The Electron is Real

Minute Phenomena Such as Atoms, Although Unfamiliar, Are Just as Real as the Stars, Says Sir Arthur Eddington

THE PHYSICAL world does exist, Sir Arthur Eddington, the British scientist, assured University of Chicago scientists, "if by exist is meant to have a structural representation inferred from the scientific relations derived from sense perceptions."

But to the question: "Is it the only world that exists?" Sir Arthur replied: "Who knows?"

The search for truth is confused by a body of inaccurate knowledge, Sir Arthur explained. He compared the communication between the external world and the mind to a "story-teller's" free translation of a cipher. The senses receive a set of signals transmitted by nerves to the conscious mind, where an inaccurate decoding occurs.

The difficult task of physical science is to infer knowledge from the code messages received. Physical science does not concern itself with the mind and transcendental notions. It deals only with the cryptograms presented for solution, and endeavors to discover the recurring regularities, which are apparent to many different minds.

An important feature in the unraveling process is the redundancy of the senses. Physical science has striven for unification by reducing the number of the senses reporting messages, and selecting the sense perceptions which are mathematical in nature, such as the reading of a galvanometer. In the scientific world there are no colors, there are only numbers corresponding to different colors, as there are numbers in a telephone directory corresponding to different individuals.

One Color-blind Eye

Up to the time of the appearance of Einstein's relativity theory, the ideal scientific observer had been a creature whose only sense organ was one colorblind eye, able to look only in one direction, distinguish between white and black, and recognize spatial form and size. Einstein further mutilated this creature by removing the ability to recognize spatial form and size, and leaving it only a small patch of retina able

to observe when a pointer coincides with a scale reading.

Such an idealized observer would make a competent astronomer, Sir Arthur contended. In his observatory a telescope focusses star light on a photoelectric cell which actuates an electrometer needle, changing its coincidence with one scale reading to coincidence with another scale reading, while the hand of a stop-watch behaves similarly. So the intensity of the light of a star would be inferred. So the glory of the heavens has been reduced to pointer readings, and the familiar "story teller" has been expelled as a false god.

From these pointer readings can we infer anything but relations between pointer readings? Yes, Sir Arthur answers, the intellect demands a structure which is independent of these relations. According to Einstein's terminology the pointer readings give us world-line intersections. We may use any structural representation which leaves the world-line intersections invariant. There is, indeed, a fluidity of representation for saying what we observe in terms of the data from the physical world.

No Longer Simple

This is the epoch-making discovery of Einstein's relativity theory, which revolutionized scientific thought. In its ordinary course of progress science found this multiplicity of representation, so that time and space are no longer the simple, axiomatic pictures presented by the "story-teller."

The philosopher might have arrived at this conclusion centuries before. To him the physicist is a slow, thick-headed workman muddling along. To the physicist, on the other hand, the philosopher is an officious spectator, offering tools before ability to use them has been achieved.

The theory of relativity deals with macroscopic phenomena such as are familiar to the "story-teller." The quantum theory is concerned with less familiar phenomena of minute substances such as the atom and the electron. The point of view that there is a difference between these two sets of phenomena,

such as for example, between a star and an electron, or that an atom is "unverifiable" is unwarranted in Sir Arthur's opinion.

"If I have seen a star, I have seen an electron," he said. There is no difference in the reality of a star seen as a bright spot surrounded by a diffraction pattern and of an electron observed as a track in a Wilson cloud-chamber.

If the physical world is an hypothesis, the star and the electron are hypotheses; if the physical world exists the star and the electron exist.

Indeterminism Reconciles

The rise of indeterminism in modern physics is healing the broad breach between experimental physics and philosophy, Sir Arthur Eddington told a scientific audience at Cornell University.

Indeterminism is making possible the reconciliation of the physicist's idea of the universe with that of the layman gleaned from purely human reactions to environment.

Science has abandoned the theory of determinism, based on the laws of cause and effect, and has thus destroyed the strongest defense of philosophical determinism which bases its denial of free will upon the absoluteness of physical law. The freedom of mind and will is wholly fictitious if the body must obey physical laws which state that all physical action is an unbreakable chain of cause and effect following inevitably one from the other, but now that this chain is no longer unbreakable, such freedom may no longer be fictitious.

The amount of indeterminism at present admitted in physics is not yet sufficient to justify a scientific theory of free will, Sir Arthur said. Science can still locate an electron within an inch and a half after it has been travelling 10,000 miles, which distance it has covered in a second, while for larger aggregates of matter the accuracy is so great as to be almost certainty. In fact, classical laws, based on causality, are so nearly scientifically accurate that they are not considered invalid but as stating the truth in the special case, where the number of units considered is almost infinitely large. Science does not disprove classic laws, but is no longer based on them but on statistical laws.

Showing the difference between the two laws, Sir Arthur gave two illustra-

Science and Philosophy

Philosophy and our notions of the world around us are being modified by the recent revolutionary progress of the new physics. The latest impacts of science upon modern thought are explained by Sir Arthur Eddington, just arrived in the United States to visit American Universities. (See also SNL, April 21, 1934.)

tions. Basing the statement on the rate of occurrence observed in the past, we may predict that in the next thousand years there will be approximately a certain number of eclipses of the sun. This will not state the exact time of these eclipses but only the number. Here we have an example of statistical method. Or we can state the exact time of the next eclipse, basing the statement on astronomical laws of cause and effect, or classical law. Modern science does not invalidate this second calculation but merely considers its accuracy is the result of the large number of units with which it deals, making the probability of error so slight as to be negligible.

In the second illustration, applying the same reasoning to radioactive atoms, where the number of units involved is extremely small, statistical law holds, but classical law does not, for the number of explosions of such an atom in a given time can be determined but the exact time of any one explosion can not.

Atoms Have Free Will

Science at present holds that the impossibility of predicting events of this kind is due not to any inadequacy of method of measurement but to an inherent quality of the atom itself, i. e., that atoms have free will. But to jump to the conclusion that free will is therefore possible for the individual is prevented by the fact that indeterminancy is present only when extremely small units are observed, so small that it is unlikely that the action of such small units could be responsible for initiating human action.

This change in scientific attitude and method is significant, however, since it admits the scientific possibility of free will, whereas classical theory absolutely prohibits such a possibility.

New Maxim

"Never believe an experiment until it is checked by theory."

Sir Arthur Eddington would add this maxim to rules for science's guidance.

Theory and experiment must evolve together, he told University of Chicago scientists when he discussed the latest theories of the expanding universe.

Sir Arthur told the story of the expanding universe, which fills scientists with wonder and doubt. He went far in dispelling the doubts but at the same time retained the characteristic awe. Doubts arise over the statement that the galaxies are receding with velocities proportional to their distances from us.

Velocities are determined from the Doppler effect, in this case the shifting of the spectrum lines to the red—the more distant galaxies having the greater shift. Distances are obtained from the period in the luminosity of Cepheid variables by a relationship between this period and their distance. This relationship has been checked for nearer Cepheid variables.

De Sitter's Theory

In 1917 Prof. Willem De Sitter, the Dutch scientist, brought forward a theory of the expanding universe which required this relationship between distance and velocity, but at that time the supporting evidence was meager; however since then a great deal of additional evidence has been accumulated. For galaxies 1,500,000,000 light years distant their velocities of recession are of the order of 15,000 miles per second.

What happens then with galaxies that might be so far distant that their velocity of recession should be greater than the velocity of light? Profs. Albert Einstein and Hermann Weyl surmounted this difficulty, which would contradict the fundamental basis of relativity, by assuming a closed curved space. This spherical space is such that it would take light approximately 6,000,000,000 years to come back to its starting point. If we intend to make this trip we should commence immediately as the space itself is expanding!

This mathematical curved space must be equivalent to a force of repulsion which force is causing the expansion. According to Newton, gravitational forces of attraction are exerted between objects, and these forces also must be taken into account but their magnitudes are negligible except when the distances between the objects are relatively small.

In the beginning of things, Sir Arthur believes that matter was so dis-

tributed that these forces of Newtonian attraction and cosmical repulsion balanced one another, the equilibrium however being unstable. In our own solar system where distances are relatively small the Newtonian attraction has the upper hand, whereas for the galaxies the cosmical repulsion predominates and such types of equilibrium are stable. Why one or the other took precedence it is impossible to say at present.

The wave equation for an electron gives a relation between the size of an electron and the universe, is it not somehow possible to reverse this equation and get a relation which will tell the behavior of the universe in terms of an electron? This relation should somewhere hide the number 10⁷⁹, and then would form one of the main pillars of science.

Science News Letter, April 28, 1934

PHYSIOLOGY

Nerves Act Gland-Fashion In Causing Color Changes

HEN A FISH changes its color, as some fishes do, becoming darker or lighter according to background, the color change is impelled by a gland-like action of the nerve ends, secreting a substance called a "neurohumor." So Prof. G. H. Parker of Harvard University told the American Philosophical Society.

Prof. Parker has given much attention to this long-suspected but only recently demonstrated gland-like activity of nerve endings. In the case of the color-changes in fish, he found that there are two opposing neurohumors at work. One set of nerves secretes a neurohumor that causes the color bodies, or melanophores, to spread their pigment through their cell processes, and the neurohumor from a second set of nerves causes them to concentrate.

Science News Letter, April 28, 1934

Two trees of the big South African lemon, which yields a pint of juice, are now bearing fruit at the University of California's Citrus Experiment Station.