifestation of the ethics discussion, but there are many other signs of ethical ferment. The National Academy of Sciences' Board on Medicine already has issued a set of principles that it thinks should be observed in considering whether or not to go ahead with a transplant operation (SN: 3/9 p. 233).

One of the more significant ethics gatherings is likely to be a national conference this month sponsored by the American College of Cardiology. The meeting is expected to bring together doctors, clerics, lawyers and others (SN: 3/16 p. 256).

Recently the American Academy of Arts and Sciences held a colloquium on