

shadow for years, nuclear physics is making a comeback in the wake of newly developing possibilities, including a search for stable elements heavier than uranium and the study of nuclear structure in greater detail than ever before. One of the new types of equipment needed for these new reaches of nuclear physics is a heavy-ion accelerator, a machine that would accelerate nuclei of heavy elements and throw them against targets of other heavy elements. "The situation in science is just crying for it," says Dr. Snell.

The Oak Ridge scientists have proposed to the Atomic Energy Commission that they be authorized to build a machine they would call APACHE (Accelerator for Physics and Chemistry of Heavy Elements). The machine would consist of a tandem Van de Graaff accelerator coupled to a cyclotron and would accelerate nuclei to energies of 7.5 million electron volts per nuclear particle. This means that a uranium nucleus would come out with an energy of about 1,800 MeV, more than enough to overcome the electrical repulsion of another uranium nucleus and bang into it.

Experiments of this sort can help to discover whether there are stable nuclei heavier than uranium. They are also useful in studying how nuclear fission occurs. The details of the fission process are still imperfectly known, and if they can be determined, nuclear physicists see the possibility of such

knowledge affecting the practical uses of nuclear fission.

The Oak Ridge experimenters see APACHE as the capstone to the collection of nuclear physics equipment that they now have. They are basing their argument for it on their possession of complementary equipment, their experienced staff and long tradition in the field.

One example that they cite is their High Flux Isotope Reactor, which produces "substantial amounts" of the heaviest elements known, such as californium and einsteinium. Samples of such elements would make interesting targets for a heavy-ion accelerator, but they are radioactive and short-lived, so it would be convenient to put a heavy-ion accelerator nearby.

But the cry that Dr. Snell refers to has been heard in other parts of the country, and competition is intense. The AEC is considering requests for heavy-ion accelerators from Argonne National Laboratory, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, the University of Rochester, Michigan State University and the Princeton-Pennsylvania Accelerator Laboratory. The cost of such an item runs around \$25 million, and money may prove a worse hang-up than interregional competition.

"There are no funds requested for this in the fiscal 1970 budget," says an AEC spokesman, "and money is so tight that we don't know whether we'll be able to build any of these." □

NEWS BRIEFS

Defections, disarmament, grass

The brain drain from the Apollo Program (see p. 355) continued last week as Dr. Eugene Shoemaker of the California Institute of Technology, chief lunar geologist for the program, announced he will leave his NASA post in March. He calls the Apollo Program a poor scientific prospect, saying that Apollo hardware is designed to transport men to the moon, but is very clumsy for exploration and research once they get there. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, he says, will not slow up its schedule to Apollo's scientific capabilities.

The United States and the Soviet Union reached agreement last week on a joint draft of a treaty banning the placement of nuclear weapons on the ocean floor. Representatives of the two countries submitted the draft to the disarmament conference in Geneva; they hope to get the conference's endorsement of the proposal this month before submitting it to the United Nations General Assembly. The key provision would forbid the emplacement on or beneath the ocean floor of

"any objects with nuclear weapons or any other types of weapons of mass destruction, as well as structures, launching installations or any other facilities specifically designed for storing, testing or using such weapons."

The Nixon Administration has endorsed lesser penalties for persons convicted of offenses involving use or distribution of marijuana and similar hallucinogens than are enforced against persons involved with hard drugs. The treatment of marijuana as if it were a hard narcotic has aroused considerable controversy (SN: 9/27, p. 263).

In testimony presented to the House Select Crime Committee this week Dr. Roger O. Egeberg, assistant secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, expressed the view that it is unjust to penalize users of marijuana with heavy prison terms and make them serious criminals by definition. Dr. Egeberg's deputy, Dr. Jesse Steinfeld, told the committee new Administration proposals would soon be forthcoming. Dr. Steinfeld presented Dr. Egeberg's testimony to the committee. □

WATER POLLUTION

The other ear opens

As of last year 1,550 sewer-equipped communities in the United States had no treatment plants. As a consequence 9.5 million people dumped 260 million gallons of raw sewage a day into U.S. rivers, lakes and bays. And of approximately 12,000 treatment plants in the country, only 7,100 were deemed adequate by the communities they serve.

In the past, except for a few dedicated conservationists, Congress has turned only one ear to the cry for better sewage treatment.

But last week, the other ear opened as the House of Representatives voted to appropriate \$600 million for the construction of sewage treatment plants. The total actually comes to \$665 million if a carry-over from last year is included.

The basis for the action stems from the 1966 Clean Water Restoration Act. The act authorizes \$3.55 billion for sewage treatment plants over a 5-year period. But Congressional appropriations have been substantially below the authorized level over the last three fiscal years.

The situation wasn't helped any by the Johnson Administration's meager \$214 million recommendation for fiscal year 1970, when the authorization called for \$1 billion. When the Nixon Administration took over, it adopted the \$214 million figure.

The House decision to triple that amount, despite the failure of efforts to appropriate the whole \$1 billion, was termed "a major victory" by Rep. John Dingell (D-Mich.). "I think it reflects a tremendous concern over the problem of pollution," he says.

Bryan LaPlante, associate commissioner of the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration which administers the funds, says his agency, after years of disappointments, is satisfied. His organization couldn't have spent the \$1 billion if it had been appropriated, he says. This is because the money would have been for fiscal year 1970, but municipalities which actually build the treatment plants would not have been able to meet FWPCA requirements to qualify for the funds.

Furthermore, LaPlante cites FWPCA administrative difficulties: "We're not geared to handle more than \$600 million. We have a backlog now."

Dingell, who plumped for the \$1 billion level, will get his chance again next year when the slated authorization is \$1.25 billion. In the meantime, the \$600 million amendment passed by the House now goes to the Senate where the indications are that it will be approved, and may even go higher. □