

These figures include the women, invalids, and children removed from crowded cities and other danger zones in

England and France, and the refugees who have fled from the havoc of war to regions temporarily offering safety.

Science News Letter, June 1, 1940

MILITARY SCIENCE

Air-Plus-Troops Tactics Operate in Limited Depth

Germans Have Conducted No Long-Distance Raids; Have Made Succession of Short Hops of 150 Miles

DESPITE their horror at its ruthlessness, aviation experts, in commenting among themselves on Germany's blitzkrieg strategy, agreed that Nazi use of air power would necessitate rewriting of the world's military textbooks.

German operations in Poland and Norway, and in the Low Countries, differ widely from imaginative pre-war conceptions of air attack, though they are hardly less horrible. The story-book versions never took account of the limitations of aircraft or of the nature of war. The Nazis do in flawless manner. Thereby hangs a tale of crucial importance as Uncle Sam prepares to look to his defenses, to the tune of a probable billion dollars or two over the vast sums already spent and being spent on the Army, Navy and Air Corps.

Not once has Germany attempted seriously-intended long-distance raids. The Nazi high command has never essayed use of its planes for long-distance striking purposes. The few long-distance bomb-dropping flights over England carried out so far have been aimed at encouraging the British to keep an abnormally large number of defending fighters at home—plus such incidental purposes as mine-laying and reconnaissance. In a sense the British have been taken in by German propaganda about the long-range striking power of aircraft, when

in fact no such real menace existed until the Nazis overran Norway and Holland. The Germans, however, never made the mistake of taking their own word for it.

On the contrary, they have used aircraft systematically against nearby objectives, not more than 150 miles away from their bases. The German progression has been a series of short hops. Having occupied the first set of bases, they used them to get the second set, and so on.

There are two reasons for this, both connected with the inherent limitations of air power in 1940. First, an objective must be attacked repeatedly—every few minutes—until its destruction has been assured and the ground is too hot for the defenders to hold. Second, aircraft themselves cannot occupy ground, which is the necessary objective of war. (This is

true despite the usefulness of transport-landed soldiers and parachute troops in causing confusion in enemy ranks.)

The first, repeated attack, can be achieved only with difficulty at distances of 500 miles. Because of the time each plane requires to make the round trip, too many planes are needed. The second, occupation with infantry, can of course be expected only in the zone immediately in advance of ground troops. Therefore the devastating short-range Nazi air attack.

Germany's bombers are being used more like long-range artillery than like the long-range striking weapon of legend. All this requires extremely close coordination of aircraft, artillery, mechanized forces and infantry, which the Allies so far have not achieved. This may not look startling on paper, but it is to the man fighting against it. It increases by a few score miles the depth of the area subject to the destructive barrages of World War I type. Troops often have to (and did, during the last war) retire temporarily under such a barrage. They have now farther to go in returning to the front to meet the inevitable following infantry and mechanized column attack.

This means, among other things, that air attacks on New York are still a long way off. They will be for years to come. But, at the same time, for those contemplating eventual American entrance into the war, talk of bombing Europe with giant craft is nonsense, too.

Science News Letter, June 1, 1940

PSYCHOLOGY

Story of "Baboon Boy" Now Thrown in Doubt

WHAT appeared to be an authentic case of a human baby raised by baboons, one of the strangest stories in science annals, is now called in doubt

by continued scientific study of the case.

Last January the *American Journal of Psychology* carried a scientific report from Prof. John P. Foley, Jr., of George Washington University, quoting data obtained by the noted South African anatomist, Dr. Raymond A. Dart, giving credence to the story of a 48-year-old man in South Africa who, when about 13, was supposed to have been rescued from baboons by police. (*SNL*, April 6)

Like Kipling's Mowgli, suckled by wolves, the man known by the single name of Lucas, was supposed to have been a child of the wild, a "baboon boy" who spent his childhood in the company of animals, not human beings.

Prof. Foley now reports that more digging into the history of the "baboon

SCIENCE NEWS

LETTER SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

To Science News Letter, 2101 Constitution Avenue, Washington, D. C.

Start my subscription to SCIENCE NEWS LETTER for 1 year, \$5

Renew 2 years, \$7

Name _____

Street Address _____

City and State _____

(No extra postage to anywhere in the world)